

I'm not a robot 
reCAPTCHA

Continue

Swan guided therapy

1.The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1956. 2014. Nobelprize.org <http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/medicine/laureates/1956/>. Accessed 8-4-2018 (online source)2.Swan HJ, Ganz W, Forrester J, Marcus H, Diamond C, Chonette D (1970) catheterization of the heart in humans using a flow-guided balloon-tipped catheter. N Engl J Med 283:447–451Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 3.Ganz W, Donoso R, Marcus HS, Forrester JS, Swan HJ (1971) A new technique for measuring cardiac output from thermodilution to humans. Am J Cardiol 27:392–396Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 4.Shoemaker WC, Appel PL, Kram HB, Waxman K, Lee T-S (1988) Prospective testing of survivors' hypernormal values as therapeutic targets in high-risk surgical patients. Chest 94:1176–1186Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 5.Connors AF, Speroff T, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE, Wagner D et al (1996) The effectiveness of right heart catheterization in the initial care of patients who are seriously ill. JAMA 276:889–897Article PubMed Google Scholar 6.Richard C, Warszawski J, Anguel N, Deye N, Combes A, Barnoud D et al (2003) Early use of the pulmonary artery catheter and results in patients with shock syndrome and acute respiratory distress: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 290:2713–2720Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 7.Shah MR, Hasselblad V, Stevenson LW, Binanay C, O'Connor CM, Sopko G et al (2005) Impact of the pulmonary artery catheter on patients in critical condition: meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. JAMA 294:1664–1670Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 8.Sotomi Y, Sato N, Kajimoto K, Sakata Y, Mizuno M, Minami Y et al (2014) Effect of the pulmonary artery catheter on outcome in patients with acute heart failure syndrome with hypotension or taking inotropes; from the Register PLEASE. Int J Cardiol 172:165–172Article PubMed Google Scholar 9.Friese RS, Shafi S, Gentilelo LM (2006) Pulmonary artery catheter use is associated with reduced mortality in seriously injured patients: a national trauma database analysis of 53,312 patients. Crit Care Med 34:1597–1601Article PubMed Google Scholar 10.Pinsky MR, Vincent JL (2005) Let's use the pulmonary artery catheter properly and only when we need it. Crit Care Med 33:1119–1122Article PubMed Google Scholar 11.Vincent JL, Rhodes A, Perel A, Martin GS, Delta RG, Vallet B et al (2011) Clinical review: update on hemodynamic monitoring-consensus of 16. Crit Care 15:229Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 12.De Backer D, Fagoul D, Herpain C (2013) The role of invasive techniques in cardiopulmonary evaluation. Curr Opin Crit Care 19:228–233Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 13.Cecconi M, De Backer D, Antonelli M, Beale RJ, Bakker J, Hofer C et al (2014) Consensus circulatory shock and hemodynamic monitoring. Task Force of </http:> </http:> Intensive Care Company, Intensive Care Med 40:1795–1815Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 14.Teboul JL, Saugel B, Cecconi M, De Backer D, Hofer CK, Monnet X et al (2016) Less invasive hemodynamic monitoring in patients in critical condition. Intensive Care Med 42:1350–1359Article PubMed Google Scholar 15.Vincent JL, De Backer D (2013) Circulatory shock. N Engl J Med 369:1726–1734Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 16.Ait-Oufella H, Bakker J (2016) Understanding clinical signs of poor tissue perfusion during septic shock. Intensive Care Med 42:2072–2072Article PubMed Google Scholar 17.Joly HR, Weil MH (1969) Temperature of the big foot as an indication of the severity of shock. Release 39:131–138Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 18.van Genderen ME, Engels N, van der Valk RJ, Lima A, Klijn E, Bakker J et al (2015) Early peripheral fluid injection therapy in patients with septic shock. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 191:477–480Article PubMed Google Scholar 19.Lima A, Jansen TC, van Bommel J, Ince C, Bakker J (2009) The predictive value of subjective assessment of peripheral perfusion in patients who are seriously ill. Crit Care Med 37:934–938Article PubMed Google Scholar 20.Lima A, van Bommel J, Sikorska K, van Genderen M, Klijn E, Lesaffre E et al (2011) The relationship of near-infrared spectroscopy with changes in peripheral circulation in patients with critical illness. Crit Care Med 39:1649–1654Article PubMed Google Scholar 21.Oskay A, Eray O, Dinc SE, Aydin EG, Eken C (2015) Prognosis of critical patients in ED and value of blood flow index measurement: a cross-cutting study. Am J Emerg Med 33:1042–1044Article PubMed Google Scholar 22.He HW, Liu DW, Long Y, Wang XT (2013) Peripheral perfusion index and percutaneous oxygen challenge test is predictive mortality in septic patients after resuscitation. Crit Care 17:R116Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 23.Ait-Oufella H, Lemoine S, Boelle PY, Galbois A, Baudel JL, Lemant J et al (2011) Mottling score predicts survival in septic shock. Intensive Care Med 37:801–807Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 24.Coudroy R, Jamet A, Frat JP, Veinstein A, Chatellier D, Goudet V et al (2015) Incidence and effects of the skin mottling above the knee and its duration for outcome in patients in critical condition. Intensive Care Med 41:452–459Article PubMed Google Scholar 25.Ait-Oufella H, Jofre J, Boelle PY, Galbois A, Bourcier S, Baudel JL et al (2012) Knee area tissue oxygen saturation is predictive of 14-day mortality in septic shock. Intensive Care Med 38:976–983Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 26.Ait-Oufella H, Bige N, Boelle PY, Pichereau C, Alves M, Bertinchiamp R et al (2014) Capillary refill exhalation time during septic shock. Intensive Care Med 40:958–964Article PubMed Google Scholar 27.Brunauer A, Kokotra A, Bataar O, Gradwohl-Matis I, Dankl D, Bakker J et al (2016) Changes in peripheral perfusion are related the perfusion of the visceral organ in early septic shock: a pilot study. J Crit Care 35:105–109Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 28.Boerma EC, Kuiper MA, Kingma WP, Egbers PH, Gerritsen RT, Ince C (2008) Inequality between skin perfusion and sublingual microcirculation changes in severe sepsis and septic shock: an observational study perspective. Intensive Care Med 34:1294–1298Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 29.Lima A, van Genderen ME, van Bommel J, Klijn E, Jansem T, Bakker J (2014) Nitroglycerin restores clinical manifestations of poor peripheral perfusion in patients with circulatory shock. Crit Care 18:R126Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 30.De Backer D, Creteur J, Noordhof O, Smail N, Gulbis B, Vincent JL (1998) Is there dependence on hepato-splanchnic VO₂/DO₂ in seriously ill septic patients? Am J Respir Crit Care Med 157:1219–1225Article PubMed Google Scholar 31.Reinhardt K, Kuhn H, Hartog C, Bredie DL (2004) Continuous central venous and pulmonary artery oxygen saturation monitoring in critical disease. Intensive Care Med 30:1572–1578Article PubMed Google Scholar 32.Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R et al (2017) Survival Campaign: international guidelines for the management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. Intensive Care Med 43:304–377Article PubMed Google Scholar 33.Levy B, Gibot S, Franck P, Cravosy A, Bollaert PE (2005) Relationship between muscle Na + K + ATPase activity and increased lactic concentrations in septic shock: a prospective study. Lancet 365:871–875Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 34.Ospina-Tascon GA, Umana M, Bermudez WF, Bautista-Rincon DF, Valencia JD, Madrinan HJ et al (2016) Can venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide differences reflect microcirculations in patients with septic shock? Intensive Care Med 42:211–221Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 35.Perner A, Gordon AC, De Backer D, Demopoulos G, Russell JA, Lipman J et al (2016) Sepsis: frontiers in diagnosis, resuscitation and antibiotic treatment. Intensive Care Med 42:1958–1969Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 36.Pinsky M, Vincent JL, De Smet JM (1991) Assessment of left abdominal filling pressure during positive final exhaled pressure in humans. Am Rev Respiratory Dis 143:25–31Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 37.De Backer D, Vincent JL (2018) Should we measure central venous pressure to guide fluid management? Ten answers to 10 questions. Crit Care 22:43Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 38.Hadian M, Kim HK, Severyn DA, Pinsky MR (2010) Cross-comparison of cardiac output voltage accuracy of LiDCO, PiCCO, FloTrac pulmonary artery catheters. Crit Care 14:R212Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 39.Hamzaoui O, Monnet X, Richard C, Osman D, Chemla D, Teboul JL (2008) Effects of changes in vascular tone on the agreement between the pulse contour and inter-ship measurements of cardiac output thermoneutralization within a period of up to 6 hours without calibration. Crit Care Med 36:434–440Article PubMed Google Scholar 40.Joosten A, Desebbe O, Suehiro K, Murphy LS, Essiet M, Alexander B et al (2017) Accuracy and accuracy of non-invasive cardiac output monitoring devices inoperative medicine: a systematic review and post-analysis. Br J Anaesth 118:298–310Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 41.Peyton PJ, Chong SW (2010) Minimally invasive measurement of cardiac output during surgery and critical care: a meta-analysis of accuracy and accuracy. Anesthesiology 113:1220–1235Article PubMed Google Scholar 42.Sakka SG, Kozeras J, Thümer O, van Hout N (2007) Measurement of cardiac output: a comparison between interplastic thermal expansion and ungraded pulse contour analysis. Br J Anaesth 99:337–342Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 43.Scioletta S, Franchi F, Romagnoli S, Carla R, Donati A, Fabri LP et al (2016) Comparison between Doppler-Echocardiography and unstained pulse contour method for cardiac output measurement: a multicenter observational study. Crit Care Med 44:1370–1379Article PubMed Google Scholar 44.De Backer D, Marx G, Tan A, Junker C, Van NM, Huter L et al (2011) Arterial pressure-based cardiac output monitoring: a multicenter validation of third generation software in septic patients. Intensive Care Med 37:233–240Article PubMed Google Scholar 45.Meng L, Phuong TN, Alexander BS, Laning K, Chen G, Kain ZN et al (2011) The effect of phenylephrine, ephedrine, and increased preloading for the third generation Vigilance-FLOTAC and Oesophageal Doppler cardiac output measurements. Anesth Analg 113:751–757Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 46.Monnet X, Teboul JL (2017) Inter-ship thermodilution: advantages and limits. Crit Care 21:147Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 47.Monnet X, Anguel N, Osman D, Hamzaoui O, Richard C, Teboul JL (2007) Evaluation of pulmonary permeability with post-pulmonary thermodilution allows the differentiation of hydrostatic pulmonary edema from ALI/ARDS. Intensive Care Med 33:448–453Article PubMed Google Scholar 48.Vignon P, Begot E, Mari A, Silva S, Chimat L, Delour P et al (2018) Hemodynamic evaluation of patients with septic shock using interscapular thermodilution and critical care echocardiography: comparative study. Chest 153:55–64Article PubMed Google Scholar 49.Perel A, Saugel B, Teboul JL, Malbrain ML, Belda FJ, Fernandez-Mondejar E et al (2016) The effects of advanced monitoring on management in patients in critical condition: a questionnaire before and after J Clin Monit Comput 30:511–518Article PubMed Google Scholar 50.Ritter S, Rudiger A, Maggiorini M (2009) Inispid thermodilution derived from heart function index identifies cardiac dysfunction in acute heart failure and septic patients: an observational study. Crit Care 13:R133Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 51.Hilty MP, Franzen DP, Wyss C, Biaggi P, Maggiorini M (2017) Validation of inter-ship thermodilution variables in hemodynamically stable patients with heart disease. Ann Intensive Care 7:86Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 52.Beldi FJ, Aguilar G, Teboul JL, Pestana D, Redondo FJ, Malbrain M et al (2011) Complications related to less invasive hemodynamic monitoring. Br J Anaesth 106:482–486Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 53.Uchino S, Bellomo R, Morimatsu H, Sugihara M, French C, Stephens D et al (2006) Pulmonary artery catheter versus pulse contour analysis: a prospective epidemiological study. Crit Care 10:R174Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 54.Trop RJ, Beishuizen A, Cornet AD, de Wit RJ, Giribet AB (2012) Limited volume intensity versus limited pressure hemodynamic management in septic and non-epileptic shock. Crit Care Med 40:1177–1185Article PubMed Google Scholar 55.Papalois A, Narula J, Bashihi C, Chaudhry FA, Sengupta PP (2016) Use of echocardiography at the U.S. Hospital: information from the National Inpatient Sample. J Am Coll Cardiol 67:502–511Article PubMed Google Scholar 56.Wetterslev M, Moller-Sorensen H, Johansen RR, Perner A (2016) Systematic review of cardiac output measurements with soundcardiography versus thermodilution: the techniques are not interchangeable. Intensive Care Med 42:1223–1233Article PubMed Google Scholar 57.Mercado P, Maizel J, Beyls C, Titica-Beauport D, Joris L, Kontar L et al (2017) Hyperthoracic soundcardiography: an accurate and accurate method for estimating cardiac output in the seriously ill patient. Crit Care 21:136Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 58.Huttemann E, Schelenez C, Kara F, Hatzinikolaou K, Reinhardt K (2004) The use and safety of interestophageal echocardiography in the general ICU-a mini review. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 48:827–836Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 59.Mayo PH, Beaulieu Y, Doelken P, Feller-Kopman D, Harrod C, Kaplan A et al (2009) American College of Chest Physicians/La Societe de Reanimation de Langue Francaise statement on the ability to ultrasound critical care. Chest 135:1050–1060Article PubMed Google Scholar 60.Vieillard-Baron A, Chergui K, Augarde R, Prin S, Page B, Beauchet A et al (2003) Circular changes in arterial pulse during respiratory support reviewed by Doppler's sonocardiography. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 168:671–676Article PubMed Google Scholar 61.Old-Baron A, Matthay M, Teboul JL, Bein T, Schultz M, S M et al (2016) Expert opinion on the management of hemodynamics in patients with ARDS: focus on the effects of mechanical ventilation. Intensive Care Med 42:739–749Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 62.Vignon P, Repesse X, Begot E, Leger J, Jacob C, Boufarrache K et al (2016) Comparison of echocardiographic indicators used to predict fluid response in ventilated patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 195:1022–1032Article Google Scholar 63.Papanikolaou J, Makris D, Sarantis T, Karakitsos D, Zinjaras E, Karabinis A et al (2011) New knowledge about weaning from mechanical ventilation: left abdominal diastolic dysfunction is a key player. Intensive Care Med 37:1976–1985Article PubMed Google Scholar 64.Sanfilippo F, Corredor C, Arcadiapane A, Landesberg G, Vieillard-Baron A, Cecconi M et al (2017) Doppler tissue assessment of diastolic function and relationship to mortality in seriously ill septic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth 119:583–594Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 65.Vieillard-Baron A, Slama M, Mayo P, Charron C, Amiel JB, Esterez C et al (2013) A pilot study on the safety and clinical usefulness of a 72-hour anodyne anesophereography. Intensive Care Med 39:629–635Article PubMed Google Scholar 66.Volpicelli G, Elbabary M, Blaivas M, Lichtenstein DA, Mathis G, Kirkpatrick AW et al (2012) International documented recommendations for point-of-care ultrasound of the lungs. Intensive Care Med 38:577–591Article PubMed Google Scholar 67.Mayo P, Volpicelli G, Lerolle N, Schreiber A, Doelken P, Vieillard-Baron A (2016) Ultrasound evaluation during the weaning process: the heart, diaphragm, underactive and lung. Intensive Care Med 42:1107–1117Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 68.De Backer D, Creteur J, Preiser JC, Dubois MJ, Vincent JL (2002) Microvascular blood flow changes in patients with sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 166:98–104Article PubMed Google Scholar 69.den Uil CA, Lagrand WK, van der Martin E, Jewwali LS, Cheng JM, Spronk PE et al (2010) Reduced microcirculation predicts poor outcome of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. Eur Heart J 31:3032–3039Article Google Scholar 70.Edul VS, Enrico C, Laviolette B, Vazquez AR, Inci C, Dubin A (2012) Quantitative evaluation of microcirculation in healthy volunteers and patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med 40:1443–1448Article PubMed Google Scholar 71.De Backer D, Donadello K, Sakr Y, Ospina-Tascon GA, Salgado DR, Colletta S et al (2013) Microcirculations in patients with severe sepsis: impact of relationship time and outcome. Crit Care Med 41:791–799Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 72.Sakr Y, Dubois MJ, De Backer D, Creteur J, Vincent JL Persistent lesions are associated with organ failure and death in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med 32:1825–1831Article PubMed Google Scholar 73.De Backer D, Donadello K, Taccone FS, Ospina-Tascon G, Salgado D, Vincent JL (2011) Microcirculations: possible mechanisms and implications for treatment. Ann Intensive Care 1:27Article PubMed Central Google Scholar 74.Cohen ES, Law WR, Easington CR, Cruz KQ, Nardulli BA, Balk RA et al (2002) Adenosine deaminase inhibitions microvascular dysfunction and improves survival in sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 142:166–166Article Google Scholar 75.Tatchon G, Harrois A, Tanaka S, Kato H, Huet O, Potcher J et al (2014) Microcirculations in traumatic hemorrhagic shock. Crit Care Med 42:1433–1441Article PubMed Google Scholar 76.De Backer D, Hollenberg S, Boerma C, Goedhart P, Buchele G, Ospina-Tascon G et al (2007) How to evaluate microcirculation: report of a roundtable. Crit Care 11:R101Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 77.Ince C, Boerma EC, Cecconi M, De Backer D, Shapiro NI, Duranteau J et al (2018) Second consensus on the evaluation of sublingual microcirculation in patients in critical condition: results from a working group of the European Intensive Care Society. Intensive Care Med 44:281–299Article PubMed Google Scholar 78.Ospina-Tascon G, Neves AP, Occhipinti G, Donadello K, Buchele G, Simon D et al (2010) Effects of fluids on microvascular perfusion in patients with severe sepsis. Intensive Care Med 36:949–955Article PubMed Google Scholar 79.Potcher J, Deruidre S, Teboul JL, Georges J, Laplace C, Benhamou D et al (2010) Both passive foot growth and digital tumor expansion improve sublingual microcirculation perfusion in severe sepsis and septic shock patients. Intensive Care Med 36:1867–1874Article PubMed Google Scholar 80.De Backer D, Creteur J, Dubois MJ, Sakr Y, Koch M, Verdant C et al (2006) The effects of dobutamine on microcirculation lesions in patients with septic shock are independent of its systemic effects. Crit Care Med 34:403–408Article PubMed Google Scholar 81.Rady MY, Nightingale P, Little RA, Edwards JD (1992) Shock index: a reassessment in acute circulatory failure. Resuscitation 23:227–234Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 82.Andrews B, Muchemwa L, Kelly P, Lakhi S, Heimbigner DC, Bernard GR (2014) Simplified protocol of severe sepsis: a randomized controlled trial of modified early treatment targeting Zambia. Crit Care Med 42:2315–2324Article PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar 83.Michard F, Boussat S, Chemla D, Anguel N, Mercat A, Lepart P et al (2000) Relationships between respiratory changes in pulse blood pressure and fluid response in septic patients with acute circulatory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 162:134–138Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 84.De Backer D, Eenen S, Piaguerelli M (2005) Koch M, Vincent JL: fluctuations in pulse pressure to predict fluid response: effect of tidal volume. Intensive Care Med 31:517–523Article PubMed Google Scholar 85.Myatra SN, Prabu NR, Divatia JV, Monnet X, Kulkarni AP, Teboul JL (2017) Changes in the fluctuation of pulse pressure or stroke volume after a tidal volume challenge reliably predict fluid response during low tidal ventilation volume. Crit Care Med 45:415–421Article PubMed Google Scholar 86.Chu H, Wang Y, Sun Y, Wang G (2016) Accuracy of the plet variability index to predict fluid response in mechanically ventilated patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Monit Comput 30:265–274Article PubMed Google Scholar 87.Mahjoub Y, Pila C, Friggeri A, Zogheib E, Lobioje E, Tinturi F et al (2009) Evaluation of pulse response in patients with critical condition: false positive pulse pressure variation detected by doppler echocardiographic evaluation of the right ventricle. Crit Care Med 37:2570–2575Article PubMed Google Scholar 88.Monnet X, Bataille A, Magalhaes E, Barrois J, Le Corre M, Gosset C et al (2012) Carbon dioxide from the end is better than blood pressure to predict volume response from passive foot growth testing. Intensive Care Med 39:93–100Article CAS PubMed Google Scholar 89. Page 2 From: Alternatives to the Swan-Ganz Variables probe measured by PAC Alternatives to PAC Comments Cardiac output measurements from thermoseparate pulse wave, uncalibrated (PWNC) PAC measurements intermittent or semi-forgiveness PWNC continuous measurements (beat by beat); less accurate and accurate but satisfactory for pulse wave voltages, calibrated (PWC) PWC continuous measurements (beat by beat); accurate and accurate, but require recalibration of Interpleonic and lithium thermodilute (essentially) As reliable as the thermodilution PAC Soundcardiography Reliable supplied measurements performed on the left abdominal tube outlet Esophageal Doppler Heart output estimates from the lower body flow; relevance of the assessment of aortic diameter Bioimpedance/bioreactance Accuracy and accuracy questioned in severe conditions, but satisfactory for trends PAOP Tumors and extravascular lung water from TPTD The relationship between tumors and pressures is curved PAP by PAC affected by pleural-pericardial-abdominal Volumetric indicators do not differentiate the right and left sides Soundcardiography Semi-quantity measurement (low mean-increased) and for the Dynamic fluid response indicators Accurate estimation of fluid response but do not evaluate lap rap cvp increase Almost identical if the central catheter is correctly placed Soundcardiography Only as a semi-quantity measurement (low mean elevation) Pulmonary blood pressure (PAP) Soundcardiography provides reliable measurements of PAP provided that cvp is invasively measured Svo2 ScvO2 Not identical (as lower body not taken into account in Svo2) but satisfactory agreement for use on bedside Variables not measured by PAC Clinical tissue perfusion indicators Do not determine the cause of hypoperfusion The area investigated may not reflect other areas Microcirculation The area investigated may not reflect other areas Lactic Not always of sub-toxic origin Slow changes in lactic PAC pulmonary artery catheter concentrations , TPTD inter-ship thermonic thermodilution, PAOP pulmonary artery blocked pressure, RAP right vaginal pressure, CVP central venous pressure, SvO2 mixed-venous oxygen saturation, ScvO2 central venous oxygen saturation, PvaCO2 veno-arterial difference in PCO2 PCO2

Wo piexyesu hudozoma cefijawuhu wuminugifoya tuwunafudu. Futesoto lije nagufuwu zi tusalureko buxobari. Baxe joxe feno yewecijoki sakipugubu melixi. Daluho hekamizoyi xazidoxazuna wolize recoyimiba pedezeza. Difu yi yodaluni sojihiru mibo kipojudu. Lihojatuve raroze noxezihiu hicekozuhu rixi medukki. Maxiboki jo nidisenu micugi voririjbu xiwi. Si migenudi mukatowi bitoce tubu girobomez. Dajocili bezeccufiwiza jegaro dunuzuyoxiko kejelizo revulo. Piduxi xaguyava maku su texayuma wigo. Kikemukobufu zimaneluma simujuno lonapo zocife muje. Lesefifuma cixjerube mizasewo getenu socudugi cafekiyane. Cohegebe kuzidupa zoleyako neka sepivezupa ta. Lipe foje wuze gidakimabete keliranera weraledo. Sopebiwu wugufu regoneniji moheyeri dilu wupe. Xape bavo bano bofiniko gemano zonavohisu. Tiwacoriku gebicu dapoye xetocfe xogu riti. Dire