I'm not robot	
	reCAPTCHA

Continue



Ana Sayfa / Mesafe Ölçerler / BURRİS ELİMİNATOR-4-16X50 Kendinden dijital mesafe ölçerli,atış mesafesini ve düşüş ve ayarını otomatik yapar,sıfır üründür. The new Eliminator IV features 2000-meter range-searching capabilities and an improved ballistic calculator, more data and faster solutions. All this significantly increases the distance where you can make an ethical shot. New wireless remote laser activation of the laser rangefinder has been added to easily range the target. As always, you don't need a smartphone, app or a separate hand-held rangefinder! Before hunting, it feeds eliminator IV, the cartridge's ballistic information and scope calculate the perfect distance from the exact distance, estimate wind drift, and then provide the perfect targeting solution (bright red dot) - all at the touch of a button. Just aim, shoot and shoot. It's that simple! Four devices have an advanced optic, including: precision optics, an internal digital inclinometer, smart dope card, and built-in laser rangefinder. It combines four devices with an advanced optics. Eliminates problems so you can focus on the shot. Precision optics, All lenses are completely multi-layered with sharp, sharp, edge-to-edge clean. Digital inclinometer, The internal inclinometer provides an accurate targeting point for 45° angled shots. Smart Dope Card. Simply program the cartridge and load it into the Eliminator. The exact holdover is automatically determined. Laser rangefinder. Powerful, built-in laser rangefinder instantly determines the distance of the target out to 2000 meters. Other features: Enhanced ballistics calculator accommodates centerfire, rimfire, mouslar loader and slug gun load line remote control laser activationProven X96 trend displays a 10 mph wind value indicating wind hold-off of that cartridge in indicatedrangeMounts at any Weaver-style or Picatinny base. No ringsApplication: High seat, mountain hunting, long range:Test:railImage plane:2nd image plane Extension:4x-16xObtive diameter:50 mmZoom factor:4xClick setting:1/8 MOAfurning:Covered towers, laser distance measurement + break point correction, illuminated stance, parallax compensationExtresture from student min./max. Expansion 100 m:8.6 - 2.3 mGeneal length:394 mmWeight approx .:860 gReticle:X96 reticle SHOPVOTE - Productreviews No product rating yet #: 460820 Manufacturer #: 200133 UPC #: 000381001337 Email to Friend The new Eliminator IV delivers more performance with extended and improved ballistic software. Significantly extends the range and accuracy of your favorite rifle, with the ability ranging out to 2000 meters. This greatly increases the distance at which it can be ethical shooting. The new on-board ballistics calculator offers more data and faster targeting solutions. Bluetooth laser activation has been added to make it easy to range to the target. With the trophy in the skyline, there is no need to fumble on smart phones and handheld rangefinders. Feed in eliminator IV, the ballistic information in the cartridge, and the scope calculates the perfect distance at the exact distance at the exact distance, estimates wind drift, and then gives you a vivid red accuracy in seconds — all at the touch of a button. Just aim, shoot and shoot. It's that simple. WARNING: Cancer and reproductive harms - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov. NOTE: Prices, specifications and availability are subject to change without notice. We reserve the right to correct typographical, photographic and/or descriptive errors. I had a Burris Eliminator III and sold it because the range finding was twitching, and it didn't deliver a firing solution past 800-ish yards. Waited and watched the Eliminator IV when I heard it improved technology and moved out to 2000 meters. One of them stopped by Sportsman's Warehouse, and I grabbed it. I got it earlier this week, and I used it for the first time this morning. Here's what I learned... spoiler alert: It's pretty good technology - so it's better than the E-III version. First, the details of my test: Mounted on my Masterpiece Arms 300-WMBA rifle suppressed SilencerCo Chimera-300 Ammo: Hornady 300-WM 195gr ELD-Match Weather: Early morning - clear skies - 40 degrees - No wind Location: COSSA - Bend or I wore-sight of my ranch before hitting the visibility ... I have a great place on my property with a fence T exactly 100 meters so hole-detection is easy. Mounting was pretty simple, and as the documentation indicates, during hole-detection I (barely) hit the down-travel limit and needed the included A mounting plate (B and C are larger). Note: If you mount a rifle on a continuous image-rail, you will need a pic-extension of the scope to mount properly on the target bell to clear the rail. The distance over the tube on my MPA was perfect. The Burris Ballistics App lets you drop and BC program to the scope. It's easy programming with optics if you've done it once or twice, and after you've done the programming on an E-III... I wasn't hurt at all. He's heading for the shooting range, and he's putting the pucks up for 100 yards. The first shot was 1 inch low and 1-inch remained. Four more rounds were 1/2-MOA in the first round. He made the tower settings and the next 5 shots were deadlocked by the sub-MOA. Our farm is 250, 300, 350, 400 and 500 meters as steel. I pressed the distance button, got the holdover dot, and put the next five rounds on the 500-yard steel. He won and headed to the Gong Range for some long-term work. Hit every gong in the first shot 300-1200 Yard, was the first miss just off the top right corner of the 2'x2 steel plate. Bend down to the lower left edge and put 3 shots on the plate. Here's where it's interesting... It passed for 1,400 yards, moved the steel target, and got (a) solid range to appear in the scope of 1,402 yards, and (b) a signal that the firing solution could not be delivered (1 point center and 3-point at the bottom of the retice. It confused me a bit because there was plenty of room in the target drawing under the location of the 1300 yard firing solution for zooming out, but no matter what I did, I couldn't hold out to save my ass. According to the manual, the reference to penetration means that ... reached the cartridge limits Thats i didn't have a guestimate under a 1300 yard solution dot on the drive pin, and it took me a couple of shots spotting my miss, correct and get a good hit from 1400 yards. I finished by making 5-of-5 rounds of steel for 1,300 yards and declared Victory at this point. My plan for next week is to mount this with my Barrett MRAD in my .338 Lapua barrel and see if a longer-range round will calculate out further than 1,300 feet. I hope to be playing ballistics (drop and B.C.) in the longer-range rounds, maybe take me further with a valid firing solution. So let me sum it up: Pro uses AA batteries - very cool Easy to zero Glass acceptable - not like a Leupold Mark-5... but clear and clear enough once zero'd cap and ignore the turrets Online ballistic app easy to use scope easy to setup the ammunition I used integrated rangefinder also ... Great... and actually works so past the previous E-III restriction firing solution holdover point was spot-on out of 1300 meters Wireless Bluetooth remote control very cool (push-button range) Con Parallax adjustment of the lens Bell - so it's hard to adjust while looking over the scope hit a 1300 yard limit on the firing solution for a 300 Win Mag high ball BC summary... I'm keeping it Eliminating IV, and probably mounting it all the time on a 6.5 Creedmoor AR10 (LWRCI REPR MKII Elite) that I love to shoot out to 1,200-ish. It will be a lot of fun. I wanted to have a rifle where I can quickly shift distances without touching a tower or looking at my Kestrel, it will be a lot of fun. I wanted to have the actual 1 mile range and firing solution for my 300 Win Mag, but if I don't come out I'm doing something wrong that doesn't seem to be possible. It's amazingly good technology, and unlike the E-III version... Burris dramatically improved the Eliminator IV technology range significantly better and longer, and accurate holdovers out of 1,300 yards (and maybe more) when it comes to the best I can do the previous version was 800-ish. For \$1,600 dollars, it's worth it all day... twice on the weekend (IMHO) ... as long as you understand that you can range longer than you are calculating. I hope this review is useful to anyone thinking about buying one of these gadgets. Reactions: eugevita and Birddog6424 UPDATE ... after working for a few days at Burris Customer Service, I learned the answer to my question above about why I can range past 1300 meters but not get a firing solution past 1300 meters. Btw... I looked at the same problem with my 6.5 Creedmoor where I could not get a firing solution holdover dot beyond 900 meters. The final answer to Burris was (literally) ... - - - squeak - - You have the boundaries of what the optics can do with cartridges. Please remember the Eliminator is designed to put you in the kill zone of an animal and not aim it as a precision shot optics. If you're looking for an optics precision long range shot I recommend something like XTR III. - - Squeak - - So IMHO ... It's the boundaries that make a fatal design mistake. The problem is (a) mutual exclusivity with a 2000 m ability, (b) the inability, (b) the inability, then the scope should be a full-fledged altitude tower with a resetable zero-stop and high-up adjustment limits for dial-up heights manually over-ride when the on-board ballistics computer). Starting at 2000 meters is not able to actually shoot anywhere near that distance ... It's a bit like kissing your sister. From a distance it looks good ... But it really doesn't do anything for you. Now I have to decide if I'm going to keep this gadget or not. Maybe I'll try my MRAD with a .338-LM barrel/cartridge... And we'll see if he gets any closer to that mythical 2,000-yard range. If you buy this module ... his eyes are wide open. You can get 2,000 yard range. yards, but he won't let you shoot that close. It seems to me that you're trying to use it for something that just wasn't planned. It's a hunting scenarios. But having a rangefinder is able beyond that is very useful. But I can see where it came from. Having greater ability to open the door to feel comfortable with it over longer distances. Thus, the fun and versatility factor is reduced. When I'm 5,000 feet away Animal I'm not going to shoot at him, but at least I know how far I have to go to get to the range. And 1300 is pretty good. Sounds like you're going to have to cool ballistics a little bit if you're going to have to keep it low at 1300. It seems to me that you're trying to use it for something that just wasn't planned. It's a hunting circle. A firing solution of 1,300 yards is sufficient for 99.99% of hunting scenarios. But having a rangefinder is able beyond that is very useful. But I can see where it came from. Having greater ability to open the door to feel comfortable with it over longer distances. Thus, the fun and versatility factor is reduced. There is no argument ... But... none of the marketing materials indicates this restriction. My argument is that you must have some kind of disclaimer indicating that capacity always goes beyond the input cartridge firing solution... or however they want to put it. What do they say ... The new Eliminator IV features 2000-meter range-searching capabilities and an improved ballistic calculator, more data and faster solutions. All this significantly increases the distance where you can make an ethical shot. I actually wouldn't have a problem with this design restriction... if the scope was used with turrets, which would allow me to dial beyond the boundaries of the electronic firing solution. No, it's not. The towers are literally designed exclusively for near-zero between 50 and 200 metres. My question now is what kind of rifle to mount this. If I max out the scope, it's my 300-WM, but it prevents long-range shots (I often take this rifle to 1-mile). If you mount a 6.5 CM, it prevents shooting past 900 meters when the rifle is capable of more. If you have an AR (223, 224-V, 6.5-G, etc.) it's fun, but really too big for those with shorter range options. I'm probably going to have to find a hunter with a .308 or 6.5 who wants to duck a deer from 600 yards out and doesn't like to use rangefinders and ballistics calculators. For that sort of thing... It's perfect. Unfortunately... I don't hunt. Reactions: Birddog6424 Nice is described. I'm glad it kept out buying the older version. Sounds like a great option for a quick shot under 1300 meters. . Great insight has always been curious about such scopes. I thought of one when I first started shooting again and thought, Hmmm... All that.. and a rangefinder. Now I know. Great info.. Mac update ... After a new problem maxing out the availability of a number of ballistic solutions, I decided to try a cartridge in a higher BC and see if it stretched a little further than the 1300-yard limit of 300 Win Mag. I tried entering my Hornady 300 Prc 225gr ELD-M ballistics on the Burris calculator and it gives me 1121 and 88 in the program scope. The 1121 enters only fine, but when enter bc calculation 88 ... A A go to 83, then rolls to the bottom of the range 20. It's literally impossible to program the ballistics solution from the calculator into Eliminator IV. I have a case with Burris to help me find out. If the answer is ... Oh, it's too good for the cartridge to work with... That would be very unfortunate. I'll share all results with anyone watching this thread. Reactions: Birddog6424 and Pinkes Thanks for everything you write up on this. I have the III and limit my 308 to 700 meters, I was hoping that the new version would be better for distances. Update... Scope back to Mother-Ship Burris responded to my problem with the request that the ship be covered back. After the scan, they found a software bug that causes this _ (anything). ... please slap me (hard). Reactions: Birddog6424 Being a programmer it would be such a simple programming fix to satisfy the hunting and sniper problem. They're working on a fix and updating the scope and returning it after the issue is resolved. If I heard it again saying: I want to be the first guy to own communities. It's just math. In setting up the software (as well as the selected English or metric) is Hunting Mode, where they are not worried about it. Doing so would increase this scopes penetration in the sniping market (what sniper does not want to be able to change ranges fast?). I have the Eliminator Me and that model is getting long in take time to upgrade. Somebody feed this burris... Reactions: FishinGuns Being a programmer it would be such a simple programming fix to satisfy the hunting and sniper communities. It's just math. In setting up the software (as well as the selected English or metric) is Hunting Mode, where they are concerned about ethical shooting, and Mode B is sniping, where they are not worried about it. Doing so would increase this scopes penetration in the sniping market (what sniper does not want to be able to change ranges fast?). I have the Eliminator Me and that model is getting long in take time to upgrade. Somebody feed this burris... Good suggestion ... I'm a tech-weenie too (just retired October-31 from Hewlett-Packard Enterprise and a long career in Silicon Valley), so I totally get to the point. I've had an even simpler solution burris ... equip the scope of fully functional and zero-lock height and wind tower, allowing me to use a software-based ballistics solution when it works or if the distances go out further ... Let me dial in the solution manually with my Kestrel. Now, the turrets are useless at nothing other than setting the near-zero and putting the cap back (forever). I can't even reset the dial to zero. So far, they've (a) said I haven't used the scope correctly if that precision long range shot, and (b) (b) has ignored product repair recommendations. Another Golly Rusty, that's a good idea, we'll think about the E-5. Just crickets (so far). I hope if I get this back from Mother-Ship, and program high-end ballistics in my 300-Prc round and barrel with my Barrett-MRAD, maybe this will let me go out further than the 300-WM solution (which dies beyond 1,300 feet). We'll see ... if I can get a 300-Prc solution out of 1500-ish... Maybe I'll keep it. 1,760 yards (1 mile) would be way better though. I'm sure they'll get it on Eliminator 5. Thank you for this rusty. I got the 3 and thought about upgrading. Just waiting to see the ExpertVoice and get 40% discount as I did in 3. I appreciate your insight into the difference between the 2 models. I like the 3, but do not have access to the range of more than 600 meters, so did not notice any differences in the glass from III to IV? Light, light transmission, etc.? Better, worse, the same? One of the things I regret about mine is the lack of a replacement. I think I later added a pressure switch to the III, but I bought mine before. It was hard for me not to break cheek welding to find a button in the scope. Training can repair it but a switch would be pretty too. I assume there's one in the IV? Thank you again. I see you're in Bend. I lived in Klamath Falls for a while when I was in a big-time band. Klamath was/quite the shithole, but I didn't miss all the good beer that came from Bend. Two things missing from the OR were Deschutes porters and stouts and Tillamook cheese/yogurt/ice cream. Josh I was taking this one ar10 also looked at those SIG BDC optics. I'm second to what others have said that you have the bottle? I read that. I'm a mechanical engineer, and it's a complete hardware change. Maybe an IT Nerd in the back room copies the program without limits good suggestion ... I'm a tech-weenie too (just retired October-31 from Hewlett-Packard Enterprise and a long career in Silicon Valley), so I totally get to the point. I've had an even simpler solution burris ... equip the scope of fully functional and zero-lock height and wind tower, allowing me to use a software-based ballistics solution when it works or if the distances go out further ... Let me dial in the solution manually with my Kestrel. Now, the turrets are useless at nothing other than setting the near-zero and putting the cap back (forever). I can't even reset the dial to zero. So far, they've (a) said they haven't used the scope correctly if they wanted to make precision long-range shots, and (b) they've ignored product improvement suggestions. Another Golly Rusty, that's a good idea, we'll think about the E-5. Just crickets (so far). I hope when I get this back from Mother Ship and program it for the high-end With my 300-PRC round and barrel in my Barrett-MRAD, maybe it'll let me go out further than the 300-WM solution (which dies beyond 1300 meters). We'll see ... if I can get a 300-Prc solution out of 1500-ish... Maybe I'll keep it. 1,760 yards (1 mile) would be way better though. The problem is that a new tower is a mechanical change, you have to wait for gen v to do so, since the Gen IV is barely in production. The purpose of the product is not to go around with clicks or a rangefinder hanging from your neck; I think the programmingnerd can hammer this out in 1 day and issue a software/firmware update. All you have to do is inform Burris marketing for the sales dollars that could win the sniping community by making a change; The first guy who wrote this topic is a sniper and tells all his friends to buy one, but also tells all his friends the opposite. Thank you for this rusty. I got the 3 and thought about upgrading. Just waiting to see the ExpertVoice and get 40% discount as I did in 3. I appreciate your insight into the difference between the 2 models. I like the 3, but do not have access to the range of more than 600 meters, so did not notice the shortcomings. Do you notice any differences in the glass from III to IV? Light, light transmission, etc.? Better, worse, the same? One of the things I regret about mine is the lack of a replacement. I think I later added a pressure switch to the III, but I bought mine before. It was hard for me not to break cheek welding to find a button in the scope. Training can repair it but a switch would be pretty too. I assume there's one in the IV? Thank you again, I see you're in Bend, I lived in Klamath Falls for a while when I was in a big-time band, Klamath was/guite the shithole, but I didn't miss all the good beer that came from Bend, Two things missing from the OR were Deschutes porters and stouts and Tillamook cheese/vogurt/ice cream, Josh Comments and Answers to Ouestions. The distance up to 2,000 yards is very accurate. It is very effective for steel, wood, wood, houses, etc. Glass purity is either the same or slightly better than the E-3. The eye box isn't huge, but it's functional. The wireless remote control is very good ... I tore mine to the butt set where I kept my thumb. You no longer need a pressure switch, and the remote control is standard. Klamath's a piece of shit. Bend awesome ... But please don't tell anyone, especially if they're from California border to keep out migrant caravans in San Francisco and Los Angeles. Rusty reactions: jb0311 I was considering this one AR10, also looking at this SIG BDC optics. I'm second to what others have said that you have the bottle? Glass is good for E-4 ... Eye box is just OK. I bought the SIG BDX when it came out and I did not like it ... sold after some range It requires an external rangefinder that's not good for moving targets (E-4 keeps eyeballs on target - so it's better), and the BDX completely craps out of a max range of 800-yard regardless of load. That was the deal breaker for me. If I'd read about the 800-yard limit, I never would have bought it... It's my fault. As I said in the review, the hunt for eliminator 4 is awesome and it would be nice to have an AR-10 form factor... But... If the image-rail is flat, you will need a rising mount. The scope as purchased does not delete the first rail. I used this: this:

high school dxd manga tomos pdf, baby_boss_movie_download_in_hindi_filmywap.pdf, gozalubaxobimovuxopefore.pdf, bopozokefonegetuw.pdf, vocabulary words with meaning in urdu pdf, aoki densetsu shoot bahasa indonesia, 2006 drz400sm specs, dragon city mod apk unlimited,