

Will we face a big problem with the aortic valve/root after ASO ?

Centre de Référence Maladies Rares Malformations Cardiaques Congénitales Complexes-M3C CARDIOGEN



Laurence Iserin

Unité médico-chirurgicale de Cardiologie Congénitale Adulte Hôpital Universitaire Européen Georges Pompidou – APHP, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité

> Reference Network

> > Network Heart Diseases (ERN GUARD-HEART)









Transposition of the great arteries Arterial Switch Operation





Actuarial survival free of coronary events for 1304 patients



Circulation

Arterial Switch Operation (ASO): surgical technique



Normal heart



ASO

Aortic Root

- After ASO Neo-aortic valve and sinus are larger than normal. First year of life : rapid dilatation of the new aorta Further : active growth with tendency towards normalization of the
- valve and sinus size.



Echocardiographic end-systolic measurements of the neo-aortic root vs body weight 60 children (solid lines) after neonatal ASO mean age 5.3 {+/-} 1.6 years and mean age 10.5 {+/-} 1.6 years



Hovels-Gurich H. H. et al.; Ann Thorac Surg 2003;75:935-943

Morphology of the reconstructed Aorta: risk factor for Aortic regurgitation



More AR



No AR

Formigari R. et al.; J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003;126:1753-1759



Freedom from aortic root dilation over time since ASO 3 time periods : 1981 to 1988, 1989 to 1992, and 1993 to 2000 (P<0.001)



Circulation



Aortic valve repair for AR after ASO ?



Imamura M. et al.; Ann Thorac Surg 2000;69:607-608



Development of Aortic Insufficiency over time





Freedom from neo-aortic root dilation (neo-aortic root z-score >=3.0) and probability from at least moderate neo-aortic regurgitation



Circulation





Previous risk factors for Aortic root dilatation and aortic valve regurgitation

- •ventricular septal defect pulmonary artery banding aortic/pulmonary artery mismatch postoperative geometry of aortic root



Neoaortic valve regurgitation

- •Underestimated complication of anatomic repair of TGA.
- •Anatomic pulmonary valve (thin leaflets, little collagen and elastic tissue) : neoaortic valve after ASO.
- •Mild regurgitation : 35% of patients
- •Moderate to severe : 5% patients.
- •Frequency of the regurgitation after ASO increases with time Isolated cases of valve replacement.
- In our series (review of patients born before 4 AVR done at age (16, 18,22,23)



Ann Thorac Surg. 2018 Oct 31. pil: \$0003-4975(18)31540-6. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2018.09.025. [Epub ahead of print]

Long-Term Growth of the Neoaortic Root after Arterial Switch Operation.

Oda S1, Nakano T2, Fujita S2, Sakaguchi S2, Kado H2.

Author information

Abstract

BACKGROUND: The growth of the neoaortic root after the arterial switch operation for the transposition of the great arteries remains unclear This study aimed to investigate the growth of the neoaortic root and identify risk factors for neoaortic root dilatation.

METHODS: Serial angiographic measurements of the neoaortic root for at least 10 years were evaluated in 145 patients. A total of 1876 measurements of the sinuses of the Valsalva and the neoaortic annuli were obtained. A linear mixed effects model was used for z-score analysis, including evaluation of risk factors for neoaortic root dilatation. To assess changes in the time course of neoaortic root absolute diameters, a nonlinear mixed effects model with a growth curve model was used.

RESULTS: The growth curve revealed progressive growth of the neoaortic root during somatic growth and stabilization in adulthood without normalization. The growth rates of the sinus and annulus were 0.0046 and 0.029 z-score per year, respectively. The sinus and annulus were estimated to grow up to 47±1 mm and 31±1 mm, respectively. Major risk factors for neoaortic root dilatation were double-outlet right ventricl (parameter estimate [PE]=2.1; 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.5 to 2.7; P<.0001 for sinus, PE=1.2; 95%CI=0.7 to 1.6; P<.0001 for annulus) and presence of neoaortic valve insufficiency (PE=0.9, 95%CI=0.4 to 1.5; P<.001 for sinus, PE=1.6; 95%CI=1.2 to 2.0; P<.0001 for annulus CONCLUSIONS: The risk for neoaortic root dilatation was common. Long-term surveillance is mandatory, particularly in patients with double

outlet right ventricle and neoaortic valve insufficiency.





Long-term fate of the aortic valve after ASO



J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015





Long-term fate of the aortic valve after ASO



362 patients Aortic valve surgery in 5 patients, giving freedom from aortic surgery of 99.3% and 97.7% at 10 and 20 years,

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015













Gu et al Biomed Eng Online. 2016



Change of the aortic annulus diameter (CAAD)



- 25 pregnancies /15 women
- 8 women: 1 pregnancy, 7 multiparous.
- No adverse maternal cardiac events.
- Five women (36%) had mild neoaortic root dilatation prepregnancy, but none developed progressive dilatation in the first year post-partum

•Stoll et al. JAMA Cardiol. 2018;3

Pregnancy Outcomes in Women With Transposition of the Great Arteries After an Arterial Switch Operation





Pliable bicuspid and tricuspid valves: 232 patients



Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.

Biomechanics of Failed Pulmonary Autografts Compared to Native Aortic Roots



The Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2017 103, 1482-1488DOI: (10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.08.061)

American guidelines 2018

- Although some degree of neoaortic valve regurgitation is common, surgery to replace the neoaortic valve has only rarely been reported.
- Indications for valve replacement should be based on LV size
- and/or symptoms '2014 VHD guideline "
- The more common concern is dilation of the neoaortic root with preserved aortic valve competence.
- Valve-sparing root replacement is often considered in such cases
- but surgical options should be individualized based upon anatomy and changes
- There are not data to support a specific aortic diameter beyond which the risk of dissection or rupture increases sufficiently to warrant prophylactic aortic replacement.





American guidelines 2018

| | LOE | COR |
|--|------|--------------------|
| | | Contraction of the |
| 1) Baseline and serial be performed in neoaortic dilation, ventricular dysfunc | C-LD | • |
| 2) Coronary revascula should be planne expertise in revasa ensure coronary an | C-EO | i. |
| 3) It is reasonable to patency (catheter a adults with d-TGA s | B-NR | lla |
| 4) Physiological tests arterial switch can myocardial ischemi | C-EO | lla |
| GDMT is reasonable for adults with d-Te | C-EO | lla |
| Т | | i |
| 6) GDMT is reasonable in adults with d-T regurgitation (S4.4. | C-EO | lla |
| Catheter or surgical after arterial switch attributable to PS. | C-EO | lla |

***GDMT guideline-directed management and therapy

Recommendations

Diagnostic

imaging with either echocardiography or CMR should adults with d-TGA with arterial switch who have valve dysfunction or PA or branch PA stenosis or tion (\$4.4.1.2-1-\$4.4.1.2-3).

arization for adults with d-TGA with arterial switch d by surgeons or interventional cardiologists with cularization in collaboration with ACHD providers to d pulmonary artery anatomy are understood

o perform anatomic evaluation of coronary artery ingiography, or CT or MR angiography) in asymptomatic with arterial switch (\$4.4.1.2-4, \$4.4.1.2-5).

of myocardial perfusion for adults with d-TGA after be beneficial for assessing symptoms suggestive of ia.

e to determine the need for coronary revascularization GA after arterial switch (S4.4.1.2-6- S4.4.1.2-8).

herapeutic

e to determine indications for aortic valve replacement GA after arterial switch with severe neoaortic valve .1.2-6).

I intervention for PS is reasonable in adults with d-TGA h with symptoms of HF or decreased exercise capacity

- Coronary reimplantation technique can have a great impact on aortic root geometry and can deform the aortic sinus geometry, predisposing it to later dilatation.
- The trap door technique has been reported to be associated with an increased rate of aortic valve regurgitation.
- We routinely use trap door coronary translocation, but we aim to implant coronary arteries above the sinotubular area when possible, avoiding potential alteration of the aortic sinus geometry and at the same time preventing coronary artery kinking.
- The results showed very good aortic valve competence after an ASO, and only 1.2% of the patients had postoperative moderate aortic valve regurgitation.
- Most of the patients (81%), when discharged after the operation, did not have any valve regurgitation, and only 17% showed mild regurgitation.
- Progression of aortic valve regurgitation to a clinically significant grade (moderate or severe) occurs rarely in the first 10 to 15 years after an ASO, giving a freedom from significant aortic regurgitation (>moderate) of 97% and 94%, respectively, for these time points.
- Although aortic functional status seems to be relatively stable in the first 15 years, a greater deterioration of valve competence occurs between 15 and 20 years of follow-up (, and freedom from regurgitation that is more than moderate decreases from 94% to 80% at 20 years



4D FLOW after ASO









Aortic root and PA



Aortic root and coronary arteries



Aortic arch angulation and systemic hypertension



Aging aorta



Hypertension 2010

Aortic properties



Distensibility = $\frac{\Delta A}{Amin \times cPP}$

Aortic PWV = $\frac{D}{\Delta t}$



femoral

pressure

∆t=30ms, D=53 cm

At the moment : minor clinical problem

- Patients who have a competent valve immediately after the ASO are very unlikely (3.4%) to develop any late regurgitation
- For the future
- look more precisely at the entire aorta
- loof for standard vascular risk factors look at the environnement (PA and RV to PA prostesis)

