Producers of marine ecosystem

An ecosystem is a group of living things that communicate with each other and the environment. A marine ecosystem is all about living and non-living in an ocean environment communicating with each other and the physical world. These things live in the ecosystem of behaviour such as food and moving. The transfer of energy producers like Seed captured energy from the sun. This energy is passing through the food chain. Some energy is lost in the process. What are producers and consumers? Coastal plants, seas and fitoplankton produce their own food use sun energy: They are the producers. Animals need to consume food to survive: they are the consumers. Plants and animals connect in ways to obtain energy and food. Producer - A living thing that can make its own food using sun's energy and a process called photosynthesis, such as cow bull brightness, seagras. Consumers - Something living that eats other things that lives to survive. Some food plants (herbivores), some other animal foods (carnivores), and others will eat both (omnivores). The type of producer neck necklace Neptune – Neptune's necklace is a sea that makes its own food using the sun's energy in a process called photosynthesis. Phytoplankton – The largest main producers of the marine ecosystem. Phytoplankton crops sunshine into fotosynthesis and stores it as chemical energy. Consumer types filter feeder – A kind of consumer that water filters to get small living things (such as plankton) are fed, such as barrels. Grazer - A kind of herbivore that scrapes plant artifacts and other small living things from the food stones, like kina. Browsers - A kind of herbivore that eats some producers, such as oath selling, such as sea, such as butterfly. Predatory – A type of carnivore or omnivore that hunt finds foods and eats other consumers, like snapper. Scavenger - A kind of consumer who eats on the dead remains of things that live, like mud wheels. Decomposer – Decomposers feed on angry things that live and break down the dead to stay. That returns elementary elements back to the ecosystem. E.g. fungi, bacteria and some invertebrates. What is a dog food? A dog eater shows the color of energy in which things live eat. A dog eater always starts with a producer and then shows the consumer. The consumer is usually an ebivore species that feed the producer. The kanavo species then eats that herbivore species, and so on until you reach the top predatory. No other space will eat a predatory top. Example of a marine food chain in the subtidal area: What is a food internet? A food web is a more complex diagram showing relationships to feed into things that live in a habitat. Many food chains that interliged make up a food internet. Example of a habit of rival / internet ref habitats: Does eating the living things communicate in an ecosystem? Living things communicates in a variety of ways in the ecosystem. example, they compete for resources such as light and space. Some animals may use other animals for habitats or share their habitats and other living things. Animals can have special relationships. For example, some shrimp and pilot fish clean teeth from larger fish and sharks. Both animals from the relationship: shark/fish become clean teeth and the fish shrimp / pilot gets fed. The biodiversity of New Zealand's marine reserve Biodiversity is the variety of things that live in an environment. Marine reserves New Zealand are home to many species of fish, sport, sea stars, and seeds. The climate, geology, history, land-use and rhythm of a habitat live things that can live there. How do living things survive in marine environments? Marine biodiversity has special adaptations to dealing with the environment. For example, they can have scales, webbed feet, steroids or waterproof feathers. These adaptations suit their specific habitats. Body systems are different from tea animals. For example, they may have a container of breath in the water. Ready for an exam? Try introducing activities to Marine Ecosystems. The pelagic food internet, showing the central participation of marine microorganisms in how the ocean imported nutrients from and then exported them back into the atmosphere and sea floor. Part of a series of bevy of Marine Habitat Marine Microorganisms Marine Virus Proxyotes Marine Proxyotes Marine, Marine fungi marine intervertebre marine vertebrate marine production marine kabo marine pump biogeochemical sugar impact human impact on marine life conservation Marine Biology Marine Life Marine Portalvalte Compared to terrestrial environments, marine environments have pyramid biomass that invest in the base. In particular, the biomass of consumers (copepods, krill, shrimp, shrimp, fish forage) is bigger than the biomass of their main producer. This happens because offshore main producers are small phytoplankton that grow and reproduce rapidly, so a small mass can have a fast rate of primary production. In contrast, many significant terrestrial producers main, such as forest maturity, grow and reproduce slowly, so a larger mass is needed to achieve the same rate of primary yields. Because of this inversion, it is the zooplankton that makes up most of the animal shipwreck biomas. As main consumers, zooplankton is the critical link between the main producers (mostly phytoplankton) and the rest of the Marine Food Internet (secondary consumers). [1] If phytoplankton die before it is fed, it falls into the euphotic area as part of the marine snow and solves the depths of the seas. In this way, fiytoplankton sexters about 2 billion tons of carbon in the ocean each year, causing the ocean to become a flow of carbon dioxide holding about 90% of all sequences The sea produces about half of the world's oxygen and stores 50 times more carbon gases than the atmosphere. Phytoplankton automatically produce biomass by converting inorganic compounds into the same organic ones. In this way, phytoplankton functions as the foundation of the Marine Food Internet by supporting all other lives in the ocean. The second central process of the marine food internet is the microbal loop. This buckle degraded marine bacteria with purchases, organic remineralism and inorganic matter, and then reclaimed the products either through the pelagical food internet or by filing them as sediments on the seaflore. [4] Dog food and marine trophic levels dog food (typical) Sun ↓ phytoplankton ↓ zooplankton ↓ carnivore zooplankton ↓ filter feeder ↓ predatory primary item: food dogs and trophic food levels are built in food chains. All life forms in the ocean have the potential to become food for another form of life. In the ocean, a food chain typically starts with energy from phytoplankton to the power of the sun, and follow → course like: phytoplankton → zooplankton → zooplankton → filter feeder → predatory vertebrate Phytoplankton don't need other organisms to eat, because they have the ability to manufacture their own food directly to inorganic carbon, using sunlight as their energy source. This process is called fotosynthesis, and results in phytoplankton convert naturally designed carbon into protoplasm. For this reason, phytoplankton are said to be the main producers at the bottom or first level of the Marine Food Chain. Since they are at the first level are said to have a trophic level of 1 (from Greek trophē meaning food). The phytoplankton are then consumed at the next level of the trophics of dogs fed by microscopic animals called zooplankton. Zooplankton comprised the second trophic level of the food chain, and include microscopic single-cell organisms named protozoa as well as small hooks, such as copepods and krill, and the lava of fish, equipment, loss and crab. Organisms at this level may have been thought of as primary consumers. In turn, smaller zooplankton of them consumed by zooplankters of larger kanavor, such as larger predatory protozo predatory and krill, and by age fish, which are small, school, filter-eating fish. That makes up the third level of dog meals. A food internet is networking in food chains, and as such can represent graphically and analyzing using techniques from network theory. [5] [6] Classic foods for grill seals in the Baltic sea containing several typical marine food chains [7] levels of fourth trophic consists of predatory fish, mammal and serbird-consuming serbirds Fish. Examples are swords, seals and glasses. Apex predators, like orcas, can consume seals, and short shark mako, which can consume swords, make up a fifth level of trophic. Baleen wheels can consume zooplankton and krill directly, leading to a dog food with only three or four levels of trophic. In practice, trophic levels are not usually simple integers because the same consumer species often eat across more than one trophic level. [8][9] For example a large marine vertebrate may eat smaller predatory fish but power also eat filter feeders; the array eats the crostasian, but the hammerhead eats both krustasci and stingray. Animals can eat each other; The code eats smaller ropes as well as criteria, and feeds food ropes. Eating the habits of a youthful animal, and, as a consequence, its trophic levels, can change as it grows up. Sin scientist Daniel Pauly sets the values of trophic levels at one of the main producers and detritus, two of herbivores and detrivores (primary consumers), three of secondary consumers, and so on. The definition of the trophic level, TTL, for any consumer species is: [10] T L i = 1 + ∑ j(T j · D i j) { \ display display TL_ { i } = 1 + sum _{j } (TL_{j}\cdot DC_{ij}) } } } } where T L j {\ show TL_ {j} } is the fractional trophic level of the beautiful j, and D i j {\montrestyle DC_ {ij}} represents the fraction of j in the none of the i. In the marine ecosystem cases, the trophic level of most fish and other marine consumers takes value between 2.0 and 5.0. The upper value, 5.0,is unusual, even for large fish,[11] even if it happens to predatory apex of marine ducts, such as polar bears and killer wheels. [12] As a point of contrast, people have a trophic level of about 2.21, about the same as a pig or an anchovy. [13] [14] No primary surface producer of ocean chlorophyll in October 2019 concentrations of chlorine can be used as a proxy to indicate how many phytoplanktons are present. So on this global green map indicates where a lot of phytoplankton are present, while wheat indicates where some phytoplankton are present. – NASA Earth Observatory 2019. [15] More information: Marine main production at the base of the sea food internet are single-cell algo and other plant-like organisms known as phytoplankton. Like plants on soil, phytoplankton use chlorophyl and other light-harvesting pigments to carry out photosynthesis, absorb dioxide atmospheric carbon to produce sugar for gas. Chlorophyl in the water changes the way the water reflects and absorbs the sun's light, allowing scientists to map the amount and location of phytoplankton. These measurements provide valuable scientists insights into the health of the ocean environment, and help scientists study the ocean carbon cycle. [15] Prochlorococcus, an influential bacteria that produces much of the world Among the phytoplankton is members from a bacterial rifle called cyanobacteria. Marine cyanobacteria includes lesser-known fotosynthetic organisms. The smallest of all, Prochlorococcus, is just 0.50.8 micrometres across. [16] In terms of individual numbers, Prochlorococcus is perhaps the most abundant species on Earth: a single millitre of surface sea water can contain 100,000 cells or more. Worldwide has estimated to be several occasions (1027) people. [17] Prochlorococcus is ubiquitous between 40°N and 40°S and dominate in the oligotrophic (poor elementary) region of the oceans. [18] Bacterial accounts for about 20% of the oxygen in Earth's atmosphere. This is a contrast on land, where most main production is performed by vascular plants. Algae ranges from single floating cells to attach seeds, while vascular plants are represented in the sea by groups such as the seagras and their mangrovs. Larger producers, such as seagras and seed, are mostly in the coastlines and deep water areas, where they are attached to the hidden substrate and are still in the picture area. But most of the main production by alphabet designed by the phytoplankton. Thus, at sea environment, the first level under trophics handled primarily by phytoplankton, microscopic driven organisms, mostly single-cell algo, are floated in the ocean. Most phytoplankton are too small to be seen individually with the unaided eyes. They may appear as a (often green) decoration in the water when present in sufficiently high numbers. Since they increase the biomass mainly in the live photosynthesis of layers of sun-liter surface (euphotic areas) in the ocean. The most prominent groups of phytoplankton include the diatom and diflagellates. Diatoms are especially important in ocean, where according to some estimates they contribute up to 45% of the total ocean production. [20] Diatoms are usually microscopic, although some species can reach up to 2 millimeters in length. The main consumer second level trophic (main consumer) is handled by zooplankton that eats into the phytoplankton. Alongside the phytoplankton, they form the basis of the food pyramid that supports most of the world's fish yard. Zooplankton is small animals found with phytoplankton in oceanic surface water, and include small croatians, and larva fish and fried (recently hate fish). Most zooplankton are filter feeders, and they use appendix to strain phytoplankton in the water. Some larger zooplankton also eat on smaller zooplankton. Some zooplankton can jump over a bit to avoid predatory, but they can't really swim. Like phytoplankton, they actual ones, bound with wind instead. Zooplanktons can reproduce rapidly, populations can increase up to thirty percent a day under favorable conditions. Many short and productive lives and reached maturity quickly. Zooplankton forms a second level of sea food chain segmented glass Tiny shrimp-like crustaces Juvenile planktonics stormed particularly important groups of zooplankton is kopod and llkri. Copepods are a group of croatian housewife found in ocean habitats and fresh water. They are the largest source of proteins in the sea,[21] and are important for the fish. Krill constitute the next major source of protein. Krill are particularly large predatory zooplankton who eat on smaller zooplankton. That means they really be part of the third trophic level, high consumers, along with the forage fish. Jellyfish are easily taken and digested and may be more important as food sources than previously thought. [22] Together, phytoplankton and zooplankton make the most of plankton in the sea. Plankton is the term applied to any small organism floating in the ocean (greek planktos = up or drifter). By definition, organisms classified as plankton are unable to swim against ocean currents; they cannot resist the ambient's currents and control their positions. In ocean settings, the first two levels are trophic handled mainly by plankton. Plankton is divided into the producers and consumers. The producers are the phytoplankton (Greek phyton = plant) and the consumers, who eat the phytoplankton, is the zooplankton (Greek zoon = animals). Jellyfish are slow swimming, and most species form part of the plankton. Traditionally jellyfish are seen as dead trophic ends, playing minors in the marine food internet, organisms that have a largely body plan based on water that offer little nutritional value or interest for other organisms apart from a few specialized predators such as the sea sun and the sea turl leather. [23] [22] Behold, this has been challenged recently. Jellyfish, and more generally gelatin zooplankton including salps and ctenophores, are very diverse, fragile with no hard parts, hard to view and control, subject to balanced population schemes and often live inconvenient away shore or deep in the ocean. It's hard for scientists to detect and analyze the jelefish of the vessels in predatory, since they turn to mid-air food and are rapidly digested. [23] But misunderstanding bloom in vast numbers, and it was shown to form major elements of the diet of tuna, fear and sword as well as various birds and investigations such as octopus, sea cucumber, crab and bulb. [24][22] Despite their low energy density, the contribution of irritation to the energy budgets of the predatory ones may be greater than supposed to be because of rapid digestion, low capture availability, and selective foods on the energy-rich components. Feedings on jewelry can make Marines predatory sensitive to the plastic ingestion. [22] Higher consumer fish Predator fisches up school for marine fishing intervebretebre Fish Forage: Drilling fish occupies central position of the offshore food web. The organisms it feed them at a lower trophic level, and the organisms that feed it are at a higher trophic level. Forage fish handled middle levels of the food internet, serving as a precious dominant of higher levels of fish, seabirds and seeds. [25] Fish Fish Ground Other Marine Vertebrates in 2010 researchers found whales carrying nutrients from the bottom of the sea back to the surface using a process called the whale pump. [26] Whales eat at deeper levels of the sea where krill is found, but return regularly to the breathing surface. There are whales to undo a rich liquid of carrogen and iron. Instead of flowing, the liquid stays at the surface where phytoplankton consumes it. [27] Humpback bad cooking from below to feed on Gannet fish diving plunged from above catch for fishing Whale Pump Nutrient Nutrient Microorganisms The average has more than a million microbe cells in every sea water drop, and collective metabolism are not only nutrients reclaimed which can then be used by larger organisms but also catalyze key chemical transformations that hold Earth's habit.[28] See also : Marine microorganisms, microbal towns, internet microbeal foods, viral shunt, and marine microbiosal symposis have increased recognition in last year that marine microorganisms play greater roles in marine ecosystem than previously thought. The development of metagenomics provides researchers an ability to reveal diversity already hidden in microscopic life, offering a powerful lens for viewing the microbal world and the potential of revolutionary understanding of the living world. [29] Metabarcoding dietary analysis techniques were used to reconstruct Internet food at higher levels of taxonic resolution and reveal deeper complexity of the Internet of interactions. [30] Microorganisms play key roles in the Internet of Marine Food. The viral shunt pathway is a mechanism that prevents particular microbial marine organic matter (POM) from migrating up trophic levels by recycle them to dissolving organic problems (DOM), which can be easily picked up by microorganisms. [31] Viral shunting helps maintain diversity in the microbial ecosystem by preventing a single species of marine microbes from dominating the micro-environment. [32] Dom's recycle by the viral shunt path is comparable with the amount generated by the other main sources of marine DOM. [33] Overall, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is introduced into the sea from bacterial lysis, the leakage or display of fixed carbon from phytoplankton (e.g., mucilagginous exopolymer from diatoms), sudden cell sensations, exploit fed by zooplankton, the excretion of producing waste by aquatic animals, or the hanging of organic particles from terrestrial plants and soil. [34] Bacteria in the haze loop decomposed this particular mouse to utilize this energy-rich problem for growth. Since more than 95% of organic problems in marine ecosystem consists of polymeric, high molecular weight (HMW) compounds (e.g., proteins, polysaccharides, lipids), only a small portion of total organic melt problems (DOM) is conveniently used by most marine organizations in higher trophic levels. This means that dissolved organic carbon is not available directly to most marine organisms; marine bacteria present this organic carbon in the food internet, causing more energy to become available at higher trophic levels. Ocean Particular Organic Problems (APPLE) as imagined by a satellite in 2011 Cycling in marine phytoplankton. Phytoplankton live in the photic area of the ocean, where fotosynthesis is possible. During fotosynthesis, they assimilate carbon dioxide and release oxygen. If the solar radiation is too high, phytoplankton can fall victim to photodegradation. For growth, phytoplankton cells depend on nutrients, which enter the sea by river, continental weather, and ice meltry on the poles. The War Phytoplankton release organic carbon (DOC) into the sea. Since phytoplankton is the basis of the Internet of Marine Food, they serve as almost for zooplankton, sink fish and other heterosophical organisms. They can be degraded by bacteria or by viral lysis. Although some phytoplankton cells, such as dinoflagelaates, are able to migrate vertically, they are still emapable of actively moving against the tide, so they slowly flow and ultimately fatten the sea with dead cells and detery. [35] DOM, POM and shuntConnections are viral between the different compartments of the lived (bacteria/viruses and physics−/zooplankton) and the nonliving (DOM/APPLE and in the organic environment [36]The shortcut of the viral shortcut facilitates the flow of dissolved organic problems (DOM) and particular organic matter (POM) via the food website Marine Giant CroV Attack C.roenbergensisCafeteria robergensis a marine bacteria flageateA Mavirus virophage (lower left) ricolate and a giant Crov[37] A giant marine CroV virus infected and caused the death by the zooflageate marine zoofagellate cafeteria robergensis. [38] This impacts coastal ecology because Cafeteria roenbergensis feeds on the bacteria found in the water. When there are low numbers of Cafeteria roenbergensis due to many CroV infections, the bacterial populations increase exponentially. [39] The Crov Impact on Natural Population C. remain unknown; however, the virus was found to be very host specific, and not infect other organisms related to. [40] Cafeteria robergensis is also infected by a second virus, the Mavirus virus, which is a satellite virus, meaning it is able to be rebuttened only in the presence of another specific virus, in this case in the presence of CroV. [41] This virus interferes with the replation of CroV, leading to the survival of C. roenbergensis cells. Mavirus is able to integrate into the cell genes of C. roenbergensis, and thereby conferring immunity in the population.[42] Fungi Maini article: Marine fungi diagrams of a mycoloop (deep loop) parasite chytrids can transfer material from large inedible phytoplankton zooplankton. Chytrids zoospores are excellent food for zooplankton in terms of size (2-5 μm in diameter), shape, quality nutritional (rich in polyunsaturated fat acids and cholesterol). Large colonies of phytoplankton host also can be fragmented by chytrid infections and become edible zooplankton. [43] The fungi role of marine carbon sugar in the baking cycle of marine carbon cycle is not processing phytoplankton-out organic subjects. Parasite bake, as well as saprofic fungi, directly assume organic phytoplankton carbon. By releasing zoospores, the deepened bridges trophic zooplankton, known as the mycoloop. By modifying the particular carbon and melted organic carbon, they can affect bacteria with the microbe loop. These processes can modify marine snow chemical composition and subsequent functioning of the biological carbon pump. [44] [45] Do not habitat pelagic Internet Food structures in the euphotic linear dog food area great phytoplankton-herbivore-predatory (on the left with red arrow connections) there is less level than one with small phytoplankton at the base. The microbe loop refers to the flow from the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) via heterotrophic bacteria (het. Backward.) and microzooplankton predatory zooplankton (on the right and arrow solid black). Viruses play a major role in mortality in phytoplankton and heterotic bacteria, and carbon-reclaimed organics back in the DOC pool. Other sources that dissolve organic carbon (also narrowed black grip) include requirement, sloppy food, etc. Particular detritus pools and cops are not shown for simplicity. [46] For the pelagical ecosystem, Legendary and Rassoulzadagan proposed in 1995 a continuum of trophic routes with feed-dog and microbal buckles as food-web end cooking. [47] Classic linear food - dog food - dog food involves zooplankton on larger phytoplankton and subsequent preaching on zooplankton by either larger zooplankton or another predatory. In such a linear food chain a predatory can either lead to high phytoplankton biomass (in a system with phytoplankton, Herbivore and a predatory) or biomass phytoplankton (at a system with four levels). Changes in predatory abundance can, thus, lead to trophic cascading. [48] The end-member microbal loop involves not only phytoplankton, as basal resources, but also dissolved organic carbon. [49] Organic carbon is used by heterophic bacteria for the anticipated growth over by larger zooplankton. Consequently, dissolved organic carbon transformed, via a bacteria-microzooplankton loop, into zooplankton. These two-member carbon processing processes are connected to multiple levels. Small phytoplankton can be consumed directly by microzooplankton. [46] As illustrated in the diagram on the right, organic carbon dissolved is produced in various ways and by various organisms, both by main producers and consumers of organic carbon. DOC is released by main producers who reach passive by lent and actively during endless growth during the limits of nutrients. [50] [51] Another direct route from phytoplankton to melt organic pool involves viral lysis. [52] Marine viruses are a major cause of fiytoplankton mortality in the ocean, particularly in warmer, low-latitude waters. Sloppy food by herbivores and incomplete digestion of consumers are other sources of dissolved organic carbon. Heterosopical microbes use extralift enzimes to solubilize particular organics and use this and other organic carbon resources for growth and maintenance. Part of the micro-heteropic microphic production is used by microzooplankton; another part of the heterophic community subject to intense viral lysis and this causes the release of organic carbon melt again. The efficiency of the haze loop depends on multiple factors but in particular on the relative importance of preaching and viral lysis in the mortality of microbiotics. [46] Pelagic Internet food pelagic food and the biological pump. Links among the sea biological pumps and Internet feed pelagic and the ability to sample these components soften from ships, satellites, and autonomous vehicles. Blue light waters are the euphotic area, while the blue waters represent the lightest area. [53] The internet impacts mesopelagic foods of mesopelagic species on the global carbon budget[54]DVM=diel vertical wall NM= non-migrating mesopelagic bristlemouths vertebrate power to the most copious on the planet, though little is known about them. [55] Gelatinous predatory as this narcomedusan consumes the greater diversity of mesopelagic preych pelagic A from the perspective of a web abyss from ROV based on observation of food, as represented by 20 large taxonomic grouping. the link between the predatory predatory predators has been flowed according to predatory group origins, and towns are indicated in-group feed. The thickness of the lines or corners that connect internet components feed is scaled The unique log ROV food through 1991-2016 between two groups of animals. The different groups have eight color-coded types according to the main types of animals as indicated by the legend and defined here: red, sefalopod; cleaning, Croatian; green light, fish; green darkness, media; violet, siphonophores; blue, ctenophores and grills, all other animals. In this trace, the vertical axle does not match trophic levels, because this metric is not easily pinned to all members. [56] Scientists begin to explore in more detail the largely unknown area of the twilight of the mesopelagic, 200 to 1,000 meters deep. This layer is responsible for removing about 4 billion tonnes of carbon gas from the atmosphere each year. The mesopelagic layer is inhale by most of the marine fish biomass. [55] According to a 2017 study, narcomeduse consumes the greatest diversity of mesopelagic priy, followed by phone siphonophores, ctenophores and cephalopods. The importance of so-called frozen web is only beginning to be understood, but it seems media, ctenophores and siphonophores can be predatory key to deep web feeding pelagical and ecological impact similar to predatory fish and shake. Traditionally gelatin predators have thought inefectual suppliers of trophic marine routes, but they appear to have substantial and integral roles in deep Internet pelagic foods. [56] Duel vertical migration, an important active transport mechanism, enables mesozooplankton sex carbon fuel in the atmosphere as well as provided carbon needs for other mesopelagic organisms. [57] A 2020 study reports that by 2050 global heating could be spread to the abyss seven times faster than it is now, though emissions of greenhouse gases are cut off. Drivers of mesopelagic layers and deeper soils could have major consequences for deep-sea internet feed, since sea species will need to move to survival temperatures. [58] [59] Fish in the twilight light cast new on the Conversation Sea ecosystem, February 10, 2014. An Ocean Mystery of the Trillions New York Times, June 29, 2015. Mesopelagic fish – Expedition Circumcision Malaspina in 2010. [60] [61] The role of micronekton of pelagic webs feeds Oceanic pelagical food showing energy flow from micronekton to top predators. Thickness line is to increase the proportion of the diet. Synthetic radar workers (SAR) remote satellites can detect areas with concentrated surfaces or ocean slicks, which appear as dark zones on the SAR images. [63] Ocean surface habitats sit in the compilation between the sea and the atmosphere. Biofilm-like habitats at the surface of the ocean ports microorganisms, often referred to as neuston. This vast air-water interface sits at the intersection of larger-water exchange processing exchanges spaning more than 70% of the world's surface area. Bacteria of microlayer on the surface of the ocean, They are called bacteriumeuston, they are of interest due to practical applications such as offshore sea fuel exchange of dark gases, climate-active marine aerosols production, and remote sense of the ocean. Major sources of surfactants in the open ocean include phytoplankton,[64] runof ground, and storage from the atmosphere.[63] Unlike algal colors that flow, surfactant-associated bacteria cannot be visible in ocean flow imagery. Having the ability to detect these invisible surfactant-associated bacteria using your synthetic radar has immense benefits of all-time conditions, regardless of cloud, fog, or daylight. [63] This is particularly important in very high winds, because these are the conditions when the most intense air-sea fuel exchanges and marine production of aerosol take place. Therefore, in addition to colored satellite imagery, SAR satellite imagery can provide more insights into a global picture of biophysics processing in the borders between the ocean and atmosphere, green air-sea fuel exchange and production of climate-active marine aerosol. [63] In sea floor Wep and enterprise interactions and deep-sea settings the ecosystem axle are meters above bottom on a liter bottle scale. DOC: Dissolved organic carbon, POC: Particular organic carbon, SMS: Silfloor Massive Sulfide. [65] More information: Hydrothermal joint microbal community with Benthic-pelagic coupling ocean floor (benthic) sits in the kidess between the sea and the interior of the earth. Weps and conceptual diagrams of fan community structure and food web models alongside liquid-liquid gradients of Guaymas view and stomach ecosystem. [66] [67] [68] Seabird's coastal webs seabird settlements are hot nutrient spots, especially, for nitrogen and phosphorus. [69] Coastal waters included the water in extracts and on continental shelters. They occupy about 8 percent of the total sea area [70] and account for about half of all productivity at sea. Key components determine the liquid petrophic eutrophication of coastal water and phosphorosis in the lak. Both were found in high concentrations of guano (festic seabird), which acts as a fertilizer for the enclosed ocean or an adjacent rain. Alkaline acid is the dominant silverron compound, and during its distinctive mineralization forms produce. In the diagram on the right: (1) harmonification produces NH_3 and NH_4^+, and (2) nutrition produces NO_3^- by NH_4 + oxidation. Under the alcohol conditions, Of the serbird feasts, the NH_3 is rapidly volatized (3) and transformed into NH_4^+, which is transported from the colony, and in wet-deposit exported to western ecosystem, which is eutrophize(4). The phosphoron cycle is simple and has reduced mobility. This component is found in a number of chemical forms of the seabird's fecal material, but more mobile and bio available is orthophosphate, which can be resulted from subterranean water or runoff (5). [69] EstuariesExample feed internet from an estimated, Lagoon to Venice, involving 27 nodes or functional groups. Colors of dribbling color describe target different fish (artisanal fish in blue, and fish chlamy in red species) and non-target (for crop chloride crops, in green). [71] [72] Filtered food bivalvesEcosystem services provided by filtered bivalves often residents in estuaries, in the form of extracting nutrients from phytoplankton. Blue muscles are used in the example but other bivalves such as oysters also provide these nutrient extraction services. [73] Chesapeake food webWaterbird food webWaterbird at Chesapeake Bay generalized internet food for some of the biggest water that often Chesapeake Bay. Food sources and habitats of water vessels are affected by several factors, including exotic and invasive species. [74] [75] Continental Puffins Shelter and Typical Food Reconstruction a Continental Shelf and Internet Food It [76] CoralFood Internet Reconstruction by DNA barcodes at the Chorus Decline in Moorea, French Polynia. Dietary partition among three species of fish predoration as detected using dietary metabarcoding analysis. Taxonic resolutions offered by the metabarcoding approach highlight a complex complex internet and demonstrate that levels of trophic partition among coral cool fish are likely to have been underestimated. [30] [77] Coral reef diversityTaxonomic filogram from tol-metabarcoding of eukaryotic diversity around the coral reef of Coral Bay in Australia. The bar graphs indicate the number of families in each daughter, who was shed according to kingdoms. [78] DNA coding can be used to construct food web structures with better taconomic resolution of the internet noses. This provides more specific identification species and greater keys on exactly who consists of whom. DNA barcodes and DNA information can enable new approaches to construction of larger web interactions, and overcome some burdens to achieve sample sample size. [30] A newly implemented method for identification species is the soil metabarcoding. Identification species across morphology is relatively difficult and requires a lot of time and expertise. [79] [80] High production DNS metabarcoding allows taxonic placement and therefore identification for the complete sample regarding the specific primary group selected for the previous DNS Seagras meadowsCumulative visualization of a number of internet seagras eating from different regions with different levels of eutrophication different colors represented trophic groups from different trophic levels and nuts=main producers, dark to light grey=high producers, and the lighter being predatory top. The grey link represents food links. [81] Haze DNA barcoding Alga DNA coding fish DNA coding DNA coding in Diet Evaluation Kelp forest Byrnes, J.E., Reynolds, P.L. and Stachowicz, J.J. (2007) Invasion and extension reshape webs feed marine food. PLOS ONE, 2 (3): e295. doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.000295 Polar Webs polar topographiesThe Antarctica is a frozen arena run by OseYanThe Arctic is a ocean freezer media teressPlay mediaThe annual ice and snow of the poles and Antarctic marine system has very different topographical structures and as a consequence has very different web structures. [82] Both arctic and Antarctic webs feed pelagic colored energy features controlled largely by a few key species. But there's no single generic internet for either. Alternative pathways are crucial to resistance and maintaining energy gooey. However, these more complicated alternatives provide less energy flow above trophic-level species. Feed-web structures can be similar in different regions, but the individual species that dominate mid-trophic levels varies across polar regions. [83] Humpback dancer stem krill Penguins and polar never met Antarctica have penguins but no polar BearsEThe Actic has polar bears but no penguin arctic Polar must feed websTraditional arctic marine food internet with a focus on macroganismsContemporary arctic marine food internet with a bigger focus on the role of the microorganisms the Arctic food internet is complex. The loss of sea ice may finally affect the Whole Foods internet, from alcohol and plankton fish to mammoth. The impact of climate change on a particular species can be replaced in a food internet and affects a wide range of other organisms... Not only is the decline in polar ice population disabling polar disabilities by reducing the extent of their main habitat, it is also negatively affecting them through food internet effects. Declines in the duration and bounds of sea ice in Turkey lead to declines in the abundance of ice albeit, which thrives in the pockets of nutrient-rich ice. These kelp are fed by zooplankton, which is in turn fed by arctic rope, an important food source for many marine tenths, including seals. The seals are fed by heavily polar bear. Thereby, declines in ice alit can contribute to declines in polar populations. [84] In 2020 researchers reported that measures over the past two decades on main production in the Arctic Ocean showed an increase of nearly 60% due to higher concentrations of They hypothesis that new nutrients will flow in from other oceans and suggest that means the Arctic Ocean may be able to support higher trophic production levels and more carbon fixation in carbon future. [85] [86] Transplantation (Sea Angel) Stepper: Swimming nails into the bacterial sea marinomonas arctica grow inside arctic ice at subzerosWalrus temperatures are klestone species in the Arctic , but not found in Antarctica there. Arctic Internet food and mixotrophyphylow arrows: color of energy from the sun to photosinthetic organisms (autotrophes and mexotrophs) Grill an arrow: the carbon color of heterotrofsen arrows: larger routes of carbon color in or from mexotrophsHCIL: heterophic sulfate; MCIL: mixotrophic siliate; HNF: heterotrophic nanoflallates; DOC: organic carbon melt; HDIN: Heterophic dinoflate [87] Diatom Pennate from an arctic meltpond, infected with two chytrid-like [zoo-]sporangel silver pathogens deep (in strong-color red). Low scale = 10 µm. [88] Antarctica Antarctica's antarctica jellyfish diplulmaris antarctica under the ice colonies of Antarctica's Alga Faeocystis, an important phytoplankter of the Ross Sea that dominated early winter flowers after their retirement ice ice and vital carbon exports. [89] Pennate diatom Fragilariopsis kerguelensis, found throughout the Circumstant Antarctica Current, is a key driver of the global simulator pump. [90] A group of red killer attempts to unlock a turboat seal on an ice floe Importance of Antarctica krill of byogeochmical cyclesProcesses of the given biological pump numbers are luxurious carbon (Gt Cyr− Phytoplankton converts CO_2, which was dissolved into the atmosphere of the ocean surface in particular organic carbon (POC) during the main production. The phytoplankton are then consumed by krill and small graz zooplankton, which in turn are preyed on by higher trophic levels. Any phytoplankton form aggregates, and along with facal zooplankton facal pellets, flow rapidly and are exported from the mixing layer. Krill, zooplankton and microbes intercepted phytoplankton in the sea surfaces and blocked detrital particles in depth, consume with this POC respiration CO_2 (inorganic carbon dissolved, DIC), such as that only a small proportion of the surface-generated carbon produced by the deep sea (i.e., depth > 1000 m). As krill and smaller zooplankton eat, they also fragment particles physically in small, slower- or non-connected pieces (via sloppy food, coprorhexy if fragmenting figures), retarding POC exports. This releases organic carbon (DOC) either from cells or indirectly via bacterial solubilities (yellow circles around DOC). Bacteria can then recap the DOC DIC (CO_2, microbe fields). Duel vertical migration krill, smaller zooplankton and fish can actively carbon in-depth does not consume POC's layers of surface at night, and rtabli it in the daytime, mesopelagic residence depth. As well as species' life story, active transport can occur on a seasonal basis as well. [91] Antarctica Marine Food Internet Potter Cove 2018. Vertical positions indicate trophic levels and nerve widths are proportional total degrees (in and out). Color node represents functional groups. [92] [93] Common Graph - Haters of Antarctica Food Web Potter Cove 2018. Nodes represent baal species with indirect interaction links (shared predatory). Node and width links are proportional to predatory amounts shared. Color node represents functional groups. [92] Sea mirror Internet feed with the microbe buckle. AanP = aerobic fototroph aerobic, DOC = dissolved organic carbon, DOM =dissolved organic subjects, POC=particular organic carbon,PR=protehodopsins. [94] [95] The foundations and clearness of key giant species are a foundational species for many forests. [96] The starfish ochres is a klestone predateCalifornia muscle moving most other species unless ochref starfish controls the numbers How starfish change modern eco - Nature on PBS Foundation species are species with a dominant role structured an ecological community, shape its environment and define its ecosystem. These ecosystem are often called after the foundation species, such as seagras meadows, eye beds, coral reef, kelp forests and mangrove forests. [97] For example, mangrove in red is a common foundation species of mangrove forest. The mangrove roots to give up retirement for young fish, such as cutting. [98] A foundation species can handle any trophic level of a food internet but tends to be a producer. [99] The term connection in 1972 by Paul K. Dayton,[100] applied it to certain members of the investing marine and alg community. It was clear from studies in many places that there were a handful of species of species that their activities had a disproposition effect on the rest of the marine community and were therefore clear in the resistance of the community. Dayton's view was that focusing on the foundation's species would allow for a simplified approach to more rapidly understanding how a community as a whole would react to their disturbance. , such as pollution, instead of trying the very hard work of tracking the responses of all members of the community together. Sea churins predatory sea species, making them a klestone species for jungle kelpSea urchins kelp damage by the holding of sea hold holding ot against species - Pew Keystone species are species of great effect, disproposition of the numbers, in food web ecosystem. [101] An ecosystem may experience a dramatic change if a fundamental species is removed, even if that species were a small part of ecosystem by biomass measurement or productivity. [102] The concept of the foundation's species was 1969 by the zoologist Robert T. Paine. [103] [104] Paine developed the concept to explain his observations and experiences about the relationship between marine investing in the intertidal area (between the high and low tide lines), including stars and muscles. Some sea stars rushed on sea urine, muscles, and other shellfish with no natural predatory use. If sea stars are removed from the ecosystem, the muscle population explode without control, driving out most other species. [105] Offshore crisis limits the sea damage inflicted on kelp forests. When the sea jugs on the U.S. west coast ousted commercial for the feathers, their numbers fell to such low levels that they could not control the ocean's urine population. The slider in turning the iced defast into kelp is so heavy that forests keep largely disappear, along with all the species that depend on them. Reintroducing the offshore otters has allowed the ecosystem of kelp to be restored. [106] [107] Cryptic interaction cryptic interaction in the five Internet interactions marine in material fluxes, population, with molecular pools affected by five cryptic interactions (red: mixotrophy; green: ontogenetic and differential species; red color: cross microbes- oranges; oranges: auxotrophy; blue: cell carbon partition). In fact, these interactions can have uncensored effects as the regions of the Internet eat that they overlap impact. For example, cellular carbon partition in phytoplankton can affect both downstream pools of organic problems used in microbal cross-feed and exchange in case of auxotrophy, as well as rush selection based on wade and differences. [108] More information: Auxotrophy and Mixotrophy Cryptic interactions, interactions that are hidden in plain sight, occur throughout the marine planktonic collection but are now largely neglected by established methods, which means big-scale data collections for these limited interactions. Despite this, actual evidence suggests some of these interactions may have perseceptible impacts on food dynamics and model results. The incorporation of cryptical interaction into patterns is especially important for those who interactions involve the transport of nutrients or energy. [108] Simplification such as zooplankton consuming phytoplankton, phytoplankton takes inorganic nutrients, gross primary production to determine the carbon amount of available in the food, etc. helped scientists explain and model general interactions in the aquatic environment. Traditional methods have focused on qualifying and qualifying these generalizations, but rapid advancement of genomics, detection limitations, experimental methods, and other technologies at last pointing out that generalization of interactions in the plankton community may be too simple. These improvements to technology have exposed a number of interactions that appear as cryptics because sample samples and experimental methods are tired against them. [108] Complexity and stability see also: Ecological complexity and stability Ecological Schematic representation of the changes in the abundance between trophic groups in an ecosystem reef rocks temperature. (A) Interaction in poised. (b) Cascade Trophic after disturbing. In this case, the ot is predatory to dominant and the macroalga is retained. Arrows and positive (green, +) signs indicate positive effects on abundance while those with negative (red, -) indicate adverse effects on abundance. The size of the bubbles represents the change in abundance of population and associated changing force interactions after disturbance. [109] [110] Internet feed provides a foundation in which a complex network of predatory-predatory interactions can be organized. A food web model is a network of food chains. Each food dog starts with a main or autotrophe producer, an organism, such as an alga or plant, which is able to manufacture its own food. Next in the dog is a food organism on the main producer, and Chen Kerr continues in this way as a string of successive predatory insights. The organisms in each chain are grouped at trophic levels, based on how much the links are removed from the main producers. Dog length, or trophic level, is a measure of the number of species encountered as energy or nutrients move from top predatory plants. [111] Food energy flows from one organism to the next and to the next and so on, and some energy has been lost to every level. At a trophic level there may be one species or a group of species with the same and beautiful predators. [112] In 1927, Charles Elton published an influential synthesis on the use of food internet, resulting in becoming a central concept of ecology. [113] In 1966, the interest in food internet increased after Robert Paine's experimental and descriptive study of intertidal rivals, suggesting that food internet complexity was key to maintaining species diversity and ecological stability. [114] Many theoretical ecologists, including Robert May and Stuart Pimm, have been pushed by this discovery and others to examine the mathematical properties of food internet. According to their analysis, Internet complex feed should be less stable than simple Internet feed. [115]: 75–77 [116]: 64 Paradox is apparent between the complexity of internet food observed in nature and the mathematical vulnerabilities of food internet models is now an area of intensive study and debate. The paradox may be due partially to the conceptive difference between the longevity of a food internet and squilipping stability of a food internet. [115] [116] A trophic waterfall can happen in a food internet a trophic level of the internet suppressed. For example, a waterfall top-down can occur if predators are effective enough in predation to reduce the abundance, or change the behavior, in their preparation, thereby releasing the next trophic level of prediction. A top-down waterfall is a trophic waterfall where the top/predatory consumer controls the main consumer population. In return, the producer's main producer population. Removing the student from the top predatory can change the web dynamics feed. In this case, the main consumers would overpoulate and exploit the main producers. Eventually there wouldn't be enough main producers to sustain the consumer population. Top-down food internet stability depends on competition and predation at the highest trophic level. Invasive species can also change this waterfall by removing or becoming a top predatory. This interaction may not always be negative. Studies have shown that certain invasive species are starting to shift cascading; and as a consequence, the degradation of the ecosystem was repaired. [117] [118] An example of a waterfall in a complex, open-ocean ecosystem occurred in the northwest Atlantic during the 1980s and 1990s. Removing the Atlantic code (Gadus morhua) and other fish was not sustained to result in the increase in the abundance of the species rushed to fish these soils, particularly smaller fish for ages and invertebrates such as the northern snow crab (Chionoecetes opilio) and northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis). The increasing abundance of these species accurately changes zooplankton communities that serve as food for smaller and integrated fish as an indirect effect. [119] Cascade top-down can be important to understand the knock-on effects to remove predatory severity from food internet, as people have done in many places of hunting and fishing. At a bottom-up waterfall, the population of main producers will always monitor the increase/decrease of the energy to higher trophic levels. Primary producers are plants, phytoplankton and zooplankton that require fotosynthesis. Although light is important, the main producer populations are changed by the amount of elements inserted into the system. This Internet feed depends on the availability and limitation of the resources. All populations will experience growth if there is firstly a large number of nutrients. [120] [121] Comparison Terrestrial Biomass piramidsCompared in terrestrial pyramids, Aquatic pyramids are generally inverted into the Marine base producers serving less biomass than teresThe Minute producer but ubiquitous and highly active bacteria Prochlorococcus runs in his life cycle in a single day, yet collectively generates about 20% of all global oxygen. By contrast, a single bristlecone pine can tie up a lot of relatively iner biomass for thousands of years with photosentetic activity. [122] Marine environment can contain of the pyramid biomass. In particular, the biomass of consumers (copepods, krill, shrimp, shrimp, fish forage) is generally bigger than the biomass of their main producer. This happens because the main offshore producers are mostly small phytoplankton that has r-strategic in growing and reproduced rapidly, so a small mass can have a fast rate of the main production. In contrast, many main terrestrial producers, such as mature forest, have K-strategy features in growing and reproduced slowly, so a larger mass is needed to achieve the same rate in the main yields. Example: The bristlecone pine can live for thousands of years, and has a very low production ratio/biomass. Cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus lives for about 24 hours, and has a very high production ratio /biomass. Mature jungles have a lot of biomass invested in high growth that have low ocean productivity or marine biomas, in a reversal of terrestrial biomass, can increase to higher trophic levels. [123] At sea is the most main production performed by algo. This is a contrast on land, where most main production is performed by vascular plants. Aquatic producers, such as planktonic alphabets or aquatic plants, lack large accumulations of high growth existing on trees in their tertiary ecosystem. However, they are able to reproduce quickly enough to support a larger biomass of graz. That invested the pyramid. Primary consumers have longer life and slower growth rates that accumulate more biomass than the producers are consuming. Phytoplankton live just a few days, whereas the phytoplankton food phytoplankton live for several weeks and the fish food zooplankton live for several consecutive years. [124] Predatory aquatics also tend to have a lower death rate than the younger consumers, who contribute to the inverted pyramidal model. Population structures, migration rates, and precious environmental sanctuary are the other possible causes for pyramid and invert biomass. However, energy pyramids, will always be a form of right pyramid if all food energy sources are included, since this is dictated by the second law in thermodynamics. [125] Comparison [126] Comparison of productivity in marine and terrestrial ecosystem [127] Net ecosystem main productivity tons Per year total biomassbillion tons turnover timears 45–55 1–20.02–06 Terrestrestres 55–2 700-1000 9–20 Antropogenic Effects Fishing down the food web [128] More information: Human impacts on marine life more than Approval of Subpoenas and British stars together form the basis of the internet to eat Arctic and both are seriously damaged by assurance. Steropod cult dissolve and increase assuntation with Brit star losing muscle mess when they re-grown hanging. [129] In addition the British egg died within days when expect conditions that cause arctic acidification. [130] The assignment threatens to destroy the Arktic Food Web from the base. Arctic waters are changing rapidly and are advancing in the process of becoming sufferers and aragonity. [131] Archbishop food internet is considered simple, meaning there are some steps of dog food from smaller predatory organisms. For example, the hyperpods are a key item in a number of higher predatory – larger plankton, fish, seabirds, whales. Ocean Effects AsdificationUnhealthy osteopods showing the effects of ocean acquaintances cause British stars to lose their Pteropods muscles with British stars forming the basis of arctic food climate change our results shows how future climate change can potentially weaken web feeding seas via reduced energy flow to higher trophic levels and a shift toward a more corruption-based system, leading to food internet simplification and changing producer-consumer dynamics, both, which has important implications for benetical community structures. [133] [134] ... temperature increases decreased significant flow of energy from the main food producers to the bottom (e.g. alg), to intermediate consumers (ebivore), to predatory to the top of marine food internet. These disturbances in energy transfer can potentially lead to a decrease in food availability for predatory seizes, which in turn, can lead to negative impact for many marine species of such food internet... Whilst climate change increased the productivity of plants, this was mainly due to an expansion of cyanobacteria (small blue-green kelp), said Mr Ullah. This primary productivity increase does not support food internet, however, because these cyanobacteria are largely unpalatable and not consumed by herbivores. Understanding how ecosystem functions under the effects of global heating is a challenge in ecological research. Most research on hot ocean involves simplified, short-term experiences based on only one or some species. [134] The distribution of inertia managers faced by marine species is threatened with extensions of various marine regions of the world. Numbers in the pie charts indicate the percentage contribution of an impact stresors to athropogenic 'flies' within a specific marine region. [109] [135] Athropogenic stress of marine species threatened with extensions [109] See also high-nutrient, Low-chlorinated Reference Region

^ U Department of Energy (2008) Carbon Cycling and Biosequestration page 81, Workshop reports DOE/SC-108, American DEpatman of the Energy Bureau of Science. ^ Campbell, Mike (22 June 2011). The role of marine plankton in carbon sextration. Earth. Retrieved 22 August 2014. ^ Why Should We Take Care of the Ocean? NOA: National Ocean Service. A: January 7, 2020. Retrieved 1 March 2020. Heinrichs, M.E., Mori, C. Dlugosch, L. (2020) Complex Interactions between Aquatic Organisms and the Chemical Environments Helicopters from different perspectives. In: Jungblut S., Liebich V., Bode-Dalby M. (Eds) YOUMARES 9-Ocean: Our Research, Our Future, pages 279–297. Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20389-4_15. ^ Dunne, J.A., Williams, R.J. and Martinez, N.D. (2002) Food-web structure and network theory: roles in connection with size. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99 (20): 12917–12922. doi:10.1073/pnas.19240769. ^ Dunne, J.A. (2006) Structure of Network of Internet Foods. In: M Pascual and J. A. Dunne (Eds.) Eco Network: linked structures to the dynamics of food web, pages 27–86. ISBN 978019775057._Karlson, A.M., Gorokhova, E., Gårdmark, A., Pekcan-Hekim, Z., Casini, M., Albertsson, J., Sundelin, B., Karlsson, O. and Bergström, L. (2020). Linking and consumer physiological structures to food-internet structures and beautiful food values in the Baltic Sea. Anbio, 49 (2): 391–406. doi:10.1007/s13280-019-01201-1^ Odum, W. E.; Heald, E. J. (1975) The Internet eats mouse-based food in an estuarine mangrove community. Pages 265–286 at L. Cronin, ed. Estuarine research. 1. 1. Academic Press, New York. Pimm's, S. L.; Lawton, J. H. (1978). On food on more than one level of trophic. Nature. 275 (5680): 542–544. doi:10.1038/275542a0. S2CID 4161183. Pauly, D.; Palomares, M. L. (2005). Fishing down Internet marine food: It is far more pevasome than we thought (PDF). Bulletin of Marine Sciences. 76 (2): 197–211. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2013-05-14. ^ Cortés, E. (1999). Standard DC composition with trophic levels of sharks. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 56 (5): 707–717. doi:10.1006/jmsc.1999.0489. Pauly, D.; Sadness, A.; Capuli, E.; Krisensen, V. (1998). Diet composition and trophic levels of marine material. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 55 (3): 467–481. doi:10.1006/jmsc.1997.0280. ^ Researchers calculate the human level of trophic for the first Phys.org. December 3, 2013. Bonhommeau, S., Dubroca, L., Le Pape, O., Barlow, J., Kaplan, D.M., Chassot, E. and Nieblas, A.E. (2013) Eat up the world's food internet with the trophic human level. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110 (51): 20617–20620. doi:10.1073/pnas.130582710. ^ a NASA Chloride Earth Observatory. Access 30 November 2019. ^ Kettler GC, Martiny AC, Huang K, Zucker J, Colleague ML, Rodrigue S, Chen, Lapiddus A, Ferriera S, Johnson J, Steglich C, Church GM, Richardson P, Chisholm SW (December 2007). Patterns and implications of gene finding and loss of the evolution of Prochlorococcus. Genetic PLOS. 3 (12): e231. doi:10.1371 / journal.pgen.0030231. PMC 2151091. PMID 1815947. Nemiroff, R.; Bonnell, J., eds. (27 September 2006). Earth from Saturn. Astronauts photo of the day, NASA. ^ Patiensky F, Hess WR, Vaulot D (March 1999). Prochlorococcus, a Marine procriation of global meaning. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 63 (1): 106–27. doi:10.1128/MMBR.63.1.106-127.1999. PMC 98958. PMID 10066832. ^ The most important microbe you've never heard of. npr.org. ^ Mann, D.G. (1999). The concept species in diatoms. Fictology. 38 (6): 437–495. doi:10.2216/i0031-8884-38-6-437.1. ^ Biology at Copepods Archived 2009-01-01 in the Wayback Cars of Carl von Ossietzky University of Oldenburg ^ a c Dy Hays, G.C., Doyle, T.K. and Houghton, J.D. (2018) A paradigm shift to the trophical importance of jellyfish? Trending in Ecology & Evolution, 33(11): 874-884. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2018.09.001^ A Hamilton, G. (2016) The secret lives of jellyfish: Long regarded as minor players in sea ecology, jellyfish are actually important parts of the marine food internet. Nature, 531 (7595): 432-435. doi:10.1038/531432a^ Cardona, L., De Quevedo, I.Á., Borrell, A. and Aguilar, A. (2012) Massive intake of plankton gelatinous by predateksex Mediterranean. PLOS ONE, 7 (3): e31329. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031329^ Tiny Forage Fish from under The Marine Web Find New National Radio Protection, April 7, 2016. ᒐ Roman, J. & McCarthy, J.J. (2010). The Whale Pump: Marine Mamal Improves Primary Productivity in a Rib Basin. MAKE ONE .5 (10): e13255. Bibcode: 2010PloSO... 513255R.doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0013255. PMC 2952594. PMID 20949007. e13255. CS1 Main: Use parameter author (link) ^ Brown, Joshua E. (12 Oct 2010). Whale Pump Up Sea Health. Science daily. Retrieved 18 August 2014. ^ Rain, J.B. (2018) The Aquatic Life of the Microcycle. mSystems, 3 (2): e00150-17. doi:10.1128/mSystems.00150-17. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ᒐ Marco, D, Ed. (2011). Metagenomics: Current Innovations and Future Trends. Caister Academic Pres. ISBN 978-1-904455-87-5._A c Roslin, T. and Majaneva, S. (2016) The use of DNA barcodes in the construction of food-earth internet construction and ecologists and aquatic emirates!. Young Man, 59 (9): 603–628. doi:10.1139 / gen-2015-0229. ^ Wilhelm, Steven W.; Suttle, Curtis A. (1999). Viruses and Sugar Components of the Sea.Bioscience. 49 (10): 781–788. doi:10.2307/1313569. ISSN 1525-3244. JSTOR 1313569. ^ Weinbauer, Markus G., et al. The synergistic and antagonistic effects of viral lysis and protsistent roasted on bacterial biomas, production and diversity. Environmental Microbiology 9.3 (2007): 777-788. ^ Robinson, Carol, and Nagappa Ramaya. Heterotrophic haze rates coerce the carbon microbial pump. The American Association for the Advancement of Science, 2011. Van Den Meersche, K., Middelburg, J.J., Soetaert, K., Van Rijswijk, P., Boschker, H.T. and Heip, C.H. (2004) Carbon-nitrogen coupling with algal-bacterial interactions during an experimental during an experimental experience during an experimental during an experimental experimental during an experimental Modeling a 13C tracer experience. Biography and Oceanography, 49 (3): 862–878. doi:10.4319 / gold.2004.49.3.0862. ^ Käse L, Geuer JK. (2018) Phytoplankton Response to Marine Climate Change – an introduction. In Jungblut S., Liebich V., Bode M. (Eds) YOUMARES 8–Oceans across limitation: Learn from each other, pages 55–72, Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-93284-2_5. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ^ Heinrichs, M.E., Mori, C. and Dlugosch, L. (2020) Complex Interactions between Organisms and Chemical Environments are lucided from different perspectives. In: YOUMARES 9-Ocean: Our Research, our future, pages 279–297. Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20389-4_15. Duponchel, S. and Fischer, M.G. (2019) Viva lavidavirus! Five features of virophages that parasitize giant vagIN viruses. PloS silver wade, 15 (3). doi:10.1371 / journal.ppat.1007592. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. Fischer, M. G.; Allen, M. J.; Wilson, W. H.; Suttle, C. A. (2010). Giant virus with a remarkable complement to young infected marine zooplankton (PDF). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 107 (45): 19508–19513. Bibcode:2010PNAS.. 10719508F.doi:10.1073/pnas.1007615107. PMC 2984142. PMID 20974979. ^ Matthias G. Fischer; Michael J. Allen; William H. Wilson; Curtis Suttle (2010). Giant virus with a remarkable complement to young infected marine zooplankton (PDF). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 107 (45): 19508–19513. Bibcode:2010PNAS.. 10719508F.doi:10.1073/pnas.1007615107. PMC 2984142. PMID 20974979. ^ Massana, Ramon; Javier Del Campo; Christian Dinter; Ruben Sommaruga (2007). Crash into a population of the heterosophical marine flager Cafeteria roenbergensis by viral infection. Environmental Microbiology. 9 (11): 2660–2669. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01378.x. PMID 17922751. S2CID 30191542. ^ Fischer MG, Suttle CA (April 2011). A virophage of origins of major drunk drunk-discharged FDA transportation. Science. 332 (6026): 231–4. Bibcode: 2011Sci... 332..231F. Doi:10.1126/science.1199412. PMID 2138572. S2CID 206530677. ^ Fischer MG, Hackl (December 2016). Integration of host young men and giant virus-induced reactions to the virophage mavirus. Nature. 540 (7632): 288–91. Bibcode: 2016Natur.540.. 288F. Doi: 10.1038/nature20593. PMID 279290221. S2CID 4458402. ^ Kagami, M., Miki, T. and Takimoto, G. (2014) Mycoloop: chytrids of aquatic internet. Frontiers in microbiology, 5:166. doi:10.3389 / fmicb.2014.00166. Material was copied from this source, available under a Common Creative Attribute 3.0 International License. ^ Amend, A., Burgaud, G., Cunliffe, M., Edgcomb, V.P., Ettinger, C.L., Gutiérrez, M.H., Eitman, J., Hom, E.F., Ianiri, Jones, A.C. and Kagami, M. (2019) Fungi in the Marine Environment: Open Questions and Problems That Are Not Solved. MBio, 10 (2): e01189-18. doi:10.1128/mBio.01189-18. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ^ Gutierrez MH, Jara AM, Pantoja S (2016) Parasite Deep Infected Dialysis Marine in the ecosystem of upwelling of the current Humboldt system in central Chile. About Hazel Haze, 18 (5): 1646–1653. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13257. ᒐ A c Middelburg J.J. (2019) Return to The Organic Inorganic Carbon. In: Marine Carbon Biogeochemistry: An Award for Earth System Scientists, Pages 37–56, Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-10822-9_3. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ^ Legendary L, Rassoulzadegan F (1995) Plankton with dynamic nutrients of marine water. Orphania, 41:153–172. ^ Pass ML, Collect JJ, Carpenter SR, Kitchell JF (1999) Cascade Trophic reveals in diverse ecosystem. Trending Ecol Evol, 14: 483–488. ^ Azam F, Fenchel T, Field JG, Gray JS, Meyer-Reil LA, Te F (1983) Ecological Roles of water-column microbes in the sea. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser, 10:257–263. ^ Anderson TR and Leb Williams PJ (1998) Modelling the Epic Cycle of Organic Carbon Dissolved at Station E1 of the English Channel. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci, 46: 93–109. ^ Van Den Meersche K, Middelburg JJ, Soetaert K, Van Rijswijk P, Boschker HTS, Heip CHR (2004) Carbon-Petroleum Coupling and algal-bacteria interaction during an experimental bloom: modeling an experimental 13C drawr. Limnol Oceanogr, 49: 862–878. ^ Suttle CA (2005) Virus in the Sea. Nature, 437: 356–361. ^ Siegel, David A.; Buesseler, Ken O.; Behrenfeld, Michael J.; Bentez-Nelson, Claudia R.; Boss, Emmanuel; Brzezinski, Mark A.; Burden, Adrian; Carlson, Craig A.; d'Asaro, Eric A.; Leaf, Scott C.; Perry, Mary J.; Stanley Greene, Rachel H. R.; Steinberg, Deborah K. (2016). Prediction of the Export and Waste of Ocean Global Main Production: The Science Plan exports. Border of Marine Sciences. 3. Doi:10.3389 / fmars.2016.00022. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. Wang, F., Wu, Y., Chen, Zhang, G., Zhang, J., Zheng, S. and Kattner, G. (2019) Trophic interactions in mesopelagic fish in South China Sea illustrated by stable isotope and fat acid. Boundary of Marine Sciences, 5:522. doi:10.3389 / fmars.2018.00522. ^ a bllefson, Jeff (27 February 2020) Enters the twilight zone: Scientists dive into the mysterious oceans' middle nature news. doi:10.1038/d41586-020-00520-8. A Choir, C.A., Haddock, S.H. and Robison, B.H. (2017) Web Structure deep pelagical as revealed by food observations located. Proceedings of the Royal Society Biodiversity Sciences, 284 (1868): 20172116. doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.2116. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ^ Kelly, T.B., Davison, P.C., Goericke, R., Landry, M.R., Ohman, M. And Stukel, M.R. (2019) The Importance of Mesozooplankton Dial Vertical Migration to Sustain a Mesopelagic Internet. Border of Marine Sciences, 6:508. doi:10.3389 / fmars.2019.00508. ^ Climate change in deep seas could be seven times faster by mid-century, report says the Guardian, 25 May 2020. ^ Brito-Morales, I., Schoeman, D.S., Molinos, J.G., Burrows, M.T., Klein, C.J., Arafeh-Dalmau, N., Kaschner, K., Garilao, C., Kesner-Reyes, K. and Richardson, A.J. (2020) Climate Pace reveal increased exposure to deep-sea biodiversity in the future. The Nature of Climate Change, pp.1-6. doi:10.5281 / xenodo.3596584._Irigoien, X., Klevjer, T.A., Røstad, A., Martinez, U., Boyra, G., Acuña, J.L., Bode, A., Echevarria, F., Gonzalez-Gordillo, J.I., Hernandez-Leone, S. and Agusti, S. (2014) Major mesopelagic fish biomass with trophic efficiency in open oceans. Nature Communications, 5:3271. doi:10.1038 /ncomms4271^ Fish biomass in the ocean is 10 times higher than estimated EurekAlert, 7 February 2014. ^ Choy, C.A., Wabnitz, C.C., Weijerman, M., Woodworth-Jefcoats, P.A. and Polovina, J.J. (2016) Find the Way to the Top: How Composition of Ocean Mid-Trophic Microphic Group determines apex of biomass predators in the Central Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 549: 9–25. doi:10.3354/meps11680. ^ a c e Kurata, N., Vella, K., Hamilton, B., Shivji, M., Soloviev, A., Matt, S., Tartar, A. and Perrie, W. (2016) Surfactant-Associated Bacteria at layers of the Sea Surface Co. Nature: Scientific Report, 6(1): 1–8. doi:10.1038 /srep19123. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. , V., Ćosović, B., Marčenko, E., Bihari, N. and Žutić Kršinić, F. (1981) Surfactant Production by Marine phytoplankton. Marine Chemistry, 10 (6): 505–520. doi:10.1016/0304-4203(81)90004-9. ^ Levin LA, Baco AR, Bowden DA, Colaco A, Cordes EE, Cunha MR, Demopoulos AWJ, Gobin J, Grupe BM, Le J, Metaxas A, Netburn AN, Rouse GW, Thurber AR, Tunnicle V, Van Dover CL, Vanreusel A and Watling L (2016). Hydrothermal Vents and Methane Weps: Rethinking the sphere of influence. Before. March. 3:72. Doi:10.3389/ fmars.2016.00072 ^ Portals, M., Olu, K., Dubois, S.F., Escobar-Briones, E., Gelinas, Y., Menot, L. and Sarrazin, J. (2016). Feed-web complexity of Guaymas basin hydrothermal venture and cold wepps. PLOS ONE, 11 (9): p.e.e0162263. doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0162263. ᒐ Bernardino AF, Levin LA, Thurber AR and Smith CR (2012). Comparative Composition, Diversity and Trophic Ecology in Sediments in Vents, Weps and Organic Falls. PLOS ONE, 7 (4): e33515. pmid:22496753. doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0033515. ^ Portals M, Olu K, Escobar-Briones E, Caprais JC, Menot L, Waeles M, et al. (2015). Comparative studies of womb and view macrofaunal communities in Guaymas basin are. Biogeosciences. 12(18): 5455–79. doi:10.5194 / bg-12-5455-2015. ^ a b Otero, X.L., De La Peña-Lastra, S., Pérez-Alberti, A., Ferreira, T.O. and Huerta-Diaz, M.A. (2018) Seabird Colony as important global drivers of nitrogen and sugar phosphorus. Nature of Communications, 9 (1): 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02446-8. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ^ Harris, P.T.; Makmilan-Lawler, M.; Rupp, J.; Baker, E.K. (2014). Jeomorphology in the oceans. Marine Geology. 352: 4–24. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2014.01.011. ᒐ Heymans, J.J., Coll, M., Libralato, S., Morissette, L. and Christensen, V. (2014). Global model of ecological indicators of Marine Food Internet: a model approach. PLOS HONOR, 9 (4). doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0095845. ^ Pranovi, F., Libralato, S., Raicevich, S., Granzotto, A., Pastres, R. and Giovanardi, O. (2003). Mechanical dredging of Lagoon Venice: ecosystem effects assessed with a trophic mass-balance model. Marine Biology, 143 (2): 393–403. doi:10.1007/s00227-003-1072-1._Petersen, J.K., Holmer, M., Termansen, M. And Hasler, B. (2019) Extracting the nutrients in bivalves. In: Smaal A., Ferreira J., Grant J., Petersen J., Strand Ø. Goods and Services at Marine Bivalves, page 179–208. Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-96776-9_10. ISBN 9783319676769^ US Geologic Survey (USGS). Chapter 14: Change of Food and Habitats to Waterbirds. Figure 14.1. Synthesis of Geological Sciences of the United States of Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem and Environmental Management Implications. USGS Circular 1316. This article incorporates text from this source, which is in the public domain. , M.C., Osenton, P.C., Wells-Berlin, A.M., and Kidwell, D.M., 2005, Food selection among atlantic seashore cannari in relation to historical food habits, [abs.] M.C., Second American Sea Conference, November 7–11, 2005, Annapolis, Maryland, Programs and Abstres, USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Maryland, 123 p. (p. 105). ^ Bowser, A.K., Diamond, A.W. and Addison, J.A. (2013) From plankton puffins: a DNA analysis based on a seabird food chain in Northern Gulf of Maine. PLOS ONE, 8 (12): e83152. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083152^ Leray M, Meyer CP, Mills SC. (2015) Metabarcoding dietary analysis of the predatory chorus demonstrates the minor contribution of coral mutual to the highly partitioned, dietary gen. PeerJ, 3: e1047. doi:10.7717 / peerj.1047. ^ Stat, M., Huggett, M.J., Bernasconi, R., DiBattista, J.D., Berry, T.E., S.J., Harvey, E.S. and Bunce, M. (2017) Ecosystem byomonitoring with EDNA: metabarcoding through the tree of life in a tropical marine environment. Scientific report, 7 (1): 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-12501-5. ^ Lobo, Eduardo A.; Heinrich, Carla Giselda; Schuch, Marilia; Wetzel, Carlos Eduardo; Ector, Luc (2016), Necchi JR, Orlando (Ed.), Diatoms as Bioindicators in River, River Algae, Springer International Publishing, pp. 245-271, Doi:10.1007/978-3-31984-31984-1_11, ISBN 97831919384^ Stevenson, R Jan; Pan, Yangdong; Van Dam, Loving (2010), Smol, John P.; Stoermer, Eugene F. (eds.), Assessing the environmental condition of rivers and diarrhea sources, the Diatoms (2 ed.), Cambridge University Press, pp. 57–85, doi:10.1017/cbo97805176173175.005, ISBN 9780517176175^ Coll, M., Schmidt, A., Romanuk, T. and Lotze, H.K. (2011). Food-Internet structure of seagras communities across different spatial balance and human impacts. PLOS ONE, 6 (7): e22591. doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0022591. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. McCarthy, VA J.J., Canziani, O.F., Leary, N.A.A, Dokken, D.J. and White, K.S. (Eds.) (2001) Climate Change 2001: Impact, Adaptation, and Vulnerability: Contributions to Task Group II of the Third Assessment Report of the Intervention Panel on Climate Change Page 807, Cambridge University Press. ISBN 9780521015004^ Murphy, E.J., Cavanagh, R.D., Drinkwater, K.F., Grant, S.M., Heymanns, J.J., Hofmann, E.E., Hunt Jr, G.L. and Johnston, N.M. (2016) understand the structure and operating of the polar pelagical ecosystem to predict impact changes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 283 (1844): 2016164646. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1646. ^ Climate Impacts on Ecosystem: Disruption Fueled Internet EPA. Access 11 February 2020. This article incorporates text from this source, which is in the public domain. ^ A 'regime of change' happening in the Turkey Ocean, scientists say. phys.org. Retrieved 16 August 2020. Lewis Baker, K. M.; Dijken, G. Van; Arrigo, K. R. (10 July 2020). Changes to phytoplankton concentration now drive to increase Arctic Ocean main production. Science. 369 (6500): 198–202. doi:10.1126/science.aay8380. The ISSN 0036-8075. PMID 32647002. S2CID 220433818. Retrieved 16 August 2020. Fearful, P.J. (2018). Mixotrophic plankton in the polar sea: a pan-arctic review. Border of Marine Sciences, 5:292. doi:10.3389 /fmars.2018.00292^ Kilias, Estelle S.; Junges, Leandro; Šupraha, Luka; Leonard, Neg; Metfies, Katja; Richards, Thomas A. (2020). Chytrid fungi distribution and co-incident with diameter correlates and ice ice melt in the Arctic Ocean. Communication Biology. 3 (1): 183. doi:10.1038/s42003-020-0891-7. PMC 7174370. PMID 32317738. S2CID 216033140. Soil Materials from this source, available under a Common Creative Attribute 4.0 International License. Bender, S.J., Moran, D., McIlvin, M.R., Zheng, H., McCrow, J.P., Badger, J., DiTullio, G.R., Allen, A.E. and Saito, M.A. (2018) Colony Formation of Faeocystistist antarctica: connecting the molecular mechanism and biogeochemry fe. Biogeosciences, 15 (16): 4923–4942. doi:10.5194 / bg-15-4923-2018. ^ Pinkernell, S. and Beszteri, B. (2014) Potential effects of climate change on the distribution range of the main simulator sets in the Southern Ocean. Ecology and Evolution, 4 (16): 3147–3161. doi:10.1002/ece3.1138^ Cavan, E.L., Belcher, A., Atkinson, A., Hill, S.L., Kawaguchi, S., McCormack, S., Meyer, B., Nicol, S., Ratnarajah, L., Schmidt, K. and Steinberg, D.K. (2019) importance of Antarctic krill of biogeochemical cycles. Nature of Communications, 10 (1): 1–13. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12668-7. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ᒐ A codone, G., Marina, T.I., Salinas, V., Doyle, S.R., Saravia, L.A. and Momo, F.R.(2018). Effects of macroalgae losses in a Marine Antarctica food web: Apply extension doorstep to Internet food science. PeerJ, 6: e5531. doi:10.7717/peerj.5531^ Marina, T.I., Salinas, V., Cordone, G., Campana, G., Moreira, E., Deregibus, D., Torre, L., Sahade, R., Tatian, M., Oro, E.B. and De Troch, M. (2018). The food internet at Potter Cove (Antarctica): complexity, structure and function. Estuarine, Ribs and Shelf Science, 200: 141–151. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2017.10.015. ᒐ Koh, E.Y., Martin, A.R., McMinn, A. and Ryan, K.G. (2012) recent advances with the future outlook of microbial photographs of Antarctica's sea ice. Biology, 1 (3): 542-556. doi:10.3390 / biology1030542. ^ The microbal loop here is re-mapped and sheltered from: Azam, F., Fenchel, T., Field, J.G., Gray, J.S., Meyer-Reil, L.A. and Things, F. (1983) The ecological role of water-colon microbes in the sea. Marine Ecology Series, 10 (3): 257–263. Fenchel, T. (2008) The microbial loop — 25 years later. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 366 (1-2): 99-103. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.013. ^ Lamy, T., Koenigs, C., Holbrook, S.J., Miller, R.J., Stier, A.C. and Reed, D.C. (2020) Species Foundation promote community stability by increasing diversity in a giant kelpant for. Ecology, e02987. doi:10.1002/ecy.2987. ^ Giant Keeps Giving marine ecosystem Southern California a solid foundation, National Science Foundation, February 4, 2020. ^ Angelini, Krisine; Altieri, Andrew H.; et al. (October 2011). Interactions among Species Foundation and the Consequences for Community Organizations, Biodiversity, and Conservation. Bioscience. 61 (10): 782–789. doi:10.1525/bio.2011.61.10.8. Ellison, Aaron M.; Bank, Michael S.; et al. (November 2005). Lost species: consequences for the structure and dynamic of forest ecosystem. Boundary in Ecology and the Environment. 3 (9): 479–486. doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2005)003[0479:LOFSCF]2.0.CO;2. ^ Dayton, P. 1972. Towards an understanding of community resistance and the potential effects of enriching in the scales of McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. pp. 81–96 in the Colossium Proceedings on the conservation issue of Allen Press, Lawrence, Kansas. H Paine, R. T. (1995). A conversation about refined the concept of keystone species. Conservation biology. 9 (4): 962–964. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1995.09040962.x. ^ Davic, Robert D. (2003). Connect keystone species with Functional Group: A new operational definition of the Keystone Concept species. Conservation Ecology. Retrieved 2011-02-03. 1969 (1969). A note on trophic complexity and community stability. Nature of the Americans. 103 (929): 91–93. doi:10.1086/282586. JSTOR 2459472. S2CID 83780992. ^ Klestone Species Hypothesis. University of Washington. Archived from the original on 2011-01-10. Retrieved 2011-02-03. 1966 (1966). Eat Internet complexity with diversity species. American naturalism. 100 (910): 65–75. doi:10.1086/282400. JSTOR 2459379. S2CID 85265656. ^ Szpak, Paul; Orchard, Trevor J.; Solomon, Anne K.; Gröcke, Darren R. (2013). Regional ecological variables and impacts of the maritime trade on ecosystem near the ecosystem in southern Haida Gwaii (British Columbia, Canada): evidence of stable isotopes analysis of rockfish (Sebastes spp.) bone collage. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences. 5 (2): 159–182. doi:10.1007/s12520-013-0122-y. S2CID 84866250. ^ Cohn, J. P. (1998). Understanding Sea Otters. Bioscience. 48 (3): 151–155. doi:10.2307/1313259. JSTOR 1313259. ᘔ A b mule, N.C., Grosse, J., Johnson, W.M., Jungbluth, M.J. and Suter, E.A. (2018). Hidden in plain sight: The importance of cryptic interaction in marine plankton. Biography and Oceanography Letters, 3 (4): 341–356. doi:10.1002/lol2.10084. Material was copied from this source, available under a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 International License. ᒐ A c Luypaert, T., Hagan, J.G., McCarthy, M.L. and Poti, M. (2020) Status of Marine Biodiversity of Antropocene. In: YOUMARES 9 - Ocean Spotlight: Our Research, Our Future, Pages 57-82, Spring. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20389-4_4. ^ Estes JA, Tinker MT, Williams TM et al (1998) Killer whale predation on sea linked with oceanic ecosystem nearby. Science, 282: 473–476. doi:10.1126/science.282.5388.473. 1993. Long and short at length eat-dog meals. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 17 (6): 269–277. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)0245-2. ^ Jerry Bobrow, Ph.D.; Stephen Fisher (2009). CliffsNotes CSET: Multiple Topics (2nd help.). John Wiley and Son. 283. ISBN 978-0-470-45546-3. Elton CS Animal Ecology. Repi forget 2001. University of Chicago Press. ^ Pain RT (1966). Eat Internet complexity with diversity species. Nature of the Americans. 100 (910): 65–75. doi:10.1086/282400. S2CID 85265656. ^ a b May RM (2001) Stability and Complexity of Model Ecosystems Princeton University Press, reprint of 1973 edition with new perks. ISBN 978-0-691-08861-7. Ƀ An SL Pim (2002) Food Webs University of Chicago Press, reprint of 1982 edition with new perks. ISBN 978-0-226-66832-1. Kotta, J.; Wernberg, T.; Jänes, H.; Kotta, I.; Nurkse, K.; Pärnoja, M.; Orav - Kotta, H. (2018). Novels predatory crab cause marine ecosystem shift. Scientific reporting. 8 (1): 4956. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23282-w. PMC 5897427. PMID 29651152. ^ Megrey, Bernard and Werner, Francisco. Evaluate the role of Topdown vs. Bottom-up Ecosystem Rules from an Model Perspective (PDF). CS1 Main: Multiple Names: Author List (Links) ^ Frank, K.T.; Petrie, B.; Choi, J. S.; Leggett, W. C. (2005). Cascade Trophic in an ancient Cord-Dominated Ecosystem. Science. 308 (5728): 1621–1623. doi:10.1126/science.1113075. The ISSN 0036-8075. PMID 15947186. S2CID 45088691. ^ Matsuzaki, Shin-Ichiro S.; Suzuki, Kenta, Kadoya, Taku; Nakagawa, Megumi; Takamura, Noriko (2018). Bottom-up links between main production, zooplankton, and fish in a deep, hypereutrophic lac. Ecology. 99 (9): 2025–2036. doi:10.1002/ecy.2414. PMID 29884987. ^ Lynam, Christopher Philip; Llope, Marcos; Möllmann, Christian; Helaouët, Pierre; Bayliss-Brown, Georgia Anne; Stenseth, Nils C. (Feb 2017). Trophic and control environment in the North Sea. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 114 (8): 1952–1957. doi:10.1073/pnas.1621037114. PMC 5338359. PMID 28167770. ^ Oldlist. Rocky Hulk Tree search ring. Retrieved 8 January 2013. ᒐ Bar-On, Y.M., Phillips, R. and Milo, R. (2018) Distribution biomass on Earth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115 (25): 6506–6511. doi:10.1073/pnas.1711842115. ^ Spellman, Frank R. (2008). Science of Water: Concepts and applications. CRC Press. 167. Isbn 978-1-4200-5544-3. Odum, E. P.; Barrett, G. W. (2005). Fundamental to Ecology (5th ed.). Brooks/Cole, part of Cengage Learning. ISBN 978-0-534-42066-6. Archived from the original on 2011-08-20. ^ Wang, H.; Morrison, W.; Singh, A.; Weiss, H. (2009). Modeling biomass invert pyramid and refugees in the ecosystem (PDF). Eco Modelling. 220 (11): 1376–1382. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.03.005. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2011-10-07. ^ Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T. and Falkowski, P. (1998) Primary biosphere production: Integrating ground elements with ocean. Science, 281(5374): 237–240. doi:10.1126/science.281.5374.237. ^ Maureaud, A., Gascuel, D., Colléter, M., Palomares, M.L., Du H., Pauly, D. and Cheung, W.W. (2017) Global changes in the trophic functioning of the Marine Food Internet. PLOS ONE, 12 (8): e0182826. doi:10.1371 / journal.pone.0182826 ^ Effect of Ocean Adification on Marine Species & Amp; Ecosystem. Report. OCEANA. Retrieved 13 October 2013. ^ Comprehensive Study of Arctic Ocean Asdification. Study. SIERO. Archived from the original on 10 December 2013. Retrieved 14 November 2013. Lischka, S.; Büdenbender J.; Boxhammer T.; Riebesell U. (April 15, 2011). The impact of sea sufficiency and high temperatures on the first of young polar children exceedingly atrophy from the Limacina elicina: mortality, vala degradation, and puberty growth (PDF). Report. Biogeosciences. pp. 919–932. Retrieved 14 November 2013. ^ Antarctica Marine wildlife is under threat, study finds. BBC Nature. Retrieved 13 October 2013. ^ Ullah, H., Nagelkerken, I., Goldenberg, U.S. and Fordham, D.A. (2018) Climate Change could drive marine breaking food internet through trophic color change and cyanobacteral proliferation. PLoS biology, 16 (1): e2003446. doi:10.1371 / journal.pbio.2003446^ A Climate Change Change Drive Collapse of Marine ScienceDay Website. January 9, 2018. ^ IUCN (2018) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: Version 2018-1 Recovering from