

Please reply to: Daniel Gigg  
Direct line: 01628 796044  
Email: daniel.gigg@rbwm.gov.uk  
Our ref.: 11/30008  
Your ref.:

Planning & Development



Wokingham Borough Council

Policy And Partnerships  
PO Box 157  
Shute End  
Wokingham  
Berkshire RG40 1WR

5 April 2011

Dear

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)**

**Proposal:** Variation of condition 11 of Permission 34029; From: The maximum number of heavy goods vehicle movements importing waste and soils and exporting minerals from the site shall not exceed 90 movements in any one day of which not more than 48 movements shall relate to the import of waste and soils; To: The maximum number of heavy goods vehicle movements importing waste and soils shall not exceed an average of 70 per day on a monthly basis calculated on the basis of 5.5 working days per week. The number of HGV movements shall not exceed 90 movements in any one day.

**Location:** Star Works Star Lane Knowl Hill Reading RG10 9XY

Thank you for your consultation that was received on 17 March 2011.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead would make the following comments on the proposal:

- 1 It is welcome that the applicant has withdrawn from the application the proposal to vary condition 7 of the original planning permission. It would appear from this decision that suitable material will be able to be sourced by the applicant to enable the deposit of waste and soils by 2016 and restoration by 2018. Indeed, this must be the case because the applicant proposes to increase the HGV movements for the importation of waste and soil under condition 11 - to conclude anything else would be counterintuitive.
- 2 With respect to condition 11, the RBWM Highways Officer is unconvinced with the statement at paragraph 34 in the Officer's Report of 8th December 2010 that through varying the condition there would be an overall reduction in HGV movements. Ultimately, the maximum limit would remain in force which is 90 movements in any one day. Whilst the Council remains concerned about the impact upon highway safety and the living conditions of neighbours as a result of the HGV movements associated with this development, as the proposal is to remain within the overall 90 movements as imposed on the original decision no objection is raised.
- 3 Notwithstanding that no objection is raised in respect to the variation of condition 11, the Council requests that the description is clarified through reference to the exportation of minerals being part of the maximum 90 HGV movements in any one day.
- 4 I trust the content of this letter clarifies this Council's position with respect to the application. However, should there be any matters arising, please do not hesitate to contact the Case Officer, Daniel Gigg.

Yours faithfully



*Tim Slaney*

Tim Slaney  
**Head of Planning**

