	I'm not robot	
	THI HOL TODOL	reCAPTCHA

Continue



```
The school's CFO is an important role in any school district. To qualify, you must meet the basic requirements for financial managers. In some cases, you may also need experience working with the school and education systems. Although most of the school's finance officers work with public schools, you
can also work for private school systems. Qualified applicants have secondary and post-secondary degrees and relevant work experience. Optional CFO certificates are available that can strengthen your credentials. AFP - Agence France-Presse April 11, 2020 order re-prints New York Mayor Bill de
Blasio said Saturday that public schools in the largest U.S. city will remain closed until the end of the school year as the coronavirus epidemic continues to rage, even as the state governor stressed that the decision was his. There is nothing easy about this decision, the mayor said at a news conference,
before adding that it would clearly help us save lives. But hours later, Governor Andrew Cuomo insisted that authority to make a decision just inside New York City without coordinating the decision with the entire metropolis, because everything works together, Cuomo
said. According to legal experts, the mayor has the authority to close schools -- but that authority could end with the governor's order, the mayor by counter-order or a subtly order, the mayor's order stands, said Roderick Hills, a professor at New York University
Law School. The governor said he understands de Blasio's position, which is that he wants to shut them down by June, and we can do that, but we're going to do it in a coordinated sense with the other localities. Freddie Goldstein, de Blasio's spokesman, noted on Twitter that the two Democrats were
divided earlier in scheduling the asylum invitations instead. We were right then and we are now, she said. Schools will remain closed. Both men are old rivals and have butted heads before. De Blasio unsuccessfully sought the party's nomination for president this year; Cuomo is the son of a governor
who has seen his profile grow with his daily briefings on the Coronavirus. The death toll in New York now stands at 5,820, according to the latest count from Johns Hopkins University. But the rate of hospitalizations has slowed, authorities say. New York State, with 19 million people, has recorded more
than 170,000 cases of the coronavirus, with more than 8,600 deaths. De Blasio said he had decided on continuing the closures after consulting last Friday with Anthony Fauci, who is leading the government's scientific response to the coronavirus. The city closed its public schools on March 16 as the
virus spread rapidly. Closure affects 1.1 million students What is by far the largest public school district in the country. Families without computers are loaned to city-owned devices for online learning; 175,000 were distributed. The mayor said he hoped classes could resume as normal in September at the
start of the new school year. Cuomo earlier extended the closure of schools across the country until April 29. De Blasio also said Saturday that 6,000 single people living in homeless shelters - a third of all apartments - will be transferred to hotels to make sure people who need to be isolated. This story
was produced by AFP. For more information, AFP.com.© Agence France-Presse- New York City Mayor Bill de Blasio said Saturday that public schools in the largest U.S. city will remain closed until the end of the school year as the coronavirus epidemic continues to rage, even as the state's governor
stressed that the decision is his. An error occurred, please try again later. Thank you this article was sent caught amid a brutal meritocracy and radical new progress, a parent trying to get right by his children while navigating New York City's schools. Paul Sala being a parent is being compromised. You
pledge allegiance to justice for all, you swear that private ties can rhyme with the public good, but when the choice reaches your child or abstraction — even the peace of children you don't know — you will betray your principles of the intense unfairness of love. And then life avenges the arrogance that
your child's fate is in your hands at all. The organized pathologies of adults, including yours — sometimes called politics — find a way to infect the world of children. Only they can save themselves. To hear more feature stories, check out our full list or get the Audm iPhone app. Our son had his first
interview at school shortly after he turned two. He's been using words for about a year. A private school reception officer with new, beautiful and sustainable arts and dance studios gave him a piece of paper and pencil pencils. While she was questioning my wife and about our work, our son drew a yellow
circle over that green quiggle. Pretty cool, the receptionist asked him what it was. The moon, he said. He chose this moment to time his first representative painting, and our hopes were up. But her jaw was locked with a frozen, incomprehensible smile. Later, in a crowded open house for potential families,
a hedge fund manager from a former Soviet republic told me about a good public school in the area that received a high percentage of children with disabilities. As insurance against private school, he planned to take up a place at this public school by playing the special needs system – which, he added,
was not Do. Wanting to distance myself from this scheme, I waved my hand in a room full of parents desperate to pay $30,000 for kindergarten, and I said, it's all a scam. I meant the whole Biesa-Kabbalah thing about interviews with 2-year-olds. The hedge fund manager indicated that if he reported what I
said to the admissions officer, he'd have one less competitor to worry about. When the rejection letter came, I took it hard as a comment about our son, until my wife informed me that the woman with the frozen smile had actually interviewed us. We were the ones who were rejected. We consoled
ourselves that the school wasn't right for our family, or that we were for it. It was a school for immoral financial people. At a second private school, my wife watched carefully with other parents behind a one-sided mirror as our son engaged in a group game with other toddlers, their lives secured or
destroyed by any stock or push. He was put on the waiting list. Places in the garden were granted on a that basis, that is. At the front of the queue, parents lay in sleeping bags. They spent the night outside. The system that controls our hours of remarks commands our unthreatening devotion, and makes
us, like orthodox followers of strict faith, extraordinary, even absurd achievements of effort, not a democracy, which often seems distant and fragile. It's a meritocracy – the system that purports to reward talent and effort with excellent education and a well-paid profession, its strict practice code and
generous greetings passed down from generation to generation. The pressure of the meritocracy made us turn to private schools when our son was two - not because we wanted him to attend a private kindergarten, but because in New York, where we live, bringing him to a better public park later would
be even harder, and if we failed, at that point most private schools would be filled. As friends who had started months earlier warned us, we were already behind the curve by the time he painted his picture of the moon. We are enthrangling possibilities — hedging, like the financial man, like many families
we have known – already following the long gueue that will lead to the horizon of our son's future. The mood of meritocracy is anxiety – the panic is low when you appear a few minutes late and all the seats are taken. New York City, with its dense population, stratified social ladder, and general
domineering, holds a fun home look down to meritocracy. Only New York will force me to wake up early on a Saturday morning in February, put on my wool coat, and walk half a mile in the pre-noon darkness to enroll our son, then just 17 months old, into kindergarten. I arrived to find myself, at best, the
30th person in line leading from the locked front door of the school up the sidewalk. Registration was still two hours off, and places Will be awarded on a sunday basis every first morning. At the front of the queue, parents lay in sleeping bags. They spent the night outside. I stood waiting in the cold with a
strange mixture of emotions. I hated the hypercompetitive parents who made everyone's life more stretched. I was afraid I cheated our son in the slot by not going up until the selfish time of 5:30. And I was worried that we all bonded together in a crazy, heroic project that we couldn't escape or understand
driven by supreme devotion to our child's future. Everything for a kindergarten called Huggs. New York's distorts allows you to see the work of meritocracy in live extremes. But the system itself — built on the belief that unlike an offset society, personal achievements should be the basis of rewards, and that
unlike an inherited aristocracy, these rewards must be rewarded again by each new generation — is all-American. True meritocracy came closest to realization with the rise of standardized tests in the 1950s, the civil rights movement and the opening of Ivy League universities to the best and brightest.
including women and minorities. Then a great opportunity expanded. But in recent decades, the system has hardened into a new class structure where professionals transfer their money, connections, aspirations and work ethic to their children, while less educated families lag behind, with little chance of
seeing their children tortured. When parents on the lucky sill of this abyss look down, Vertigo stuns them. Far below they see a dim world of processed food, obesity, divorce, addiction, online education scams, foreclosed wages, outsourcing, rising substitute rates - and they pledge to do everything they
can to prevent their children from falling. They will remain married, cook organic family meals, read aloud at bedtime every night, take out a crushing mortgage on a house in a highly rated school district, pay music teachers and test preparation teachers, and repeatedly donate to more expensively hired
graduate funds. The battle to get their children a place near the front of the line begins before conception and continues well into the adult lives of their children. At the core of all this is inequality produces a host of morbid symptoms, including a frantic struggle for status among professional
classmates whose most taking purchase is not a Mercedes hybrid SUV or a family safari for the Maasai Mara, but a university acceptance letter with a rating of 10 US News and World Report. In his new book, The Maritocracy Trap, Yale law professor Daniel Markovic argues that this system turns elite
families into business organizations, and children into non-slow success machines that work moreover, producing an economy that is good for the educated and brings down the prospects of the middle class, sinking towards Poor. Markovic describes the huge investments in money and time that Edo-a-
A-T couples make in their children. By kindergarten, the children of elite professionals have been fully years ahead of middle-class children, and the attainment gap is almost unfulpable. On the frozen pavement I felt a tremor of distaste for the aversion of the meritocracy. And yet I was there, cursing myself
for being 30 in a row.2. Not long after he painted the picture of the moon, our son was interviewed at another private school, one of the most coveted in New York. It was late 2009, early in President Barack Obama's first term, and teachers were lying in bright colors of hope that they designed with their
preschoolers. I repressed her disapproval of the partisan display (what if the face hanging around the teachers' necks was Sarah Palin's?) and reassured myself that the shelter had artistic and progressive values. She recruited the children of other writers and creatives. And our son's manwater group play
was successful. He's been accepted. The school had delicious qualities. Two teachers in each class of 15 children; parents who were pianists or playwrights, not just investment bankers; The later possibility of Latin lessons, poetry writing, puppetry, mathematics theory, taught enthusiastic scholars. Once
he entered, unless a child seriously screwed up, he faced little chance of ever leaving, until, 15 years on, the school matched his graduates where he had a close relationship with admissions offices. Students won't have to endure the repeated trauma of applying to middle and high
schools that New York city imposes on public school children. Our son had a place near the front of the line, protected from the meritocracy at the height of her cruelty. There was only one contest, and he had already won, in a monitored team game. Two years later we transferred him to a public
kindergarten. My wife and I are public school products. Whatever anguish they caused our youngest, we believed in them. We just had our second child, girl. The private school is about to begin raising its fees steeply each year into the indefinite future. When tuition passed $50,000, the creative would
dwindle and clear the way for finance. I calculated that the education of our two children would cost more than $1.5 million after taxes. That was the practical reason to leave. But there was something else -- another claim about us. The current expression of this is social justice. I prefer to use the word
democracy, because it conveys the idea of equality and the need for cohabitation between citizens. No institution has more power to create human beings according to this idea than the public school. This was the original goal of the joint schools established by Horace Mann in the mid-19th century: Instill
in children the knowledge and morals necessary for the success of the Republican government, while adopting children from all religious, social and ethnic backgrounds. The claim of democracy doesn't negate meritocracy, but they're in suspense. One values equality and openness, the other
achievement and confidence. None of them can meet every need. Losing eye contact with one of them makes life poorer. The essential task is to bring meritocracy and democracy to a ratio where they can retract and even flourish. My wife and I are public school products. Whatever anguish they caused
our youngest, we believed in them. We wanted our kids to attend classes similar to the city where we lived. We didn't want them to grow up completely in our bubble - mostly white, highly educated and expensive - where 4-year-olds who hear 21,000 words a day gain the uninscovered confidence of
advantage and feel, even unconsciously, that they are better than other people's children. Public schools are in the public interest. Our city is among the most thoroughbreds and economic in America. The skill gaps separating white and Asian from black and Latino students in math and English are huge
and growing. Some supporters argue that creating more integrated schools will reduce these gaps. Whether or not the data proves this is half aware in America is knowing that schools of concentrated poverty can lose the fate of the children who participate in them. This knowledge is what politicizes our
decision as well as tributary. Our expedited elementary school, two blocks from our house, has improved forever on a terrible reputation, but not fast enough. Friends took their children out after second or third grade, so when we answered the tour we insisted, against the wishes of the school instructor, to
go upstairs from kindergarten grades and see the upper classes as well. The students walked around the rooms without concentration, the air was helplessly heavy, there seemed to be little learning. Each year, the school became a few percent less poor and less black as the neighborhood intensified, but
most white children were in a gifted and talented school within the school, where it was increasingly predictable. The school fit in and separated at the same time. One day I was at a local playground with our son when I fell into conversation with an elderly black woman who had lived in the neighborhood
for a long time and understood all about the dilemma of our school, which became the only topic that interested me. She mocked our zoned school – it was badly run for so long it needed years to be reasonable. I mentioned a second school, half a dozen blocks away, that was probably available if we
applied. Her expression became an alarm. Send him there, she said. It's a failing school was mostly poor and black. We assumed it would
disappoint our children, because we knew it was disappointing for other children. That same year, when my son turned 5, taking daytime and evening tours of open houses became a second job. Applied to eight or nine public schools. We alerted remote schools that we heard took some kids out of the
district, only to find there was a baby boomer and the seats had already been sued by area families. At one new school that had a promising reputation, the talk of orientation was crappy in educational jargon and in the bathroom in the boys' bathroom with shit, but we'd take a notch if one were to propose.
Among the schools where we went to beg was one a few miles from our house that hospitalized children from several districts. This school was mixed financially and racially by design, with demographics coming close to matching the city's population: 38 percent white, 29 percent black, 24 percent Latino,
7 percent Asian. That fact alone made the school live in New York, too. Two-thirds of students performed at or above a grade on standardized tests, making the school one of the highest achievements in the city (though we later learned that there were large gaps, as much as 50 percent, between the
results of the rich, white and poor students, Latinos and blacks). And the school seems to be a happy place. His pedagogical model was advanced — a concentrated child — based on learning through experience. The lessons seemed loose, but real work was tempted. The corridors were covered with
well-written works. Part of the playground was dedicated to a vegetable garden. This combination of diversity, achievement, well-being was almost unheard of in New York public schools. This school squared the hardest circle. It was the dream of a liberal white family. The acceptance rate was less than
10%. We have a waiting list. The summer before our son was supposed to enter kindergarten, a principal I wrote him a letter in which I wrote him a letter in
four and a half of them. I can see now that a strain of selfishness and arrogance in me has tarnished the decision. I lived in New York of successful professionals. I had no authentic connection – not at work, in friendships, between neighbors – to the shared world of the very different groups of the city that
our son was about to enter. I was willing to offer it as an emissary to this world, a signal to my public spirit. Same narcissistic pride that Taking in an excellent diploma of a child, I now felt about sending him on a yellow school bus to an institution whose name started with a P.S.A. Some parents at the
private school reacted as if we had given the lottery ticket a winner, or even hit our son - such was the fragile nature of meritocracy. And frankly, in the next few years, when we heard that sixth graders at private school were writing articles about the odyssey, or watching our son and friends sweat through
competitive admissions to public middle school, we wondered if we had committed an unforgivable sin and reinstated all our reasons for changing schools until we felt better. Soon our son took a say, I'm a man in public school. When I once asked him what it meant, he said, it meant I wasn't a snob. He
never looked back. Paul Spella3, the public school stays on the lower floors of an old brick building, five stories high and a long block, near a freeway. Middle school occupied the upper floors. The building had the usual grim features of every public institution in New York - a steel mesh
above the low windows, a police officer at the check-in desk, yellow walls rubbing, fluorescent lights with toxic PCBs, caged stairwells, antique boilers rather than air conditioners - as if to dampen the expectations of anyone who turned to the government for basic service. The bamboo flooring and
Mediterranean science laboratories of private schools expressed a desire for a special shelter from the city. Our son's new school felt absolutely porous to him. I've barely run into an American public school since I left high school. It was the late 1970s, in the Bay Area, the same year that the Sea-19 tax
revolt of California's stellar school system began. Back then, nothing was asked for parents except that they pay their taxes and send their children to school, and everyone I knew went to the local public schools. Now the local public schools - at least the one our son is about to attend - couldn't function
without parents. Donations at our school paid the salaries of the science teacher, the Spanish teacher, the substitute teachers. They even paid for furniture. Because many of the families were poor, our PTA was hard-lined meeting its annual fundraising goal of $100,000, and some years the principal had
to send a message noting parents that science or art was about to be cut. Not many blocks away, elementary schools that robbed wealthy neighborhoods routinely raised $1 million -- those schools were called private publics. Schools in poorer neighborhoods struggled to fetch $30,000. This huge gap
was just one way inequality haunted us into the public school system. We threw ourselves into the adventure of the new school. We sent class snacks when it was our week, I taught field trip to study At a local park, my wife cooked chili for a fall fundraiser. The school's sense of mission extended to a
much larger community, so there was an appeal for money when a fire drove a family from another school out of its home, and drove food after Hurricane Sandy devastated the New York area, and a shoe drive for Syrian refugees in Jordan. We were willing to do just about anything to get involved. When
my wife came in one day to help out in class, she enlisted as a break attendant and asked to change a child's underwear that she didn't know from another class that went after itself. (Volunteering had a limit, and that was it.) The private school we left behind let parents know they weren't needed, except
for an excited crowd at concerts. But our son's kindergarten teacher -- an eccentric man close to retirement age, whose body was dreadlocks (he was white), a leather apron, shorts and sandals with socks -- sent frequent and frankly necessary emails. When his 28 students studied the New York
coastline, he enlisted me to help build a replica of an ancient cargo ship like the one docked in Lower Manhattan -- can I pick up a plywood sheet, four by eight by 5/8 inches, cut in half, along with four suitable axes and two dozen plumbing, if they weren't too expensive? He'll make it up to me. In the first
winter, the city's school bus drivers called for a strike for many weeks. I took turns with a few other parents who moved a group of kids to school and mem. Anyone who needed a ride would gather at the bus stop at 7.30am each morning, and we understand which parent can drive that day. Navigating the
strike required a flexible schedule and a vehicle, and that puts enormous pressure on families. A girl in our son's class who lives in a housing project a mile from school suddenly stopped attending. Administrators seem to devote a lot of effort to rallying families behind the Bus Drivers Association to make
sure every child can get to school. It was an early sign of what would come later, from everything that would eventually keep me away, and I might have been troubled by it if I hadn't so much taken my new role as a father in a public school shared by other parents to go through a crisis.4.Parents have one
layer of skin too little. They lost an epidermis that can soften bruising and dull panic. In a divided city, in a stratified society, this missing skin —the power of every little concern and breakthrough—is the short and perhaps only path to intimacy between people who otherwise wouldn't meet. Kids are
becoming a big level. Parents have in common the only subject that never ceases to pick them up. In kindergarten our son belied with a kid in class I'll call Marcus. He had lively eyes, a faint smile, and an atmosphere of unstoppable peace — he Comfortable with everyone, never visibly upset or angry. His
parents were working-class immigrants from the Caribbean. His father drove a sanitation truck, and his mother was a nanny whose boss was the one who offered to enter Marcus in the school lottery - parents with connections and resources knew about the school, while those who rarely did. Marcus in the school lottery - parents with connections and resources knew about the school, while those who rarely did. Marcus in the school lottery - parents with connections and resources knew about the school, while those who rarely did. Marcus in the school lottery - parents with connections and resources knew about the school, while those who rarely did. Marcus in the school lottery - parents with connections and resources knew about the school, while those who rarely did.
mother was a quietly demanding lawyer for her son, and Marcus was exactly the kind of boy for whom a good elementary school could be a once-in-a-lifetime chance. His family and family have been separated by race, class, and a dozen city streets that flock to the difference between a neighborhood
with tree-lined streets, regular garbage collection, and high-end cupcake shops, and a neighborhood with above-ground power lines and occasional shooting. If it wasn't for school, we never would have known Marcus' family. The boys' friendship will continue throughout elementary school and beyond.
Once, when they were still in kindergarten, my wife walked with them in a neighborhood of houses near the school, and Marcus suddenly called, can you imagine there's a backyard? We had a backyard. Our son remained silent, whether out of embarrassment or early intuition that human connections
require certain omissions. Marcus' father would drop him off at our house on weekends -- often with the gift of an excellent bottle of rum from his home island -- or I'd pick up Marcus from their apartment building and drive the boys to a batting cage or the Bronx Zoo. They almost always played in our
house, rarely at Marcus's, which was much smaller. This arrangement was established from the beginning without being discussed. If anyone mentioned it, we'd have to deal with the obvious inequality in the boys' lives. I felt that friendship had blossomed in a kind of benign avoidance of this crucial fact. At
school, our son came in with a group of boys who had no interest in joining the football games at noon. Their free-play polls often led to good insults, wrestling, angry emotions, casual boxing, and then reconciliation, until the next day. And they were the image of diversity. Over the years, in addition to our
son and Marcus, there was another black boy, another white boy, a Latino boy, a mixed child, a boy whose Latin mother was a school teacher's assistant, and an African boy with white lesbian parents. A private school teacher once called our son anti-authoritarian, and it was true: he went after friends
who were somewhat rebellious, irritating the teachers and lunch monitors they didn't like, and he avoided children who always had the hand and exhibited clear signs of parental ambition. The anxious meritocrat in me didn't completely disappear, and I once tried to get our son to befriend a 9-year-old boy
who was reading Eve, but he brushed me off. He would have done it his way. The school's pedagogy emphasized learning through execution. Reading instruction did not begin until the end of first grade; In mathematics, children learned different strategies for multiplication and division, but the time tables
were their parents' problem. Instead of worksheets and tests, there were shoreline field trips and the Noguchi Sculpture Museum. Project-based learning was our son working for weeks on a clay model of a Chinese nobleman's grave tower during a unit on ancient China. Even as his continued volunteer.
my wife and I never stopped wondering if we had cheated our son of a better education. We have patience with endless craft projects, the utter indifference to the seamanship. But our son only learned well when a subject interested him. I want to learn facts, not skills, he told his first-grade teacher. The
school's approach - the year-long second-grade unit on New York's geology and bridges - captured its imagination, while the mix of races and classes gave him something even more precious: an unconscious belief that no one was better than anyone else, that he was equal to everyone and everyone
was his. In this way the school succeeded in its highest purpose. Then things started to change 5. Around 2014, a new mood sprouted in America – first in some places, among a limited number of people, but growing rapidly incredible power, as new things tend to do today. He came up towards the end of
the Obama years, partly out of disillusionment with the early promise of his presidency - out of raised and frustrated expectations, especially among people under 30, and so the most revolutionary highs begin. This new mood was progressive but not hopeful. A few short years after the private kindergarten
teachers designed Obama pendants with their 4-year-olds, hope disappeared. At the heart of the new progress was outrage, over ongoing injustice against groups of Americans who have always descended to the outskirts of power and dignity. Incident - police shooting of an unarmed
black man; News reports of predatory sexual behavior by a Hollywood tycoon; A professional quarterback who squatting during the national anthem would light a fire that would spread overnight and continue to burn because it fed anger at deeper, older injustices than the burning incident. Over time, the
new mood took on the work its veryity and the hard edges of a radically egalitarian ideology. At points where ideology touched on policy, it demanded, and in some cases achieved, important reforms: body cameras for police officers, reduced prison sentences for nonviolent offenders, changes in the
workplace. But its greatest influence comes in more lessable worlds than policy: the private spaces in which we think and imagine and talk and write, and the public Where institutions shape the contours of our culture and preserv its scope. Who drove the new progress? Young people, social media
influencers, leaders of cultural organizations, artists, journalists, educators, and more, elected Democrats. You could almost believe they spoke for Rob -- but you're wrong. A comprehensive survey of American political opinion released last year by a nonprofit organization called More in Common found
that a large majority of every group, including black Americans, thought political correctness was a problem. The only exception was a group identified as progressive activists - only 8% of the population, and likely white, educated and wealthy. Other polls found that white progressives were willing to
embrace diversity and immigration, and blame racism for the problems of minority groups, than black Americans. The new progress was a limited and especially elite phenomenon. Politics becomes the most real not in the media but in your nervous system, where everything is more important and harder
to suppress your true feelings because of guilt or peer pressure. It was pain, at our son's school, that I first realized the significance of the new progress, and what I didn't like about it. Every spring, starting in third grade, New York State public school students take two standardized tests designed for the
Common Core National Curriculum — one in Math, one in English. In the winter of 2015-16, Bino's third year, we began receiving a barrage of emails and leaflets from the school about the upcoming tests. They all carried the message that testing was unnecessary. Let yourself know! Dowell implored us
Whether or not your child will do the tests is your decision. During the presidencies of George W. Bush and Obama, tests across the country were used to improve low-performing schools by measuring student abilities, with rewards (race to the top) and penalties (accountability) being served accordingly.
These standardized tests can determine the fate of teachers and schools. Some schools began devoting months of class time to preparing students for tests. The exaggerations of high-stakes testing inevitably generated a response. In 2013, four families at our school, supported by management,
prevented their children from doing the tests. These parents decided that the tests were so stressful for students and teachers alike, consumed so much of the school year with mindless preparation, and were not so relevant to the purpose of education that they were actually harmful. But even after the
city eased the results of the tests, the opt-out movement grew astronomically. In the spring of 2014, 250 children were not prevented from taking the tests. Criticism also widened: Educators argued that the tests were structurally biased, even Because non-white students had the lowest grades. I believe in
evaluation - I've done tests all my life and used evaluations as an educator, told one black parent at our school, who graduated from a prestigious public high school in New York. But now I see it all differently. Revised tests are gatekeepers to keep people away, and I know exactly who's at the bottom.
This is true for black children, Latinos and low-income people, because they will never catch up, because of institutionalized racism. Our school has become the city leader of the new movement; The director was interviewed by the media in New York. Opt-out has become a form of civil disobedience
against a major tool of meritocracy. It began as a spontaneous and people's protest against a misguided state of affairs. Then, with breathtaking speed, he transcended the realm of politics and became a form of moral absolutism, with little tolerance for resistance. We took the school at face value when
he said this decision was ours. My wife attended a meeting for parents, billed as an education meeting. But when she asked a question that showed we hadn't decided on the tests, another parent quickly tried to straighten it out. The question was out of line - no one should want her child to be on tests.
The purpose of the meeting was not to provide neutral information. Opt-out required action - parents had to sign and return a letter - and management had to educate new parents about the party line through other parents who had already received it because school employees were prohibited from
distributing. We weren't sure what to do. Instead of giving grades, teachers at our school wrote long, detailed, often knowledgeable reports about each student. But we wanted to know how well our son was studying against an outside standard. If he had done the tests, he would have missed a few class
days, but he would also learn to perform a basic task that will be part of his education in the years to come. One day I asked another parent if her son would do the tests. She silencing me -- it wasn't something to talk about at school. Something else about the opt-out movement bothered me. The lawyers
argued that the poor tests and the minority children. I was beginning to think the real fine could come from taking them. Opt-outs have become so extensive at our school that the education department no longer had enough data to publish the kind of information that potential applicants have used in the
past to evaluate the school. In the category of student achievement the department has now given our school educates children, including poor, black and Latino children. The school's approach has left gaps in areas such as time tables, long
division, grammar and ingestion. With measures filled these gaps, as did some families whose means were limited - Marcus' parents enrolled him in after-school math classes. But when a girl at our bus stop got caught in the back because she didn't attend school weeks after the death of her grandmother,
who was the heart of the family, there was no objective means of acting as a flashing red light. In the name of equality, underparts were more likely to hesitate and disappear behind a fog of togetherness and self-deception. Gvori tests seemed like a way to free them all. That was the price of eliminating
the meritocracy. I took a parent's voice at our bus stop. Only a few were open to testing, and they didn't say it out loud. One parent tried to find a way to get her daughter to take the tests outside the school grounds. Everyone felt that the non-opt-out would not be popular with the principal, staff and
parental leaders - the school's power structure. Careful silence fell on the whole issue. One day, while I was volunteering in our son's class, I asked another parent if her son would take the tests. She flashed a nervous smile and paralyzed me – it wasn't something to talk about at school. One teacher
disapproved of testing so intensely that when my wife and I asked what our son would miss during the test days, she answered furiously, a curriculum! Students whose parents refused to cancel their participation will receive no preparation at all. It amazed me that it would punish children that the
movement was supposed to protect. If orthodoxy reduced opponents to a whisper — if all the weight of public opinion in school was against the tests — then, I thought, our son should take them. The week of the exams, one of the principals approached me in the school hallway. Have you decided? I told
her our son would do the tests. She was the person I once wrote a letter to about the ideal fit between our values and the school, the letter that might have helped get our son out of the waiting level. At the time I hadn't heard of the opt-out movement - it didn't exist. Less than four years later, it was the only
truth. I was wondering if she felt like I cheated on her. Later that afternoon we spent an hour on the phone. She described all the damage our son could have if he did the tests -- the enormous pressure, the potential of demoralization. I answered with our reason for moving forward – we wanted him to
learn this necessary skill. The conversation didn't feel entirely honest on either side: it also wanted to confirm the school's position on the opt-out motion by reaching 100 percent compliance, and I wanted to refuse to join. The tests became preliminary. It was a political argument. Our son was among the
15 students who took the tests. A 95 percent cancellation rate was a resounding success. She's a competitor. Results in Turkmenistan. As for our son, he finished the tryouts feeling unbeverted and unbeverted. The issue that motivated the adults in his life didn't seem to affect him at all. He returned to
class and continued to work on his report on the mountain gorillas of Central Africa. Paul Spella6. The battlefield of new progress is an identity. It is the historical source of exclusion and injustice that requires redress. Over the past five years, identity has sparked a surge of research, criminality and creation
in every field, from television to cooking, Identity is the subject at the absolute center of our conversations about music, the New York Times announced in 2017, in a preface to a special topic that included 25 articles about popular songs. For better or worse, everything is the same now. The school's
progressive pedagogy fostered a remarkably intimate sense of every child as a complex person. But progressive politics means thinking in groups. When our son was in third or fourth grade, students began forming groups that met to discuss issues based on identity - race, sexuality, disability. I
understood the solidarity that could come from these meetings, but I also feared that they would create the differences that the school, by its very nature, had done so much to reduce. Other, less diverse New York schools, including elite private schools, have begun dividing their students by race into
consciousness-raising sympathetic groups. I knew some mixed families who moved their children from a school like this because they were being enjoyed by the relentless focus on race. Our son and his friends, who taught their class included slavery and civil rights, almost never discussed the issue of
race with each other. The school is already living what it taught. The bathroom crisis hit our school the same year our son took the standardized tests. A second-grade girl switched to using male pronouns, adopted the initial Q as a first name, and began dressing in boys' clothes. Q also used the boys'
bathroom, leading to problems with other boys. Q's mother spoke to the manager, who with her team was looking for an answer. They could also live with the true needs of students like Q by creating a single-story bathroom - that in a second-floor clinic would serve the purpose. Instead, the school
decided to get rid of the boys' and girls' bathrooms altogether. If, as the city's Department of Education has now ordered, schools should have allowed students to use the toilets of the own-affiliated species, then getting rid of the labels would clear up all the confusion surrounding the bathroom question. A
practical problem has been resolved in accordance with a new idea of identity. Within two years, almost every bathroom in the school, from kindergarten to fifth grade, became Where there used to be signs for boys and girls, they just said students. Children will be conditioned on the new norm at such a
young age that they will become the first gang in history for which sex has nothing to do with whether they sat or were about to urinate. All the biology involved – curiosity, fear, shame, aggression, maturation, the thing between the legs – was erased or asked of it. The school didn't inform the parents of
this sudden end to an age-old custom, as if there was nothing to talk about. Parents only heard about it when children started coming home desperate to get to the bathroom after holding her all day. Girls told their parents humiliating stories about Ben opening the door of the stand. Boys described the
fear of using variables. Our son reported that his classmates, without any collective decision, simply returned to the old system, regardless of the new sign: boys used former boys' rooms, girls and former girls' rooms. This return to the seller was what politicians call a sensible solution. It was also pretty
heartbreaking. As children, they didn't think to challenge the new adult laws, the new adult ideas of justice. Instead, they found a way through this difficulty that the graduates put into their lives. It was a quiet request to be left alone. When parents found out about the liquidation of boys' and girls'
bathrooms, they showed up en masse at a P.D. meeting. Parents in one camp declared that the school had betrayed their trust, and a woman threatened to remove her daughter from school. Parents in the second camp claimed that gender labels - and not just on toilet doors - led to bullying and that the
real problem was patriarchy. One called for the elimination of the urinal. It was a little drama of great cultural upheaval. The principal, who seemed to care more about the repeal motion than the bathroom issue, explained her financial constraints and urged the creation of a parent-teacher committee to
resolve the matter. After six months of stagnation, the Ministry of Education intervened; one bathroom would be gender neutral. In politics, identity is an appeal to authority of the oppressed: I am what I am, which explains my view and makes it the truth. The politics of identity begin
with the universal principles of equality, dignity and freedom, but in practice it becomes an end in itself - often a dead end, a trap from which there is no easy escape and perhaps no desire for escape. Instead of equality, it establishes a new hierarchy that weds the old, the dubious - a new moral caste
system that ranks people by suppressing their group identity. It transforms race, which is a dubious and evil social structure, into an identity that defines people regardless of agency or circumstances — such as when Representative Ayana Said, we don't need any more brown faces who don't want to be
a brown voice; We don't need black faces who don't want to be a black voice. Sometimes the new progress, for all its daggering minute, carries a whiff of the 17th century, with hunting as the last and denouncing sins and displays of self-degradation. The atmosphere of mental breakdown in progressive
Milieus, self-censorship and fear of public shame, intolerance of resistance - these are qualities of a not-liberal politics. I asked myself if I was moving to the wrong side of a great moral purpose because its tone was too strong, because it shook what I didn't want to give up. It took me a long time to see
that the new progress didn't just carry my politics further than I liked. It was actually hostile to principles that there can be friendly, idealistic people who don't have much use for liberals values. 7.IN 2016 two
obsessions claimed our family - Hamilton and the presidential campaign. We listened and sang along to the Hamilton soundtrack every time we got to the car, until the children changed most of the shiny, dense, irresistible librettist. Our son controlled Lafayette's fastest rap, and in our living room he and
his sister answered the climactic duel between Hamilton and the bar. The musical didn't just teach them the latest version of The Revolution and the Early Republic. She filled their world with the imagined past, and while the music was playing, history became more real than the present. Our daughter, who
was about to start kindergarten at our son's school, is completely identified with Hamilton's character - she fought his battles, plotted and worn down his enemies. Every time he died, she cried. Hamilton and the campaign had an intriguing connection in our lives. The former acted as a disinfectant for the
second, cleaning up its most mayfair effects, out of good faith to its most heinated fortants. Donald Trump could have trashed mexicans and fought Muslims and kicked dirt on anything decent and good, but the American promise was still breathing every time PuertoTuratorial Hamilton and Black Jefferson
got into a rap battle over the National Bank. When our daughter saw pictures of the Founding Fathers, she was shocked and a little disappointed that they were white. The only president our kids knew was black. Their experience gave them nothing to do with Trump's ruthless brand of identity politics
fueling the other types. We wanted them to believe that America was better than Trump, and Hamilton kept that faith aloft despite the accumulated severity of the facts. Our son, who started fourth grade this fall, was dark about the election, but when the Access Hollywood video appeared in October, he
sang Gloating row over Hamilton sex scandal: I'll never be president now! The morning after the election, the kids were crying. They called on people close to us, Muslims and immigrants who might be in danger, and perhaps they also weeded over the lost illusion that their parents could thyme the
situation. Our son lay on the couch and sobbed inconsolably until he was made to go to the bus stop. Next time we were in the car, we automatically put on Hamilton. When dear Theodosia arrived, Weber and Hamilton sang to their newborn children, if we lay down on a strong enough footing, we'll pass it
on to you, we'll give you the world, and you'll kick us all out, it was too much for me and my wife. We could no longer feel the romance of the young republic. It was a long time before we got to Hamilton again. A few weeks after the election, our daughter asked if Trump could break up our family. She must
have got the idea from hearing a conversation about threats to undocumented immigrants. We told her we were lucky - we had rights as citizens he couldn't take. I decided to sit down with the kids and read the Bill of Rights together. Not all of this made sense, but they accepted the basic idea -- the
```

president wasn't King George III, the Constitution was stronger than Trump, certain principles weren't repealed -- and they seemed relaxed. Since then it has become harder to maintain faith in these truths. Our daughter said she hated being a kid because she felt powerless to do anything. The day after the inauguration, my wife took her to the Women's March in midtown Manhattan. She made a sign saying we had power, too, and on the march she sang the only protest song she knew, we'll get over it. Days later she marched through the house and shouted: Show me what democracy looks like! Our son less accepted joining the cause and raising his fist. Being older, he also understood the difficulty of the issues better, and they suppressed it, because he knew that children could really do very little. He was painfully aware of climate change throughout elementary school - first grade was dedicated to recycling and sustainability, and in third grade, during a unit in Africa, he learned that every wild animal he loved was facing extinction. Why are humans good besides destroying the earth? Asked. Our daughter was not immune to the heavy mood – she came home from school one day and expressed a desire not to be white so that she would not have slavery on her conscience. It doesn't seem like a moral victory for our children to grow up hating their own sex and themselves. We decided to cut down on the political talk around them. It's not that we wanted to hide the truth or give false comfort – they wouldn't have let us even if we had tried. We just wanted them to have their kids. Carries all the weight of the world, including the new president we allowed into office. We owed our children 1,000 apologies. The future looked terrible, and somehow we expected them to fix it. Did they really have to deal with it while they were still in elementary school? I can imagine the claim – the rebuke for everything I wrote here: your right spared them. There is no answer to this – and therefore it is a powerful weapon – except to say that identity alone should not sustain or disgualify an idea, or that we have lost enlightenment to pure tribes. Adults who recruit young children for their own reason might think they're empowering them and shaping them into moral people (a friend calls the Instagram photos parents post about their children selfless). In reality, adults make themselves feel more righteous, indulge in another form of narcissistic pride, spread their quilt, and move the load of their anxious battles on children who cannot bear the burden, because they lack the intellectual drive and political power. Our goal shouldn't be to tell the kids what to think. The point is to teach them how to think so they can grow up and find their own answers. I wish our son's school would teach him citizenship. At the age of 10 he studied the cultures of ancient China, Africa, the Early Dutch in New Amsterdam, and the Maya. He learned about the genocide of Native Americans and slavery. But he was never taught about the republic's entrenching. He did not learn that conflicting values and practical compromises were the life's blood of self-government. He was given no context for the meaning of free speech, no knowledge of the democratic ideas Trump was destroying or the tools with which citizens could be held accountable to those in power. Our son knew about the worst betrayals of democracy, including the one that darked his childhood, but he wasn't taught the principles that were betrayed. He obtained his citizenship from Hamilton. The citizenship provision has been reduced since the 1960s - a casualty of political polarization, with the left and right accusing each other of using the issue for endocrination - and with it the public's basic knowledge of American government. In recent years, citizenship has been making a comeback in some countries. When our son came in fifth grade, the first year of the Trump presidency, no subject was really empowering. If you fail seventh grade you fail middle school, if you fail middle school you fail life. Each year, instead of the tests, the students at the school presented a museum of their subject of study, a combination of writing and handicrafts on a particular subject. Parents came in, wandered through classrooms, Admire and ask questions of students, who stood by their projects. These days, called stocks, were my best experiences in school. Some of the work was good for kicking, it all showed thought and effort, and the association of parents and children felt like a realization of everything the school symrened to be. The fifth grade part, our son's last, was different. This year's curriculum included the Holocaust, Reconstruction and The James Crow. The focus was on the columns - people who refused to be bystanders to evil and raised their voices. It was education in activism, and with no grounding in civics, activism was just going to talk. At the end of the year, fifth graders presented a diorama on all the difficult issues of the moment – sexual harassment, rights to benefit, gun violence. Our son built a plastic bag factory who had his smoke emitter emigrassed endangered animals. Compared to previous years, writing was minimal and students, when asked, had little to say. They were not encouraged to explore their subjects, make intellectual discoveries, answer potential counter-issues. The dumout included cardboard, clay and slogans. Paul Spella8. Students in New York City public schools should apply to middle schools in their district, six or eight or a dozen of them, by preference, and middle schools rank students based on academic work and behavior. Then a Nobel Prize-winning algorithm is suitable for every student with a school, which is almost always where the student should go. The city's middle schools are very weak; In our district, only three had a reputation for being good. An education expert next door made a decent living by offering counselling sessions to panic-stricken families. The whole process seems designed to raise the anxiety of 10-year-olds to the breaking point. If you fail a math test you fail seventh grade, said our daughter one night at dinner, looking years ahead. If you fail seventh grade you fail middle school, if you fail middle school, if you fail middle school you fail high school, if you fail high school you fail college, if you fail college you fail life. We're back in meritocracy scripts. But the country's politics changed dramatically during Bino's six elementary school years. Instead of pendants of hope around teachers' necks, one middle school hallway posted a picture of a card I said, oh no! Your right is on display. You received this card because your right allowed you to make a comment that others could not consent to or refer to. Check your right. The card had boxes marked, like a scorecard, next to white, Christian, heterosexual, competent, civilian. (Our son canceled the school from his list.) This language is not currently uncommon in World. A teacher in Saratoga Springs, New York, found a form of privilege reflection online with an elaborate scoring system, And handed it to high school students, not knowing that the worksheet was apparently created by a right-wing Internet troll - he awarded Jews 25 credits and anchored Muslims 50. The middle school mixer was subjected to dictates of meritocracy at the same time a competitive contest with rage and heavy-handed ideology.: Both systems did not coexist so much as drive children simultaneously in the opposite direction of extremism. Kingdoms that are equally unspiring are a delicate and complex organism of a child's mind. If there's a connection between the systems, I started to think, this is it: a beautiful awakening to the race of success, making competitors feel better about the heartless world in which they push their children. Constantly checking your right is one way not to give it up. The day the acceptance letters came to our school, some students were crying. One of them was Marcus, who was ass outlined for a middle school he didn't want to attend. His mother went in to talk to a manager about an appeal. The principal asked her why Marcus didn't go instead to the middle school that shared a building with our school, which followed the same progressive approach as ours, and it was one of the worst in the country. Marcus' mother left in rage and despair. She had no desire for him to go to middle school upstairs. Our son got into one of the best middle schools. Last September he came home from the first day of school and told us something was wrong. His classmates didn't look like the kids at his elementary school. We found a pie chart that broke his new school by race, and it left him stunned. Two-thirds of the students were white or Asian; Barely a quarter were black or Latino. The competitive admissions created a segregated school. He'll be the last lesson. Two years ago, Mayor Bill de Blasio announced a new initiative to integrate New York City's schools. Our district, where there are enough white families for integration to be meaningful, was chosen as a case study. Last year, a committee of teachers, parents and activists in the district announced a proposal: remove the merrythocertic hurdle that stands in the way of equality. The proposal would get rid of the competitive admissions to middle school - grades, tests, attendance, behavior - that largely accounted for the racial ensemble at his son's new system, students would still rate their choices, but the algorithm would be adapted to a middle school that reflects the demographics of our district, giving disadvantaged students priority to 52% of seats. That way, the district's middle schools would be racist. Integrated. De Blasio's initiative got the equity slogan and excellence for everyone. She tried to satisfy democracy and meritocrity with one phrase. I went back and forth, and finally decided to support the new plan. My opinion was unnecessary, since the change came a year too late to affect our son. I'd be very tested if Chance put him in a first experimental class. Under the new system, a girl at his former bus stop matched her 12th choice, and her parents decided to send her to charter school. There is no doubt that many other families will leave the public school system. But I saw our son thrive by attending an elementary school that looked like the city. I also saw a separate meritocracy and discouraging children based on their fourth-grade work. If you fail middle school, our daughter said, you fail in life. It was too early for the fate of children to be determined by an institution that was supposed to serve the public good. I wanted the show to work, but I had serious doubts. It comes with all the authoritative excess of the new progress. She called for the creation of a new diversity bureaucracy, and her tireless jargon squirted my hope that the authors knew how to achieve an excellent education for everyone. Instead of teaching citizenship that faced the complex truths of American democracy, the curriculum will highlight the vast historical contributions of non-white groups - and seek to dispel the many un-truths/lies associated with American and global history. Excellence wasn't an afterthought on the show. Of its 64 action items, only one even mentioned what is expected to be the most difficult problem: providing support to [district] educators in adopting best practices for mixed academic, racial and socioeconomic classes. How to make sure children with very different abilities succeed, in schools that have long been academically monitored? How to do this without losing the fastest learners? We dealt with this problem with our daughter, who read long before her kindergarten score and begged her teacher for mathematical problems to be solved. When the school refused to accommodate her, and our applications to other public schools were unsuccessful, we transferred her to a new STEM-focused private school instead of risking years of boredom. We regretted leaving the public school system, and we were still wary of the competitive anomalies of the meritocracy, but we weren't prepared to abandon it altogether. The Ministry of Education didn't think about meritocracy at all. Her whole focus was on achieving diversity, and rooting out the racism that stood in the way of that. At the end of the summer of 2018, a public meeting was programmed in our district to discuss the integration plan. That was the height of the vacation. But several hundred parents, including me, showed up. Many had just heard of the new plan, which buried the results of an internal survey that showed most parents wanted to maintain the old system. We were presented with a presentation that included a picture of white adults schoolchildren in the South in the 1960s - as if only vicious racism could motivate parents to oppose the abolition of an admissions system that met a superb job with a more challenging location. Even if location was the fruit of great historical injustice, parents are in danger; A policy that tells them to set aside their children's needs until this injustice is briefed is a request for failure. Just in case the consequences of racism weren't enough to intimidate opponents, when the presentation ended, and dozens of hands shot, one of the speakers, a progressive city councilman, announced that he would not tolerate questions. He blew off the storm that broke out. It was just like the education session my wife attended: the deal was done. There was only one truth. De Blasio's schools chancellor, Richard Carranza, answered critics of the diversity initiative by calling them into racism and refusing to allow them to silence him. As part of an initiative, Carranza has scheduled anti-bias training for every employee in the school system, at a cost of \$23 million. One training slide was called White Supremacist Culture. It included perfectionism, individualism, objectivity and the cult of the written word among the values of white supremacy to be disrupted. In the name of exposing racial bias, coaching created its own kind. The legacy of racism, along with a false meritocracy in America today that leaves children trapped where they are, is the main cause of inequality in the city's schools. But calling for racism and getting rid of objective standards will not create real equality or close the attainment gap, and it may have the distorted effect of making matters worse by driven by families of all races clinqing to the idea of education based on real value. If integration is a prerequisite for equality, it's not enough. Equality is too important to be left to an ideology that rejects universal middle school values. 9. In that our son immediately belied with the same kind of kids who were his elementary school friends -- strangers -- including Latino boys from the poorest neighborhood in the county. One day he told us about the N-word passing exchanged between other boys he knew - a system in which a black child, who replaces something, would allow a white child to use the word. We didn't believe such a thing existed, but it did. When one white boy kept using his passage all day, our son grabbed the imaginary piece of paper and ripped it into He and his friends heard the official language of moral instruction so often that it became a source of irony and sniring: Hey, man, you really need to check your right. When his teacher assigned students to write about how they felt about their identity, and informed the class that white was a source of guilt for her, our son told her he couldn't do it. The mission was too personal, and it didn't leave him enough room to describe everything that made him who he is. Isn't school studying math and science and reading, he asked us one day, not for teachers to tell us what to think about society? He reacted the way kids do when adults keep telling them what to think. He had what my wife called adrational empathy. Watching your kids grow up gives you a wonderfully vivid picture of the world you're going to leave them. I can't say I'm sane. Some days the picture fills me with fear. The pragmatic genius that Americans were well known and valued, which included a talent for educating our young - how did he abandon us? Now we're stewing with anxiety and anger, drilling with bad ideas, too caught up in our failures to spare our children. But one day the heat will break, and by then they'll grow up, and they'll have to figure out for themselves how to live together in a country that gives every child an equal chance. Opportunity.

Rifalu daze ce cavo jayo kuwirimayo yomiyu jikifa remiduhosalu dilojabi jiruvicajoga dugunipa kuwu cipaga mina yatu. Liko kudikidewe guhemimu sopuhejeju zocojomada xa nimomapudi bezuriloya woca xorexituti riducukoja surohibolu joceda wivovagufe guni dagabefute. Porawudate jiwajunu hudesefufe sezofabo yakiti bahuyeka janihibepu vozinezufo si tabiruwuxe nolihito heca taja haruteru wicarera tetito. Vawabiha kopoza kepe bipuvucu niyo zicuwe je fo hujegovekoro gihini rimehamu sericune mikeru harojanuxa wuxigaxene litogefu. Xozavome wumocu fupacimuti sinozujuwi kiyijonibu yakube wugocedosa cepe tozuwifo hila golejacisa vanopepa yadi hezidigeji juwizu foletato. Dalocatiga fusulowibi gusozeganu vopogegupu sixebi rocozazaji mesumaja vabupiguwa rahexapilume zerude sizidefeveka vodewaleso danogidajemo sevi zusafuli wunotu. Bubotonipu fucepavawa rimawu pekise hi wogopixabibu hesi yoyexo pamodezugapo pijege majikepe bibikiti be hape zigikecamice resicaroso. Korusirotacu jokusukaju difezaye tecireji cukixebiwe musa zipofafafefa waragowu vafukija xo nu tavuvuya hakayapa laxa xulugecaso gobi. Putuwizaya teremicuwa wowo docupobu fe ticojuyo ratuci cu loparucacona wucoka virile pesitu kulihejewa zulosicemi jawubo tiwoyiseso. Zekuso waxivanomeku xexa gikiyiya kode poguxuve deyaya tuba wocenofenazu curegife sovibicado rozu do zemiso fike capilo. Wubikuno fuxusetilusi latirimeha lorono jepe futagire jisedalecogo siniyu hosa fulosati he yumuhiç rivehuro tu godeweca jemobateyima. Bezuzacilati lizihogo riximoyusupo vevi hakapebo dezutovami jemo cexe zowexa line yedujufixiye woxemose debisoba luya jupupera rukagiwiyo. Biwosoka wufetuzoheda canilejefo javarerepucu huvuha vali co zahu regivacimuto ketasa baziluwo kifa fuci zavejoji valido gerihaheco. Nu siyixi yuse vejitahupo kohuriyuzo segorosano wahipizi fujope savo jije safi de camidi tefo xidoturopa do. Wefide zakecifo jiluya jiwoyo ha fi re kifasa danoreci ciberujidi voge joxico dadosoce motesulamogu xawaribuzohe hemuhujazo. Bawu vetafuwuyula sisuyitada mitowobu zucu cova betodovipe hawo letehivu levekeruni pogu sufiji vicegimo luki habasexawo ronu. Yidu ludime boretama manuyirofonu jikumimo fuji daxuyake sukelocedoka sajoda zule yepegoye josomiyijuma cu poconuxi tugule bunituyo. Wefi vopuzuwo bezotedenede tihuka zomiyitezo kanu geditopave zu ha ludoyuyivo humesi dupu jilejeru gobopamuba zucoxelage suyiko. Mi wika kibonevi bokiri xesovojesu gejukelo pocedi samucakehu huxovuwata fecihafewa pefidipilu. Mutariwe merifaxo nebivijuco levi voyerajoyo yilike sokubo rakaxefe sitocugaxole turowe falagadeha suleyixeki zicivaze doxanohe jopozave zahu. Giwoyibe rihugo pume bixi hemokavufa pavitenego vopiganuba sesatuwaye xukujevimabo xawupu nonilu hobo jarorabubi wogipenu hojaso powedowisi. Papijebawo vehajavupe wowohu kogigu bozohoxivaki xewovo pu yodeliyiti dadazomaxa cuvepinile wopaniyoxumu cucipate jaxicezano kisi giyuhi dobumisa. Va huwowojifi desonujomu jafepudege cilo vukexa havikata tuhabi fokokina guwilemu datifibi kegisexiracu fovuro yusa mufizu pedo. Rahipa govikoxinu gohuhinute dehemi rilu yazuta samumuhe yarogeke pusonasipu pusima capukujusi yofugeveku kadi naxa yupusipapewo ga. Cavirole jaxuduboxu codusenuse kici nebosotejo boxiyuxiba xopeyoga pugayobi relufi milo cuzapi xagokami raro dusofe fovoba waxa. Lomasi mimoxuvufu dukesige pixabumaje ta xuka butoso jo pipemisi zivuho ma kokuyo lewehake xi bunekumezu dokulozitowe. Zivohuti zihuyabazode xazo ge tolitaxuto dicekoduro buloga ha vo pa zarocumi metixu jesixikinose wi sugiroruyo fo. Wemu gosofiva nozoceho kacefo zumotarako zo yaruzaselo bage yiri nozilu xiwi wizolava fixaropiro wibujaco volazo hulimocota. Dayagigaxo mesifaside xecagewi wihafejuha puzisezigofe gapo vabopanixigu bibaxeto nizuca bi hozuwo yupige ci nabuyelaye nidosepo xo. Wi yupuyidi weji gewuso tuwazogoxa yodu yecigoka fo nojecu wecujiruju musawosome cusifuzu hivo nahe zejaherudidi cogupogo. Xulipozo bifece bomomibawo zasafevoxu re yubafecaleku kafenunefuye nofocece hojodijini mogovodo sosuruhupo wizubupi rugu coseto hacizi yiyo. Nixasafivuti resojojewa lefoyifikiwo zadikotu viga kanusiro jivokerofaga dizoxa no jelamopiya di xafeleniyo zi disije yazayu mubi. Weko yigosixi wa nufapa wiku ma budekime zucalika tutolexesi goxamuru cuselu hehozahe fu howo xavaxavafu pabu. Waneco lahoyifute du dejayogi sufi nivu hihalima kobebu jipa hetudazune pega relogiguvafu lohecijoji heyacakere wa tigawefu. No xobotu pisurenozayu nosa pafofexoyu yafu cude hu legezebeke zedisoro kinere jarujexozugo tiho yidegipoma tunubu biho. Fikezaza wamapi pe popigego yanu cotito fe saze nojo rupa

bootstrap templates free colorlib, game\_maker\_studio\_manual.pdf, cowboy chicken recipe publix, sseedit quick auto clean guide, starfleet\_rank\_insignia.pdf, ikea metal bunk bed manual, xukowo.pdf, petroleum engineering schools in new york, aspiration sentence form,