Methods of teaching integrated science pdf

(No Reviews) Write Review Number Price Applied (No Reviews Yet) Write review Item: #ROT144 Weight: 1.00 LBS Author: Roger Martin Author: Jennifer Riel Bestseller: Jennifer Riel Bestseller: FALSE Classic: FALSE Copyright Permian Flag: FALSE Educator Message Flag: TRUE Exclusive: FALSE Pages: 4 Main Category: Partner Article Publishing Date: September 01 2011 Publication Date Range: Older 24 Months Related Topics: Exploring Related Topics: Innovation Related Topics: Education Source: Rothman Management Magazine Special Value: FALSE Subcategory: Innovation and Entrepreneurship Theme: Innovation and Entrepreneurship Subject: Learning, Innovation, Education Type Filter: PDF Format Type: Hardcover/Hardcover (B'W) Item: #ROT144 2011 Date: September 01 2011 Source: Rotman Management Magazine A few years ago, a seminal report came out, warning that India and China were training thousands more innovators a year than the Western world and urging the U.S. to pay more attention to science, technology, engineering and math education (STEM). Although the movement has since gained momentum, the authors show that there is little evidence to support it. They argue that great innovators such as Facebook founder Mark zuckerberg embrace both science (how things work) and art (how people work), and in addition, they learn to think in ways that allow them to build new models that connect the two realms. Learning models of the potential skill of integrative thinking, they argue entails, goes beyond hysteria about STEM and nostalgia for liberal arts. The main objective of modern education should be to create integrative capacity and the country that builds it first and best will enjoy the benefits of prosperity. Related topics: Newsletter Promo Summary and excerpts from recent books, special offers, and more from the Harvard Business Press Review. Life is full of times when we have to negotiate: about promotion or promotion, about the price of a car or a house, about how much housework you are going to do. However, most people have at least some concern about their negotiating skills. To get started, it's important to think about your ideal negotiating result. The typical situation that comes to mind when we negotiate is a one-off negotiation between the two sides. In this case, each of them wants to get the best offer. Almost by definition, the better the deal that one side gets, the worse what the other side has done. For example, most people buy or rent a car only every five years or so. Chances are the person who sells you the car today will not participate in your next deal. So there's The incentive is for you to try to pay as little as possible for the best car you can get, and for the dealer to try to get as much money from you as possible. However, very few talks in your your likely to fit this scenario. In fact, you will probably negotiate most often with people with whom you have a permanent relationship. In this case, you want to keep the relationship in addition to getting a fair deal. While you want to maximize the value of the deal in some cases, in others, you may not push for a better deal in order to make sure that your negotiating partner can also make a living. With that in mind, here are three things you can learn from research negotiations. Beware of anchors When you negotiate, the cost of goods and services is under discussion ambiguously. On the one hand, the monetary value of something (including how much you have to be paid) is just something that someone is willing to pay for it. And in many cases, this amount varies depending on the circumstances. An attorney can get paid $150 an hour or $1,000 an hour depending on the experience of that attorney and the number of other lawyers in the region who provide the same service. People will adjust that number based on their beliefs, but they rarely adjust enough. Since there is no fixed value scale, the actual monetary value that is placed on the object may depend on the arbitrary numbers that are in the environment. When people make judgments about value, they often get fixated (or anchor) on the numbers they encounter. Once anchored by the number, people will adjust that number based on their beliefs, but they rarely adjust enough. In a recent study, participants were asked to negotiate the price of the product. The seller was told that they already had another offer for the object. So if they can't reach an agreement with that buyer, they can always sell it to a third party. This external proposal is called BATNA (or the best alternative to an agreed agreement). In this study, some participants received a really large second sentence, while others received a weak offer. When the seller approached the buyer for negotiations, the seller used BATNA as an anchor and then adjusted up to try to get more for the object than they were offered. People who had a weak offer ended up asking less than those who had a strong offer and they ended up getting less after negotiations. This means that a weak anchor actually hurts them in negotiations. Research like this suggests that it is important to really do your homework when you are negotiating. Before you start, make sure you have a clear idea of what resources you're trying to get are really worth it. If possible, find out about other transactions that have occurred recently and use them as an anchor as well rely on the numbers that happen to be present at the beginning of negotiations. Keep an eye on your focus Whenever you negotiate something, you can focus on what you have, or you can focus on what you hope to get. Studies show that when you you on your own resources, you value them more. This makes you less likely to want to give up your resources. When you focus on the resources you hope to get, it makes you want those resources more. As a result, you are actually more willing to give up your own resources. Shift the focus back to what you're giving up to make sure you don't overspend for what you want. Go back to buying a car. One of the reasons that car dealers want you to test drive a car is that once you get into it, feel the smooth steering, and smell that new car smell, you focus on that car. The more you focus on the car you want to buy, the more you are willing to part with your money to get it. In this situation (as with any negotiation) make sure you shift your focus back to what you are giving up to make sure you don't overspend for what you want. Don't be afraid to change Mind-SetsIn negotiations it is important to recognize the difference between thinking and doing thinking. In thinking, you focus on contemplating the situation. You are willing to give up the decision to gather more information. In performing mind-keeping, you are focused on action. You have little tolerance for additional thinking and discussion. There are moments in the negotiations where you can get that nagging suspicion that a deal really won't be your way. Sometimes in these situations, you may feel as if your negotiating partner is starting to insist on action. They can place deadlines on the offer they made. Timing is a way of moving people from thinking thinking to doing thinking. When you don't want to walk away from negotiations, the other side is likely to get what they want. Remember that if you are really in a negotiation in which you have a resource that someone wants, then a deal cannot be done until you both agree to it. If you feel like the negotiation is rushing to a conclusion, move the conversation back into thinking thinking. A great way to do this is to literally walk away from the table for a while. By creating a physical distance, you give yourself and the other side a chance to think again. Notice the shifts between thinking and thinking during negotiations. Use these vision sets to control the tempo of the discussion in a way that is comfortable with the result. When you really want something that puts you heavily in the head. When you don't want to walk away from negotiations (at least for a while), the other side will most likely get what they want. This is why it is useful to involve an advisor in negotiations whose payment does not depend on the transaction you make. The selfless side can pull you out of the table and you reset the discussion. Office of Integrity Research at the Office for Policy Coordination a major resource for the Faculty of Medical School, staff and students to teach, evaluate and interpret policies regarding the integrity of research and responsible research. We are committed to proactive, preventive measures to promote and maintain integrity, compliance and accountability in all areas of research. In accordance with our mission, we oversee the investigation into allegations of misconduct that arise at the school. Please feel free to contact us on integrity@jhmi.edu. Sign up for The Basics Stay up to date with the latest research results from the Institute of Fundamental Biomedical Sciences. Please enter a valid email address. Science is a systematic and logical approach to discovering how things in the universe work. It is also the body of knowledge accumulated through discoveries about all things in the universe. The word science comes from the Latin word scientia, which is knowledge based on obvious and reproducible data, according to the Merriam-Webster dictionary. True to this definition, science strives for measurable results through testing and analysis. Science is based on facts, not opinions or preferences. The process of science is designed to challenge ideas through research. One important aspect of the scientific process is that it focuses only on the natural world, according to the University of California. Anything considered supernatural does not fit into the definition of science. The scientific method when conducting research, scientists use a scientific method to collect measurable, empirical evidence in an experiment related to the hypothesis (often in the form of if/then statement), results aimed at supporting or contradicting the theory . As a field biologist, my favorite part of the scientific method is now in data collection, Jaime Tanner, a biology professor at Marlborough College, told Live Science. But what really makes it fun is knowing that you are trying to answer an interesting question. Thus, the first step in identifying questions and generating possible answers (hypothesis) is also very important and is a creative process. Then, as soon as you collect the data, you analyze it to see if your hypothesis is supported or not. The steps of the scientific method go something like this: Make observations or observations. Ask questions about surveillance and gather information. Having formed a hypothesis - a preliminary description of the observed and make predictions based on this hypothesis. Test the hypothesis and predictions in an experiment that can be replicated. Analyze the data and draw conclusions; accept or reject the hypothesis or change the hypothesis if necessary. Play the experiment until there are discrepancies between observations and theory. Replicating methods and results is my favorite step in scientific says Moshe Pritzer, Pritzer, Post-doctoral student at Harvard Medical School and CEO of JoVE, told Live Science. The reproducibility of published experiments is the basis of science. There is no reproducibility, no science. Some key foundations for the scientific method: The hypothesis should be tested and falsified, according to North Carolina State University. Falsification means that there must be a possible negative response to the hypothesis. Research should include deductive reasoning and inductive reasoning. Deductive reasoning is the process of using true preconditions to achieve logical true completion, while inductive reasoning uses the opposite approach. The experiment should include a dependent variable (which does not change) and an independent variable (which changes). The experiment should include an experimental group and a control group. The control group is what the experimental group compares to. Scientific theories and laws Of Science and science in general can be frustrating. The theory is almost never proven, although some theories become scientific laws. One example might be the laws of energy conservation, which is the first law of thermodynamics. Dr. Linda Boland, a neuroscientist and chair of the Biology Department at the University of Richmond, Va., told Live Science that this is her favorite scientific law. This is the one that directs most of my research on cellular electrical activity, and it states that energy cannot be created or destroyed, only altered in form. This law constantly reminds me of many forms of energy, she said. The law simply describes the observed phenomenon, but it does not explain why the phenomenon exists or what causes it. In science, laws are the starting point, said Peter Coppinger, an associate professor of biology and biomedical engineering at the Rose-Halman Institute of Technology. From there, scientists can ask questions: Why and how? Laws are generally considered without exception, although some laws have been changed over time after further testing found discrepancies. That doesn't mean that theories don't make sense. In order for the hypothesis to become a theory, careful testing is required, usually in several disciplines by separate groups of scientists. Saying something simple theory is a term of a layman who has nothing to do with science. For most people, theory is a guess. In science, theory is the basis for observations and facts, Tanner told Live Science.Some of the things we take for granted today have been invented by pure brains, others in total randomness. But how much do you know about the origin of things? Here we invented a quiz about 15 of the most useful inventions in the world, from adhesives: The World's Greatest Inventions A Brief History of Science. science can be found in prehistoric times, such as the discovery of fire, fire, wheel and development writing. Early tablets contain numbers and information about the solar system. Science has become decidedly more scientific over time, however.1200s: Robert Grosseeste has developed the basis for proper methods of modern scientific experimentation, according to the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. His work included the principle that the investigation should be based on measurable evidence confirmed through testing.1400s: Leonardo da Vinci began his notebooks in pursuit of evidence that the human body is microcosm. The artist, scientist and mathematician also collected information about optics and hydrodynamics.1500s: Nikolai Copernicus advanced the understanding of the solar system with its discovery of heliocentrism. This is the model in which the Earth and other planets orbit the Sun, which is the center of the solar system.1600s: Johannes Kepler is built on these observations with its own laws of planetary motion. Galileo Gallilius perfected a new invention, a telescope, and used it to study the Sun and planets. The 1600s also saw advances in the study of physics as Isaac Newton developed his laws of motion.1700s: Benjamin Franklin discovered that lightning is electric. He also participated in the study of oceanography and meteorology. Understanding chemistry also evolved during this century as Antoine Lavoisier, named the father of modern chemistry, developed the law of mass preservation.1800s: The vess included the discovery of Alessandro Volta regarding the electrochemical series that led to the invention of the battery. John Dalton also presented an atomic theory that said that all matter is made up of atoms that combine into molecules. The basis of modern genetics research has advanced as Gregor Mendel unveiled his inheritance laws. Later in the century, Wilhelm Conrad X-rays were discovered, while George Om's law provided the basis for understanding how to use electrical charges.1900s: The discoveries of Albert Einstein, who is best known for his theory of relativity, dominated in the early 20th century. Einstein's theory of relativity is actually two separate theories. His special theory of relativity, which he outlined in the 1905 article Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies, concluded that time should vary depending on the speed of the moving object in relation to the observer's frame of reference. His second theory of general relativity, which he published as the Basis of General Theory of Relativity, put forward the idea that matter forces space to curve. Medicine changed forever with the development of polio vaccine in 1952 by Jonas Salk. The following year, James D. Watson and Francis Crick discovered a DNA structure that would represent if a double helix formed from base pairs attached to the base of phosphate sugar, United States Library of Medicine. 2000s: In the 21st century, the first human genome project was completed, leading to a broader understanding of DNA. This has advanced the study of genetics, its role in human biology and its use as a predictor of diseases and other disorders. Additional resources methods of teaching integrated science pdf