


I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

Continue

Updated: 11/10/2017 by Computer Hope Drives by model Hard drive help and support MODEL (BIG FOOT SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type BIG FOOT 1.2 GB 1.2 GB 2492 16 63 65535 2492 IDE BIG FOOT 2.1 GB 2.1 GB 4092 16 63 65535 4092 IDE BIG FOOT 2.5 GB 2.5 GB 4994 16 63 65535 4994 IDE MODEL (DAYTONA SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type DAYTONA 170 MB 170 MB 538 10 62 65535 538 IDE DAYTONA 256 MB 256 MB 723 11 63 65535 723 IDE DAYTONA 341 MB 341 MB 1011 15 44 65535 1011 IDE DAYTONA 514 MB 514 MB 997 15 63 65535 997 IDE MODEL (EUROPA SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type EUROPA 540 MB 540 MB 1179 15 60 65535 1179 IDE EUROPA 810 MB 810 MB 1771 15 60 65535 1771 IDE EUROPA 1080 MB 1080 MB 2362 15 60 65535 2362 IDE MODEL (FIREBALL SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type FIREBALL 540 MB 540 MB 1056 16 63 65535 1056 IDE FIREBALL 640 MB 640 MB 1244 16 63 65535 1244 IDE FIREBALL 1.0 GB 1.0 GB 2112 16 63 65535 2112 IDE FIREBALL 1280 MB 1280 MB 2484 16 63 65535 2484 IDE FIREBALL 1.2 GB 1.2 GB 2484 16 63 65535 2484 IDE FIREBALL 1.7 GB 1.7 GB 3309 16 63 65535 3309 IDE FIREBALL 2.1 GB 2.1 GB 4092 16 63 65535 4092 IDE FIREBALL 2.5 GB 2.5 GB 4969 16 63 65535 4969 IDE FIREBALL 3.2 GB 3.2 GB 6232 16 63 65535 6232 IDE FIREBALL 3.8 GB 3.8 GB 7480 16 63 65535 7480 IDE MODEL (GO SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type GO 40 MB 40 MB 821 6 17 65535 821 IDE GO 60 MB 60 MB 526 9 26 65535 526 IDE GO 80 MB 80 MB 991 10 17 65535 991 IDE GO 120 MB 120 MB 731 13 26 65535 731 IDE GO GLS 85 MB 85 MB 722 10 23 65535 722 IDE GO GLS 127 MB 127 MB 677 9 41 65535 677 IDE GO GLS 170 MB 170 MB 538 10 62 65535 538 IDE GO GLS 256 MB 256 MB 723 11 63 65535 723 IDE GO GRS 80 MB 80 MB 966 5 34 65535 966 IDE GO GRS 160 MB 160 MB 966 10 34 65535 966 IDE MODEL (PIONEER SG SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type PIONEER SG 1.0 GB 1.0 GB 2097 16 63 65535 2097 IDE PIONEER SG 2.1 GB 2.1 GB 4092 16 63 65535 4092 IDE MODEL (SIROCCO SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type SIROCCO 1.7 GB 1700 MB 3309 16 63 65535 3309 IDE SIROCCO 2.5 GB 2550 MB 4969 16 63 65535 4969 IDE MODEL (TRAILBLAZER SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type TRAILBLAZER 420 MB 420 MB 1010 16 63 65535 1010 IDE TRAILBLAZER 635 MB 635 MB 1234 16 63 65535 1234 IDE TRAILBLAZER 850 MB 850 MB 1647 16 63 65535 1647 IDE MODEL (LIGHTNING SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type LIGHTNING 365 MB 365 MB 976 12 61 65535 976 IDE LIGHTNING 540 MB 540 MB 1120 16 59 65535 1120 IDE LIGHTNING 730 MB 730 MB 1416 16 63 65535 1416 IDE MODEL (MAVERICK SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type MAVERICK 270 MB 270 MB 944 14 40 65535 944 IDE MAVERICK 540 MB 540 MB 1049 16 63 65535 1049 IDE MODEL (LPS SERIES) SIZE CYL HDS SECT WPCOMP LZONE Type LPS 127 127 MB 919 16 17 65535 919 IDE LPS 170 170 MB 1011 15 22 65535 1011 IDE LPS 210 210 MB 723 15 38 65535 723 IDE LPS 270 270 MB 944 14 40 65535 944 IDE LPS 540 540 MB 1120 16 59 65535 1120 IDE MODEL (PRODRIVE SERIES) P3MPEP CYL HDS WPCOMP LZONE Type PRODRIVE 40 40 MB 965 5 17 65535 965 IDE PRODRIVE 52 52 MB 751 8 17 65535 751 IDE PRODRIVE 80 80 MB 965 10 17 65535 965 IDE PRODRIVE 85 85 MB 611 16 17 65535 611 IDE PRODRIVE 105 105 MB 755 16 17 65535 755 IDE PRODRIVE 120 120 MB 814 9 32 65535 814 IDE PRODRIVE 170 170 MB 968 10 34 65535 968 IDE PRODRIVE 210 210 MB 873 13 36 65535 873 IDE PRODRIVE 425/425IAT 425 MB 1021 16 51 65535 1021 IDE PRODRIVE ELS 42 42 MB 968 5 17 65535 968 IDE PRODRIVE ELS 85 85 MB 977 10 17 65535 977 IDE PRODRIVE ELS 127 127 MB 919 16 17 65535 919 IDE PRODRIVE ELS 170 170 MB 1011 15 22 65535 1011 IDE PRODRIVE LPS 120 120 MB 901 5 53 65535 901 IDE PRODRIVE LPS 240 240 MB 723 13 51 65535 723 IDE PRODRIVE LPS 525 525 MB 1017 16 63 65535 1017 IDE Was this page useful? YesNo KeystoneGetty Images Schroedinger's cat was originally intended to mock quantum theory. However, quantum scientists have long viewed this thought experiment as a challenge. Now the researchers have built an experiment on a quantum level using qubits. The quantum mechanics often has difficulty breaking through to the entire public, where the importance of Schroedinger's cat lies. Thought the experiment captured the general imagination, with scientists today still working to answer their calls. Now a new study looks at how a cat in the famous puzzle can exist through a bit of quantum cunning. First, let's sum up Schroedinger's Cat. In 1935, Austrian physicist Erwin Schroedinger sought a concept called superposition. Superposition is when two waves meet, overlap and interact, which can lead to different results depending on the circumstances. The concept can be seen in a world of normal size, in everything from the ripples of water on the lake to noise-cancelling headphones. Schroedinger was not a fan of the then understanding of quantum mechanics, which positively the idea of quantum superposition occurs as long as particles interact with or are observed by the outside world. To ridicule this idea, he created his own script, which he called the Cat Paradox: you can even create quite funny cases. The cat is buried in a steel chamber, along with the following device (which should be protected from direct cat interference): in the Geiger counter, there is a tiny bit of radioactive material so small that perhaps within an hour one of the atoms disintegrates, but also, with the same probability, perhaps not; if this happens, the tube counter discharges and through the relay releases a hammer that destroys a small flask of hydrocyanic acid. If someone had left this whole system for an hour, one could say that the cat is still alive, if in the meantime no atom has disintegrated. The first atomic decay would have poisoned him. The psi function of the whole system will express it by having in it a live and dead cat (excuse the expression) or smeared in equal equals characteristic of these cases is that the limit, initially limited to atomic domain, turns into macroscopic uncertainty, which can then be decided by direct observation. This does not allow us to be so naive as to accept as a valid blurred model for the representation of reality. By itself, it will not embody anything vague or contradictory. There is a difference between shaky or off-focus photos and a snapshot of clouds and fog banks. Schroedinger was referring to his script to mock quantum superposition, but over time many physicists began to see the cat paradox much less ridiculous than he imagined. The newest case: a team of German, Italian and American researchers has just built an actual experiment with twenty qubits - units of quantum information, like a binary bit, displaying 0 or 1 as information. Binary bits can only be processed linearly by reading 0 after 1 in a particular pattern. But quantum mechanics allows qubits to exist in an agreed state of superposition, which is what the researchers wanted to investigate. Within 20 qubits, there are over a million superposition states. Cat-in-cat teeth are considered extremely important for the development of quantum technologies, explains Jian Cui, a physicist at the Peter Grunberg Institute in Yalich, in a press statement. The secret to the tremendous efficiency and performance expected from future quantum computers can be found in this superposition states. The team placed 20 tangled quantum bits in superposition state, breaking the old record of 14 set in 2011. They place them using lasers to capture individual atoms in a process known as optical tweezers. We have almost inflated some atoms to such an extent that their atomic shells merge with neighboring atoms to simultaneously form two opposite configurations, namely arousal, occupying all even or odd areas, says Cui. It goes so far that the wave functions overlap, as in the analogy with Schroedinger's Cat, and we were able to create a superposition of opposite configurations, also known as the Grinberger-Horn-Seilinger state, in which three or more particles become entangled in each other on a quantum level. They're not the only scientists to solve Schroedinger's puzzle this year. Earlier, in 2019, a team from Yale University announced that they had discovered a way to save the cat. This content is created and supported by a third party and is imported to this page to help users provide their email addresses. You may be able to find more information about this and similar content on piano.io Andrew OnufriyenkoGetty Images Scientists have applied the quantum idea of machine learning back in brain to see if it explains a person's decision-making. The complexity of the brain suggests that the quantum model can match the data better than the classical model. Researchers Researchers that quantum training awards correspond to the best classic rewards learning models. Can quantum theory and human psychology be the cereal and milk combo that explains our stupid decisions? Scientists in China are studying the theory that these two disciplines are more connected than we might think. They studied the brains of smokers and non-smokers during gambling and observed decision-making mechanisms that they said act like quantum computers. These scientists from the University of Science and Technology of China in the eastern central province of Anhui say that they are not the first to offer the human mind a quantum model or basis in action. But they believe they are among the first to translate these theories into measured data using electroencephalography (EEG). Only a few studies have been supported by electroencephalography (EEG) analysis data that have no spatial resolution and area information, they explain. The researchers installed the subjects with sensors and had them go through the Iowa gambling task, which is a known psychological test of how our decisions are motivated by reason or excited. Since its initial use, this experimental model has been used in hundreds of other published studies and papers. In it, the subjects play a card game, where over time it is shown that one stack of cards is more likely to offer a reward than the other. After so many experiments, the Iowa Gambling Tasks results are pretty well understood for some groups, like people with otherwise healthy brains who are not addicted to drugs or just guess-play. But other groups are more challenging, and trying to understand their results while IGT continues to puzzle scientists. The NIH says that even among a supposedly homogeneous group of people with a healthy brain, IGT results are still all over the map and difficult to predict from study to study. Chinese researchers suggest a combination of classic reinforcement (or reward) training, where scientists study how people react over time to situations with the promise of some kind of reward, can be superseded by what's called quantum reinforcement training. Training in the strengthening of the quantum (HDR), which shows excellent performance in computer simulations, has never been empirically tested for human decision-making, they explain. In other words, machine learning has for some time had a popular model of ERL, and this model began with the miki of human decision-making in the form of classical learning reinforcement. Now, scientists have wondered if applying ARRs back to the human brain can show that this is the best model for how we make decisions. For this study, researchers divided people into smokers and non-smokers, and recorded their decisions IGT time. They then compared the real data with the results of two separate models of THER and 12 different classic classic Model. In all groups, THE models performed well compared to the best CRL models, suggesting that value-based decision-making can be illustrated by THER at both behavioral and neural levels, they say. One of the weaknesses the NIH has pointed out with IGT as a whole is that it lacks a subtle way of quantifying sensitivity in different people, instead using a Boolean sledgehammer to represent who is vulnerable to certain decisions or not. This study shows that quantum-like internal states of related variables, which they identified in smokers and non-smokers, can lead to a more detailed understanding of what happens in the brain during this task. This content is created and supported by a third party and is imported to this page to help users provide their email addresses. You may be able to find more information about this and similar content on piano.io piano.io basic postulates of quantum mechanics ppt. basic postulates of quantum mechanics in hindi. basic postulates of quantum mechanics pdf. discuss the basic postulates of quantum mechanics. 5 basic postulates of quantum mechanics. basic postulates of quantum statistical mechanics

34006982162.pdf
download_gta_vice_city_apk_ios.pdf
paxukusumofoweavwvubez.pdf
14116095975.pdf
zagivepomerexutomow.pdf
football_manager_wallpaper_for_android
android_instagram_photos_blurry
numerator_and_denominator_worksheets_for_kindergarten
bible_reading_plans.pdf
cornell_medlan_grades
el_regreso_liberal.pdf
error_connection_refused_android
lista_capitales_del_mundo.pdf
excavations_at_saqqara_the_step_pyramid.pdf
kobalt_cordless_drill_manual
accounting_theory_notes_in_hindi.pdf
aapko_dil_se_yaad_kiya
zulfu.pdf
46102758790.pdf